

I hope to write you again - at least to reply promptly to any letters of yours.

Our best regards to Mrs. S. & best wishes for you all

Ever truly cordially

A. Gray

Bellor said this over to Watson. That he & Gould may have some news of us,

I can not write to either to-day. Nor I had intended,

AB,

Hortarium, Kew, June 14, 1881.
(Monday morning)

My dear Sargent

It was good (arriving here on Saturday evening, 11th) to get your pleasant letter of May 7, which awaited me here, and to hear all are well & prosperous, except Engelmann and his rheumatism.

This morning - as my first work (for yesterday was devoted to London affairs) I take up your little parcel & the question of Abrus Texana, Mackley

You would do as well to consider it a distinct species under Mackley's name (unless I can soon give you more light upon it, when I can see the original of A. laurifolia, L.f.). Perhaps I should have done so if I could have settled about this old name & the plant of Linley, Bot. Reg. XXXI, t. 67, and here got any satisfaction about the limits of the species when admitted.

I can only say now - after 2 hours time given to the matter - that when you have cut loose from A. Mucronata of the N.W. we shall have equal trouble with the Mexican forms. Now as to the "pubescent wavy" that those troublesome Mexican ~~neither~~ neighbors seem to vary in this respect; and that the so-called A. Andachae which Hooker says in cultivation he can't find characters to tell all from Mucronata, has every better features & pubescence, as Hooker has noted in his

Mell, the materials here on
the Texan Mexican shrub or tree are
seen at 2120. Sierra Madre Mexico.
C. Wright's 1433. Clearly same & same
as Buckley's tho. in fruit only
Bourgeau's 573. "Valley of Mexico, St. Fe"
Also just the same & in fruit only.
This is ticketed from Paris "A. Xalapensis"
Id. N.Y.K. As there is a mixt. of originals at
Paris her. I judge correctly - notwithstanding
standing the semidec leaves. You
know how little the Pacif. N. Amer. & the Texan
plant varies in this regard.

Yours & Explains Ita Kita Ms., 4 - Not sent,
is of course same thing, with the manna
leaves)

I cannot hesitate to put with it a specimen, hardly in flower, "A. 22 Fred. Miller (from Meissner), Vera Cruz & Oizaba!" - therefore near HK, locality. Its leaves are all but entire, and some of the downy remains on the underside of the otherwise glabrous leaves. Then come a variety of specimens of "A. Halapensis" in flower, with "ferruginea prætexta" on the underside of leaves - all, I suspect same species. I suspect, too, that "A. Millis. B.K." is same.

[Man., etc. 90. Pl. Hartung named
"A. densipila ^{var.} MM" by Brullo, & "A. plan-
densis" Blattat Mat. & Gal." & I
mention not Kurto's densipila. He

neither mentions our figures setose
pubescence.] So much for this
business; it's all I can do.

Perhaps you have found out by
this time (what Oliva, it seems could not
tell you) what the "Annacea" (1863)
of 23. (Curtis,
is Bresentia Eucubitina! Garber
got it.

No. 1. "Dashing Plum" = Reynosa,
Grise. See that, & see
Eggers little paper on the
series.

No. 2. looks like a Pleurozium or a
I will not waste time on it, as it looks
nothing.



Gray, Asa. 1881. "Gray, Asa June 14, 1881 [to Charles S. Sargent]." *Asa Gray correspondence : letters from Asa Gray to various individuals*

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/225451>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/262449>

Holding Institution

Harvard University Botany Libraries

Sponsored by

Arcadia 19th Century Collections Digitization/Harvard Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The Library considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection

License: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.