

which I name Blackwellia,
for beyond geographical origin
the distinctive characters
are fictitious, as hitherto given
— purely artificial if modified so
as to give them reality.

I have laid aside for you a
few of Wilford's Tony Kony plants
which you appear to have got either
not good or not at all from Wright
amongst others a new genus of Hamamelidace.
— The Dasythium which we only
have from Wright is curious and
very anomalous Hamamelidea. We
have a closely allied sp. from Griffith
also in fruit only and Thompson's species
(which I could not make out before seeing
your) prove one young male flower,
I should like much to see the young ladies
to make them out properly. Wilford's
new genus is more to cutisima

With kindest regards to Mr.
Gray Ever yours sincerely
George Bentham

I hope to beat wool again at New
cast in Novt — We now go to Saragossa
for a fortnight or 3 weeks then to the
Pyrenees & in Sept. on to Madrid
provided this atrocious war does not
interfere.

- 57 Loo Choo = Thermopsis chinensis Benth. (name for time
n.s.) differs from T. pubacea in the shorter calyx and
some other points. May 3 1859
- 58 Loo Choo = Medicago lupulina L.
- 59 Loo Choo = Melilotus indica Willd.
- 60 Loo Choo = Astragalus lotoides
- 61 " " = Ormosia venenosa (Diphara
corkinimensee) Lour. !)
- 62 " = Desmodium podocarpum DC
- 63 " = Desmodium venusta DC
- 64 " = Vicia? The specimen is insufficient
to determine
- 65 " = Dolichos lablab.
- 66 Bonin = Agree with *Chicra gigantea* ex-
cept that it has not the prominent
hairs on the pod and the foliage
is less hairy (Have they fallen off?)
- 67 Loo Choo = Derris uliginosa Benth. & Loureiro
- 68 Bonin = Derris (Macropterum) chinensis Benth.
- 69 Loo Choo = Brugmansia glabra Aubl.
- 70 Loo Choo = Prunus macrophylla Sieb. ex C. Presl
- 71 Loo Choo = Rubus reflexus D. C.
- 72 Loo Choo = Rubus parvifolius Sieb
- 73 Loo Choo = Rubus rosifolius Sieb
- 74 Loo Choo = Rosa multiflora var?
- 75 Loo Choo = Agrimonie Erythroloma L. (quite the
common European form)
- 76 Zanegae = Rosa multiflora var?
- 77 Bonin = Raphiolepis japonica Sieb. ex C. Presl several
apparently distinct varieties - but not
a integriform which has a fruit like
this  or even larger
- 78 Bonin = Osteomeles aculeata Miquelian
- 79 Loo Choo = Rubus - unknown to me and not in
our herbaria (R. brachysanthus, etc.)
- 80 Bonin = Photinia sp. of Benth in fl. only and 1
- 81 Loo Choo = Photinia sp. cannot exactly match them
- 82 Bonin = Terminalia catappa L.

- 318 Loo Choo *Balanophora decisa* ? (J.D.H.) Jun 7th
 317 " " *Balanophora decisa* ? (J.D.H.) Jun 7th

 84 Loo Choo *Melastoma macrocarpum* Don.
 85 Norin *Syzygium*. Same of *S. odoratum* but distinct
 86 Norin *Syzygium* unknown name
 87 Loo Choo *Zehneria*, apparently *minimata* Bl. Mu
 same as *Maycorensis* A.R.
 88 Norin *Trichosanthes*, not *T. cucumerina*.
 89 Loo Choo *Parmiflora* not in our herbaria.
 90 Loo Choo More like *Kalanchoe* *variegata* than *K. quadrifolia*
 91 Loo Choo ^{but less a very good spec.} *Sedum* which we have also from
 480 near Hongkong, *Tornara*, but undetermined
 92 Loo Choo *Sedum*, something like *S. uniforme*, but
 and also but seems quite distinct.
- 94 Loo Choo *Hydrocotyle nitida* Rchb.
 95 Loo Choo *Hydrocotyle rotundifolia* Rchb.
 96 Loo Choo & Norin *Hyp. arctica* Linn.
- 101 Keakkeok *Paratropis cantoniensis* H.W.G.
- 104 Loo Choo *Ricium articulatum* Burn. var. *R. moriiforme*
- 107 Loo Choo *Ribesum* unknown name
- 260 Loo Choo *Loranthus ferrugineus* Rock?
- 288 Loo Choo *Camellia parangua* (see Seem.)

May? 1859

will wait to
go to Europe

[1859] For Hooker's speculations as to divergence of species are rather startling, but they may be as plausible as any other upon a subject which must be mere speculation. They may carry one very far. My friend Arnott used in his *mesocoleal* days to call his babies *Gymnostomus*, but it seems now that we are really to consider that a man and a mopp are sprung from the same stock which has diverged and produced these extremes by selection. Much as I look up to J.D.H.'s views in general I am not in this instance convinced - but I am now too old and too lazy to enter into controversies so that I shall confine myself to the old systematic routine and go on describing species as if they were really distinct and grouping them into genera etc for convenience of study.

I have left with the him for publication a short synopsis of *Homalium* with



Bentham, George. 1859. "Bentham, George [May] 1859 [fragment]." *George Bentham letters to Asa Gray*

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/226393>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/261132>

Holding Institution

Harvard University Botany Libraries

Sponsored by

Arcadia 19th Century Collections Digitization/Harvard Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The Library considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection

License: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.