

we shall however content ourselves with
genera of Gamopeltidae since published
and the correction of important errors,
not having time or space for minor
details. We shall want however to refer
to your California labours and I hope
that you will let us have what you
have printed earlier in January that we
may quote page etc. for all Gamopeltidae.
we shall not meddle with vol 1.

I will examine the Calystegia you
send and report - it does not look
at all Gonolobous,

Yours very truly
George Bentham

25, WILTON PLACE.
S.W.

Nov 27/75

My dear Gray

Just received yours of the 15th
and I have also one or two previous
ones of yours unanswered but I have
been overwhelmed with Gaudichaudia
and am in danger of the printer
standing on my heels so that I have
little time for writing.

As to Aciadia I have admitted
its close alliance to Oxyborea but I scarcely
think it necessary to unite it with that
genus as Euglossina proposes - but that
is matter of taste and in a work like
Gen. & Sp. I am unwilling to go against
special monographers unless I see strong
reasons for disagreeing with them &
return your Euglossina note, as you desire.

In revising Cornuvalvae I found
it necessary to keep up Calystegia not so much
for the bracts which occur in a few species,

and others but on account of the flattened
stigmata at least as different from those of
Annotoculus as they are in Jacquinomorpha. Then
two genera closely connecting Convolutulus
with Spongia and passing into both - but
it will never do nowadays to unite large
genera on account of a few intermediate
species, for that would oblige us to re-unite
the whole series from Rivula to Polymeria.

but I have taken them they were almost
enough to drive me distracted - but then
however at last to the point of the day before
yesterday - 120 genera including of course
several which I have had to take upon trust
though I have examined so very large a
proportion of them I have been obliged to
omit some evidently new for want of
satisfactory specimens - among others
Spergularia n. sp. (of which I return you the
flower you send by sea). We have a good
specimen from you but which cannot be
cut up without spoiling it - It has evidently
the flower & stamens of *Proboscidea* (*Cystanthus*)
with the indistinct or some (but not all) species
Glaucomia (*Angiosperma*) - I do not like therefore
to make a genus upon that without seeing
specimens in bed and in full flower advanced

stages - more especially as the involucre is very variable in *Sanchezia* and in one species at least is reduced to ordinary bracts.

Torrey's *Nemandrium* with which you
associate Bergius is a true *Nemandrium*
in habit and character - Bergius I must
keep up as distinct

The genus which includes *Cyrtanthus*,
series graphis etc must take the name of
Zacobinia as being a year older than the
others.

Sibonias floribunda is identical with
Sericophis pauciflora

I sent you off this morning three
sheets down to P. Iglo in the middle of Scropha-
larineae

I have just done Myoporumus and
Pelagiaea which are not comfortably
apportioned with the former. The superior
microphyll and radicle is an essential distinction
which approximates this to Crophularia.
Selago differs scarcely more from Monileaon
than to ~~ginea~~ from Euphorbia.

I now go to Nebraska where the way
is already much cleared before me and
laborator will be little more than a review
then I must make up addenda et corrigenda



Bentham, George. 1875. "Bentham, George Nov. 27, 1875." *George Bentham letters to Asa Gray*

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/226393>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/261220>

Holding Institution

Harvard University Botany Libraries

Sponsored by

Arcadia 19th Century Collections Digitization/Harvard Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The Library considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection

License: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.