The Veliger 46(1):77-85 (January 7, 2003)

# Resurrection of *Nucella ostrina* (Gould, 1852), Lectotype Designation for *N. emarginata* (Deshayes, 1839), and Molecular Genetic Evidence of Pleistocene Speciation

# PETER B. MARKO<sup>1</sup>

Molecular Systematics Laboratory, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, 900 Exposition Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90007, USA

## A. RICHARD PALMER

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB T6G 2E9, Canada

AND

Bamfield Marine Station, Bamfield, BC VOR 1B0, Canada

## GEERAT J. VERMEIJ

Department of Geology, University of California, Davis, California 95616, USA

Abstract. Previous work demonstrating concordant patterns of reproductive isolation, fixed genetic differences, and morphological differentiation indicates the existence of morphologically cryptic "northern" and "southern" species within the nominal taxon *Nucella emarginata*. The southern species, which retains the name *Nucella emarginata* (Deshayes, 1839), is characterized by four to five rows of spiral sculpture on the body whorl of the shell in the form of regularly spaced knobs plus an adapical tooth or callus on the columella. The type locality for *N. emarginata* is San Miguel Island, California. The northern species, *N. ostrina* (Gould, 1852) is characterized by five to six cords of continuous spiral sculpture, a taller and more slender spire, and usually a more flared aperture than *N. emarginata*. The type locality for *N. ostrina* is Tillamook Bay, Oregon. Because Deshayes' original description of *N. emarginata* was based on four specimens (syntypes), we have re-figured and designated one of these syntypes as a lectotype. A lectotype for *N. ostrina* was assigned previously (Johnson, 1964). A new analysis of previously published mitochondrial DNA sequences and molecular clock calibration dates from the fossil record also indicates a late Pleistocene divergence for *N. ostrina* and *N. emarginata*. A late Pleistocene divergence time, and the subtle nature of morphological differentiation between these two species, together provide an important counter-example to the prevailing view that little speciation occurred during the Pleistocene along rocky Californian shores, a period characterized by rapid and frequent environmental change.

## INTRODUCTION

Accurate discrimination of biological species is essential for describing patterns of organismal diversity, a fundamental first step toward understanding the processes that generate diversity at the species level (Knowlton, 1993; Thorpe & Sole-Cava, 1994; Klautau et al., 1999). In the ocenebrine gastropod genus *Nucella* Röding, 1798, pervasive geographic variation in shell form within nominal species has led to an extensive history of taxonomic confusion at the species level (see Palmer et al., 1990). Currently, four nominal species of *Nucella* on the west coast of North America are widely recognized in the literature. Because patterns of geographic variation in shell form are particularly pronounced in *Nucella*, this genus provides an unusually challenging group for characterizing the relationship between morphological form and reproductive isolation.

A combination of data from genetic markers and morphological characters, as well as direct evidence of reproductive isolation, together indicate the existence of two biological species within the nominal taxon *N. emarginata* (Deshayes, 1839). First, Palmer et al. (1990) demonstrated a fixed genetic difference at an allozyme locus (Isocitrate dehydrogenase-2, EC 1.1.1.42) between "northern" and "southern" populations of *N. emarginata*. Second, this fixed allelic difference was also shown to be concordant with subtle morphological differences in both shell and egg capsule morphology (Palmer et al., 1990). Third, con-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Current address: Department of Marine Sciences, 12-7 Venable Hall, CB#3300, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, USA.

trolled laboratory crosses between northern and southern populations failed to produce any offspring (Palmer et al., 1990). Lastly, a geographically broader survey of both allozyme loci and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequences (cytochrome c oxidase 1) further revealed that genetic and morphological differentiation between northern and southern morphological forms of N. emarginata are consistent throughout a broad region of sympatry in central California, between San Francisco and Point Conception (Marko, 1998). The combination of morphological, reproductive, and genetic differences now provides conclusive evidence of two biological species within N. emarginata: a northern species found between Yakutat, Alaska and Point Conception, California, and a southern species distributed from San Francisco, California to Punta Eugenia, Baja California Sur (see Palmer et al., 1990; Marko, 1998).

The northern species was provisionally recognized as *Nucella ostrina* (Gould, 1852) in an earlier paper (see Marko, 1998). Here, we present formal systematic descriptions for these two sibling species plus designate a lectotype for *N. emarginata* (a lectotype for *N. ostrina* was previously chosen by Johnson, 1964). Given only subtle morphological differences between these two species, we also investigate these species' time of divergence, based on analyses of previously published mitochondrial DNA sequences (from Marko, 1998). We then discuss the relevance of the timing of this speciation event toward understanding spatial and temporal patterns of morphological and species diversity in molluscan faunas of the cool-temperate Eastern Pacific.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Both Gould's and Deshayes' specimens were examined for comparison to the morphological differences that are associated with genetic differences and reproductive isolation between Nucella emarginata and N. ostrina (Palmer et al., 1990; Marko, 1998). Shells from PBM's personal collection were examined and photographed to present a sampling of geographic variation in shell form within both species. Rather than attempting to document the entire range of variation in both species, and considering that the basic morphological differences were recently figured elsewhere (Palmer et al., 1990), we instead focused on specimens that exhibit relatively subtle differences in shell morphology. Material from the Natural History Museum, London (NHM), Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris (MNHN), The United States National Museum of Natural History (USNM), the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (LACM), and the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences (ZIRAS) were also examined.

To determine whether limited morphological differentiation between these species reflects recent speciation, we inferred the time of divergence for *N. ostrina* and *N. emarginata* using previously published (Marko, 1998) cytochrome c oxidase I (CO1) sequences from 50 individuals (Genbank accession numbers for mtDNA haplotypes: AFO76536-AFO76560). We calculated maximum likelihood sequence divergences among all CO1 haplotypes with PAUP\* 4.0 (Swofford, 2001) using the HKY model of nucleotide substitution (Hasegawa et al., 1985) coupled with gamma-distributed rate heterogeneity among nucleotide sites (Yang, 1994). Actual nucleotide frequencies were assumed to equal the observed frequencies, and the transition to transversion ratio (t) and the shape parameter  $(\alpha)$  for rate heterogeneity among sites were each inferred using a Neighbor-joining tree topology based on Jukes-Cantor genetic distances (Jukes & Cantor, 1969). A log-likelihood ratio test (see Felsenstein, 1988; Huelsenbeck & Crandall, 1997) was used to determine if Nucella CO1 satisfied the criterion of substitution rate constancy, an assumption that must be valid in order to infer divergence times from molecular sequences. In all analyses, trees were rooted with haplotypes from the congeners N. canaliculata and N. lima (Genbank accession numbers AFO76561-AFO76565).

Using the procedure described by Nei & Li (1979), we calculated the net sequence divergence at third positions between species as  $p_{AB (net)} = p_{AB} - 0.5 (p_A + p_B)$ , where pA and pB are the mean sequence divergences among individuals within species A and B, respectively, and pAB is the mean sequence divergence among individuals between species (also see Avise & Walker, 1998; Edwards & Beerli, 2000). Net nucleotide divergence more accurately estimates the time elapsed since population splitting because uncorrected gene divergences between species will always predate speciation (Nei & Li, 1979; Avise & Walker, 1998; Avise, 2000; Edwards & Beerli, 2000). To determine the rate at which CO1 diverges, we used the split between N. canaliculata and the N. emarginata/N. ostrina clade as a calibration point, which is known from the fossil record to have occurred between 5-10 Ma ago (Collins et al., 1996). The rates inferred from this fossil calibration were then applied to the net CO1 divergence between N. ostrina and N. emarginata to infer their time of separation.

#### SYSTEMATICS

## Family MURICIDAE Rafinesque, 1815

Subfamily OCENEBRINAE Cossmann, 1903

## Genus Nucella Röding, 1798

Nucella emarginata (Deshayes, 1839)

## (Figures 1A–D, 2M–T)

*Purpura emarginata* Deshayes, 1839: 360.—Deshayes, 1841: pl. 25.—Reeve, 1845: 3, pl. 10, fig. 46.—Cooke, 1915: 203.

Thais emarginata Vanatta, 1910: 38.



Figure 1. A–D. Lectotype of *Nucella emarginata* (Deshayes, 1839). Shell length: 25 mm. E–G. Lectotype of *N. ostrina* (Gould, 1852). Shell length: 20 mm. A and E. Apertural views; B and F. Abapertural views. C and G. Apical views. D. Callus or tooth on the adapial end of the aperture of *N. emarginata* lectotype. Scale bar = 2 mm (F only).

Thais (Nucella) emarginata Dall, 1915: 569, pl. 75, figs. 2–3.
Oldroyd, 1927: 44, pl. 36, figs. 2–3.
Nucella emarginata Abbott, 1974: 182, fig. 1909.—McLean, 1978: 46, fig. 24–4.—Palmer et al., 1990: 325, fig. 3.

**Type material examined:** *Purpura emarginata,* MNHN syntypes. *Purpura rupestris,* MNHN syntypes.

**Designation of lectotype:** Deshayes' descriptions were based on four different specimens. We have therefore designated the specimen in Figure 1 as the lectotype.

**Type locality:** *Purpura emarginata*, San Miguel Island, California, USA. *Purpura rupestris*, no type locality recorded.

**Description:** Shell ovate, body whorl typically large, and spire both short and broadly conical. Color yellowish to brown, some banded. Whorls convex. When shell sculpture is well developed, spiral sculpturing consists of regularly spaced knobs at the distal margins of the spiral cords (Palmer et al., 1990: fig. 3). Body whorl with four to five rows of major spiral cords; some specimens with minor cords between major cords. Penultimate whorl with two cords. Columella curved, flattened; color light brown to white. Aperture large, outer lip sometimes wavy (depending on extent of external sculpturing), five teeth recessed from margin. Typically with a tooth or callus on the adapical end of the aperture of the shell (Figures 1A,



D). Egg capsules cylindrical with relatively short necks, distal flared margin. Shells and egg capsules of *N. emarginata* are figured extensively elsewhere; for examples see Palmer et al. (1990).

Geographic range: On rocky shores in the middle to high intertidal between Punta Eugenia, Baja California, Mexico and Fort Point, near San Francisco, California, USA. Although a single specimen from Punta Eugenia, Baja California Sur has been collected (LACM 51-43.16), N. emarginata appears to be rare south of Punta Cabras, Baja California, Mexico. In 1993 and 1994, PBM found N. emarginata to be abundant (approximately five to 10 individuals/m<sup>2</sup>) at Punta Descanso, Punta Mesquite, Punta Banda, Punta Santo Tomas, and Punta Cabras. However, 7 km to the south of Punta Cabras, only eight individuals were found at Ejido Erendira; only four individuals were collected farther south at Punta Baja. These observations correspond to a pattern found in the collections housed at the LACM: only two of 13 Baja California lots are from south of Punta Cabras (including the Punta Eugenia individual), totaling eight specimens. Nucella emarginata is patchily distributed but abundant in southern California wherever suitable rocky substrate is found. Between Point Conception, California and San Francisco, California, N. emarginata is found only in embayments such as Monterey Bay, San Luis Bay, Morro Bay, Half Moon Bay, and at Fort Point, just inside San Francisco Bay (see Palmer et al., 1990; Marko, 1998). LACM material from Tomales Bay, just north of San Francisco, includes two individuals that possess spiral knobs and an apertural tooth, suggesting that the geographic range of N. emarginata extends beyond San Francisco. PBM collected extensively in Tomales Bay between 1991-1997, but found no individuals with the uniquely derived morphological features of N. emarginata.

**Remarks:** *Purpura emarginata* was first described by Deshayes (1839) but no figures were published in the original description. A drawing was published 2 years later (Deshayes 1841), but this figure captures little detail of the shell. We therefore re-examined Deshayes' syntypes (Figure 1) and found that they possess the characteristic knobby sculpture on the body whorl of the shells that is characteristic of the southern species described by Palmer et al. (1990). Vanatta (1910:38) remarked that the name *N. emarginata* Deshayes refers to a "short-spired rather rough surfaced form," likely referring to the typically short-spired shell and rough, knobby sculpture of populations found from San Francisco south. In some populations where sculpture is weakly developed, such as in the vicinity of Point Conception, California (e.g., Figures 2R, T), the early (juvenile) whorls of the shell often exhibit the characteristic uniformly spaced knobs. One of us (GJV) noticed that a small tooth or callus on the adapical end of the columella also characterizes the southern species (Figure 1D). The presence of the adapical tooth can be subtle in thin-shelled specimens (e.g., Figure 2O), but is often well developed in shells from wave-protected environments (e.g., Figures 2M, Q). Due to its position on the inner surface of the columella, the presence of the adapical tooth is often readily diagnosed by sliding a blunt probe over the posterior end of the columella. Based on the correspondence between the morphological characters, the southern species recognized by Palmer et al. (1990) retains the name N. emarginata.

Deshayes' syntypes were said by their collector, M. Chiron, to have been collected in New Zealand (Deshayes, 1839). Dall (1915) later revised the type locality as San Miguel Island "where the typical form is abundant" (Dall, 1915:570). One of us (PBM) examined museum specimens from San Miguel Island (LACM 67–38.33, 60602, 60571, 60576) finding a mixture of both *N. emarginata* and *N. ostrina* morphologies. Although both species are likely present on San Miguel Island, we see no reason to change the type locality given the apparent abundance of snails at this location possessing the characteristic shell features of *N. emarginata*.

Dall (1915) suggested that Purpura lagenaria Duclos, 1832 (not of Lamarck, 1822) and Purpura rupestris Valenciennes, 1846, might be junior synonyms of Purpura emarginata. The syntypes of P. rupestris (MNHN) were recently identified as Lepsithais lacunosus (Bruguière, 1789), from New Zealand (B. Marshall, personal communication). However, the whereabouts of Purpura lagenaria Lamarck, 1822, figured by Duclos 1832, is unknown. This specimen may be in the Natural History Museum of Clermont-Ferrand (France), which houses the Duclos collection, but this collection is currently unavailable for study (P. Bouchet, personal communication). Nevertheless, the specimen figured by Duclos (Duclos, 1832: pl. 11, fig. 11) clearly possesses a curved siphonal canal. Therefore, if from the eastern Pacific, P. lagenaria is most likely not a member of the genus Nucella, and may instead be a specimen of Acanthinucella Cooke, 1918.

Several authors (Reeve, 1845; Tryon, 1880; Vanatta,

~

Figure 2. Morphological geographic variation (apertural and apical views, respectively) among *Nucella ostrina* (A–N) and *N. emarginata* (M–T). A, B. Sitka, Alaska (USNM 88842). C, D. Tatoosh Island, Washington. E, F. Cape Arago, Oregon. G, H. Shelter Cove, California. I, J. Ocean Beach, San Francisco, California. K, L. Soberanes Point, California. M, N. Half Moon Bay, California. O, P. Pacific Grove, California. Q, R. San Luis Obispo, California. S, T. Laguna Beach, California. Scale bar = 10 mm.

Shell character	N. ostrina	N. emarginata
Spire	Taller and more slender	Shorter and broader
Aperture	Typically flared	Narrow, with a callus or tooth on the abapical surface
External sculpture	Typically 5–6 continuous spiral cords	Evenly spaced spiral knobs
Egg capsules	Vase-shaped, with a longer neck	Cylindrical, with a shorter neck, flared distally

#### Table 1

Comparison of morphological differences between Nucella ostrina and N. emarginata.

1910; Dall, 1915) regarded *Purpura conradi* Nuttall as a junior synonym of *N. emarginata. Purpura conradi*, however, was never described, known only from an unpublished manuscript plus several specimens deposited in the NHM by Nuttall (see Reeve, 1845; Tryon, 1880). Therefore, it is not an available name and we do not include it in our synonymy. Reeve, Vanatta, and Tryon all remarked that the spiral cords of the *P. conradi* specimens are discontinuous, giving the shell a rough, knobby exterior. Two of us (PBM and GJV) have also examined the same shells (NHM 1855.3.14.34) and concur that they are specimens of *N. emarginata*.

## Nucella ostrina (Gould, 1852)

(Figures 1E-G, 2A-L)

- Purpura ostrina Gould, 1852: 244.—Gould, 1857: pl. 18, figs. 310a, b.—Johnson, 1964: 120, pl. 9, fig. 12.
- *Thais emarginata* variety *projecta* Dall, 1915: 571.—Dall, 1921: 112 (refers to a figure in Dall, 1915, that is not *T. emarginata* var. *projecta*).
- Nucella ostrina Abbott, 1974: 182, fig. 1910 (in synonymy with N. emarginata).

**Type material examined:** *Purpura ostrina* Gould 1852, lectotype, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University (MCZ 19275). *Thais emarginata* variety *projecta* Dall 1915, holotype, United States National Museum of Natural History (USNM 88842). *Purpura lapillus* variety *anomala* Middendorff 1849, holotype, Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences (ZIRAS 20633).

**Type locality:** *Purpura ostrina*, Killimook [Tillamook Bay], Oregon, USA. *Thais emarginata* variety *projecta*, Sitka, Alaska, USA. *Purpura lapillus* variety *anomala*, Lapland Russia (Barents Sea).

**Description:** Very similar to *N. emarginata.* Shell ovate, body whorl large, and spire both short and broadly conical. Color white to dark purple, some banded. Base color and banding variation extensive, greater than in *N. emarginata.* Whorls convex. When shell sculpture is well developed, spiral sculpturing consists of continuous spiral cords (Palmer et al., 1990:fig. 3). Body whorl with five to six rows of major spiral cords; most specimens with

minor cords between major cords. Penultimate whorl with two cords. Columella curved, flattened; color dark purple to white. Apertural teeth not common. Egg capsules vase-shaped, generally more slender than in *N. emarginata*.

#### Comparison: See Table 1.

**Geographic range:** From Yakutat, Alaska, (Vermeij et al., 1990) to Point Conception, California (Marko, 1998), but specimens also known from San Miguel Island, California (see above). Between San Francisco and Point Conception, *N. ostrina* is found predominantly on wave-exposed shores and seldom occurs in calm water environments.

**Remarks:** *Nucella ostrina* Gould (1852) is the oldest available name that has been applied to populations corresponding to the northern species. Although the *N. ostrina* lectotype has weak external shell sculpture, the spiral cords on the apex of this relatively tall shell are clearly continuous, the aperture is large, and the specimen lacks an adapical tooth on the columella. The only other taxon that potentially has priority over *N. ostrina* is *Purpura lapillus* var. *anomala* Middendorff, 1849, a species that resembles *N. ostrina* but which was synonymized with *N. emarginata* by Dall (1915). However, one of us (ARP) recently examined the *anomala* holotype, recognizing it as simply a highly aberrant specimen of *N. lapillus* collected in the North Atlantic.

Dall (1915) also published a detailed drawing of a single specimen from Lituya Bay, Alaska (USNM 220975) that possesses spiral knobs and an adapical apertural tooth. We have collected extensively throughout southeast Alaska and have found no specimens that remotely resemble the southern species in these respects. In fact, Alaskan specimens are typically unusually high spired and very thin shelled, such as Dall's (1915) T. emarginata var. projecta (Figures 2A, B, USNM 88842) from nearby Sitka, Alaska. Collections of N. ostrina (LACM 75-77.4 and 75-77.5) from the vicinity of Lituya Bay all lack an adapical tooth and are also high-spired shells with well developed spiral cords, similar to T. emarginata var. projecta (Figures 2A, B). Although some specimens of N. ostrina do exhibit weakly nodulose or bumpy sculpture, the specimen figured by Dall from Lituya Bay is unlike any specimen we know of from north of San Francisco. We therefore believe that the southeastern Alaska locality assigned to the single specimen from Lituya Bay is also in error.

#### RESULTS

A likelihood ratio test indicates that a constant rate of nucleotide substitution can be rejected across all CO1 nucleotide sites for *Nucella emarginata* and *N. ostrina* (2 $\Delta$ ln = 48.0, df = 28, *P* < 0.025). However, third position substitutions, most of which do not result in amino acid changes, satisfy the rate constancy assumption (2 $\Delta$ ln = 24.8, df = 28, *P* > 0.5). Therefore, we restricted our estimates of divergence times to third codon positions. Using a divergence of 5–10 Ma for *Nucella canaliculata* and the *N. emarginata/N. ostrina* clade, we obtained a rate of third position divergence of 3.1–6.6% per 1 Ma for CO1 third positions. Given that *Nucella ostrina* and *N. emarginata* differ by 1.1% at third positions, we infer *N. emarginata* and *N. ostrina* diverged 167,000–355,000 years.

#### DISCUSSION

The existence and identification of cryptic species has important implications for characterizing spatial and temporal patterns of species diversity and therefore for developing hypotheses about the relative timing of environmental changes and speciation (Mayr, 1942; Levinton & Simon, 1980; Michaux, 1989; Jackson & Cheetham, 1990; Knowlton, 1993; Budd et al., 1994; Klautau et al., 1999; Marko & Jackson, 2001). For example, because of the high frequency and relatively rapid pace of changes in climate, sea level, and coastal geomorphology along the Californian coast during the Pleistocene, rates of speciation have been hypothesized to be relatively high during this period of time because such environmental changes are believed to cause disruptions in gene flow between populations of intertidal marine organisms (Golikov, 1973; Valentine & Jablonski, 1983; Vermeij, 1989; Reid, 1990; Collins et al., 1996).

Direct comparisons of molluscan diversity between the Pleistocene and Recent, however, do not support the hypothesis that speciation and extinction rates were elevated during the last 1–2 million years: the strong similarity between Recent and Pleistocene faunas (e.g., Vedder & Norris, 1963; Marincovich, 1976; Valentine, 1989) is interpreted as evidence that the effects of speciation and extinction were likely negligible along rocky shores of the Californian coast during the last 1–2 Ma (Valentine & Jablonski, 1993; Lindberg & Lipps, 1996; Roy et al., 1996). However, if many evolutionarily distinct species, such as *N. emarginata* and *N. ostrina*, have been lumped into artificially cosmopolitan nominal species, rates of speciation and extinction in the fossil record are likely underestimated. A late Pleistocene separation for *N.* 

*emarginata* and *N. ostrina* therefore provides an important counter-example to the conclusion that little speciation occurred during the Pleistocene along rocky Californian shores. Instead, for *Nucella*, the Pleistocene may have been a period of limited morphological differentiation between species.

Inferred divergence times based on molecular sequence data have important caveats, even if sequences evolve at a constant rate. Poor calibrations, due to inconsistencies in the fossil record, often introduce the greatest source of error in molecular clock analyses (Lee, 1999; Yoder & Yang, 2000). For example, Nucella canaliculata and the N. emarginata/N. ostrina clade likely diverged earlier than the fossil record indicates because actual splits always predate the appearance of new taxa in the fossil record. An earlier divergence of the calibration taxa would result in a smaller inferred rate of CO1 divergence, which in turn would yield an earlier time of separation for N. emarginata and N. ostrina. However, a pre-Pleistocene divergence for N. ostrina and N. emarginata would entail a time of divergence for the calibration in excess of 40 Ma. Although we cannot reject an earlier time of divergence for our calibration taxa, an Eocene divergence for N. canaliculata and the N. ostrina/N. emarginata lineage (a crown clade within Nucella see Collins et al., 1996) is highly improbable given that the genus Nucella only first appears in the fossil record during the latest Oligocene or earliest Miocene (Collins et al., 1996).

A second source of error we consider is that the amount of sequence divergence between our calibration lineages may be significantly underestimated. Although assuming an explicit model of nucleotide substitution takes multiple substitutions at single nucleotide sites into account, error in the estimates of molecular divergence (i.e., branch lengths between taxa) may also result in poor estimates of divergence rates. Because the divergences of interest here are relatively recent (5 to 10 Ma), the problem of saturation of nucleotide positions with multiple substitutions is probably not an important factor: uncorrected mitochondrial third positions only begin to approach saturation in Nucella after 5 to 10 million years (Collins et al., 1996; Marko & Vermeij, 1999). Nevertheless, even if our estimates of sequence divergence are biased because of saturation, this bias is conservative with respect to our conclusions: larger sequence divergences between the taxa used to calibrate our molecular clock would result in larger rates of sequence divergence, which in turn would yield an even more recent range of divergence times for N. emarginata and N. ostrina.

The inherent difficulty in distinguishing biological species based on morphology alone in *Nucella* suggests that the process of speciation (i.e., the evolution of reproductive isolation) is not necessarily accompanied by dramatic morphological differentiation when compared to patterns of geographic variation within species (also see Palmer,

1985b). Therefore, interpretations of the fossil record of Nucella that employ the assumption that speciation may be recognized by significant morphological changes (e.g., Kerr, 1995) must be considered in light of the subtle differences in shell form between the two most recently diverged species, N. emarginata and N. ostrina. Although the extent of intraspecific variation in shell morphology in Nucella is somewhat unusual, making the recognition of biological species particularly difficult, the existence of cryptic species in rocky shore gastropods is not an uncommon phenomenon. For example, other prominent fossilizable taxa such as Littorina, Lottia, and Mexacanthina (formerly assigned to Acanthina, see Marko & Vermeij, 1999) all harbor morphologically similar but genetically distinct species on the Pacific coast of North America (Murphy, 1978; Mastro et al., 1982; Marko & Vermeij, 1999). As a consequence, species identifications based purely on morphological grounds must always be assessed in this context.

Acknowledgments. Part of the research presented here was supported by the Graduate Group in Population Biology (UC Davis) and a National Science Foundation Dissertation Improvement Grant to PBM. We thank D. Geiger, J. McLean, V. Héros, and A. Moran for numerous comments and suggestions. We thank L. Groves (LACM), V. Héros (MNHN), T. Nickens (USNM), P. Mordan (NHM), and A. Baldinger (MCZ) for access to collections and specimen loans.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- ABBOTT, R. T. 1974. American Seashells. 2nd ed. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company: New York. 662 pp.
- AVISE, J. C. 2000. Phylogeography: the History and Formation of Species. Harvard University Press: Cambridge, Massachusetts. 447 pp.
- AVISE, J. C. & D. WALKER. 1998. Pleistocene phylogeographic effects on avian populations and the speciation process. Proceedings of the Royal Society, London Biological Sciences 265:457–463.
- BUDD, A. F., K. G. JOHNSON & D. C. POTTS. 1994. Recognizing morphospecies in colonial reef corals: I. Landmark-based methods. Paleobiology 20:484–505.
- COLLINS, T. M., K. FRAZER, A. R. PALMER, G. J. VERMEIJ & W. M. BROWN 1996. Evolutionary history of northern hemisphere *Nucella* (Gastropoda, Muricidae): molecules, ecology, and fossils. Evolution 50:2287–2304.
- COOKE, A. H. 1915. The geographical distribution of Purpura lapillus (L.). Part I: In Palaearctic waters. Proceedings of the Malacological Society of London 11:192–209.
- DALL, W. H. 1915. Notes on the species of the molluscan subgenus *Nucella* inhabiting the northwest coast of America and adjacent regions. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 49:557–572.
- DALL, W. H. 1921. Summary of the marine shell-bearing mollusks of the northwest coast of America, from San Diego, California, to the Polar Sea, mostly contained in the collection of the United States National Museum, with illustrations of hitherto unfigured species. Smithsonian Institution United States National Museum Bulletin, 112:1–217.
- DESHAYES, G. P. 1839. Nouvelles espèces de mollusques. Revue Zoologique, par la Société Cuvierienne pp. 356–361.

- DESHAYES, G. P. 1841. G. Poupre: *Purpura*. Magasin de Zoologie (Guerin), pl. 25.
- DUCLOS, M. 1832. Description de quekques espècies de Pourpres, servant de type a six sections etablies dans ce genre. Annales des Sciences Naturelles 26:103–112.
- EDWARDS, S. V. & P. BEERLI. 2000. Gene divergence, population divergence, and the variance in coalescence time in phylogeographic studies. Evolution 54:1839–1854.
- FELSENSTEIN, J. 1988. Phylogenies from molecular sequences: inference and reliability. Annual Review of Genetics 22:521– 565.
- GOLIKOV, A. N. 1973. Species and speciation in poikilothermic animals. Marine Biology 12:257–268.
- GOULD, A. A. 1852. United States Exploring Expedition. Volume 12. Mollusca & Shells. Gould & Lincoln: Boston. 510 pp.
- GOULD, A. A. 1857. United States Exploring Expedition. Mollusca & Shells, Atlas. Gould & Lincoln: Boston.
- HASEGAWA, M., H. KISHINO & T. YANO. 1985. Dating the humanape splitting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. Journal of Molecular Evolution 22:160–174.
- HUELSENBECK, J. P. & K. A. CRANDALL. 1997. Phylogeny estimation and hypothesis testing using maximum likelihood. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 28:437–466.
- JACKSON, J. B. C. & A. H. CHEETHAM. 1990. Evolutionary significance of morphospecies: a test with cheilostome bryozoa. Science 248:579–583.
- JOHNSON, R. I. 1964. The Recent Mollusca of Augustua Addison Gould. United States National Museum Bulletin 239. Smithsonian Institution: Washington. 182 pp.
- JUKES, T. H. & C. R. CANTOR. 1969. Evolution of protein molecules. Pp. 21–132 in H. N. Munro (ed.), Mammalian Protein Metabolism. Academic Press: New York.
- KERR, R. A. 1995. Did Darwin get it all right? Science 267: 1421–1422.
- KLAUTAU, M., C. A. M. RUSSO, C. LAZOWSKI, N. BOURY-ES-NAULT, J. P. THORPE & A. M. SOLÉ-CAVA. 1999. Does cosmopolitanism result from overconservative systematics? A case study using the marine sponge *Chondrilla nucula*. Evolution 53:1414–1422.
- KNOWLTON, N. 1993. Sibling species in the sea. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 24:189–216.
- LAMARCK, J. B. 1822. Histoire Naturelle des Animaux sans Vertèbres. Verdière: Paris.
- LEE, M. S. Y. 1999. Molecular clock calibrations and metazoan divergence dates. Journal of Molecular Evolution 49:385– 391.
- LEVINTON, J. S. & C. M. SIMON. 1980. A critique of the punctuated equilibria model and implications for the detection of speciation in the fossil record. Systematic Zoology 29:130– 142.
- LINDBERG, D. R. & J. H. LIPPS. 1996. Reading the chronicle of quaternary temperate rocky shore faunas. Pp. 161–182 in D. Jablonski, D. H. Erwin & J. H. Lipps (eds.), Evolutionary Paleobiology. University of Chicago Press: Chicago.
- MARINCOVICH, L. 1976. Late Pleistocene molluscan faunas from upper terraces of the palos Verdes Hills, California. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County Contributions in Sciences 281:1–28.
- MARKO, P. B. 1998. Historical allopatry and the biogeography of speciation in the prosobranch snail genus *Nucella*. Evolution 52:757–774.
- MARKO, P. B. & J. B. C. JACKSON. 2001. Patterns of morphological diversity among Recent and fossil tropical American

geminate bivalves in the family Arcidae. Journal of Paleontology 75:590-606.

- MARKO, P. B. & G. J. VERMEIJ. 1999. Molecular phylogenetics and the evolution of labral spines among eastern Pacific ocenebrine gastropods. Molecular Phylogenetics & Evolution 13:275–288.
- MASTRO, E., V. CHOW & D. HEDGECOCK. 1982. Littorina scutulata and Littorina plena: sibling species status of two prosobranch gastropod species confirmed by electrophoresis. The Veliger 24:239–246.
- MAYR, E. 1942. Systematics and the Origin of Species. Columbia University Press: New York. 334 pp.
- MCLEAN, J. H., 1978. Marine Shells of Southern California. Revised ed. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Science Series 24:1–104.
- MICHAUX, B. 1989. Morphological variation of species through time. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 38:239–256.
- MIDDENDORFF, A. T. 1849. Beiträge zu einer Malacozoologia Rossica II. Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften: St. Petersburg. 247 pp.
- MURPHY, P. G. 1978. Colisella austrodigitalis sp. nov.: a sibling species of limpet (Acmaeidae) discovered by electrophoresis. Biological Bulletin 155:193–206.
- NEI, M. & W.-H. LI. 1979. Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 76: 5269–5273.
- OLDROYD, I. S. 1927. The marine shells of the West Coast of North America. Stanford University Publications Geological Series 2:230–236.
- PALMER, A. R. 1985b. Quantum changes in gastropod shell morphology need not reflect speciation. Evolution 39:699–705.
- PALMER, A. R., S. D. GAYRON & D. S. WOODRUFF. 1990. Reproductive, morphological, and genetic evidence for two cryptic species of northeastern Pacific *Nucella*. The Veliger 33:325– 338.
- REEVE, L. A. 1845. Conchologia Iconica: or, Illustratuions of the Shells of Molluscous Animals. Volume 3. Monograph of the Genus *Purpura*. London, Reeve, Brothers, King, William Street, Strand.
- REID, D. G. 1990. Trans-Arctic migration and speciation induced by climatic change: the biogeography of *Littorina* (Mollusca: Gastropoda). Bulletin of Marine Science 47:35–49.

- ROY, K., J. W. VALENTINE, D. JABLONSKI & S. M. KIDWELL 1996. Scales of climatic variability and time averaging in Pleistocene biotas: implications for ecology and evolution. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 11:458–463.
- SWOFFORD, D. L. 2001. PAUP\*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (\*and Other Methods). Version 4. Sinauer Associates: Sunderland.
- THORPE, JP. & A. M. SOLE-CAVA. 1994. The use of allozyme electrophoresis in invertebrate systematics. Zoologica Scripta 23:3–18.
- TRYON, G. W. 1880. Manual of Conchology, Volume 2 (Muricinae, Purpurinae). Academy of Natural Sciences: Philadelphia. 289 pp.
- VALENTINE, J. W. 1989. How good was the fossil record? Clues from the Californian Pleistocene. Paleobiology 15:83–94.
- VALENTINE, J. W. & D. JABLONSKI. 1983. Speciation in the shallow sea: General patterns and biogeographic controls. Pp. 201–226 in R. W. Sims, J. H. Price & P. E. S. Whalley (eds.), Evolution, Time and Space: The Emergence of a Biosphere, Academic Press: New York.
- VALENTINE, J. W. & D. JABLONSKI. 1993. Fossil communities: Compositional variation at many time scales. Pp. 341–349 in R. E. Ricklefs & D. Schluter (eds.), Species Diversity in Ecological Communities: Historical and Geographic Perspectives. University of Chicago Press: Chicago.
- VANATTA, E. G. 1910. *Purpura crispata* and *saxicola*. The Nautilus 24:37–38.
- VEDDER, J. G. & R. M. NORRIS. 1963. Geology of San Nicholas Island, California. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 369:1–65.
- VERMEIJ, G. J. 1978. Biogeography and Adaptation. Harvard University Press: Cambridge. Massachusetts 416 pp.
- VERMEIJ, G. J. 1989. Geographic restriction as a guide to the causes of extinction: the case of the cold northern oceans during the Neogene. Paleobiology 15:335–356.
- VERMEIJ, G. J., A. R. PALMER & D. R. LINDBERG. 1990. Range limits and dispersal of molluses in the Alcutian islands, Alaska. The Veliger 33:346–54.
- YANG, Z. 1994. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic estimation from DNA sequences with variable rates over sites: approximate methods. Journal of Molecular Evolution 39:306–314.
- YODER, A. D., & Z. YANG. 2000. Estimation of primate speciation dates using local molecular clocks. Molecular Biology & Evolution 17:1081–1090.



Marko, P B, Palmer, A R, and Vermeij, Geerat J. 2003. "Resurrection of Nucella ostrina (Gould, 1852), lectotype designation for N-emarginata (Deshayes, 1839), and molecular genetic evidence of Pleistocene speciation." *The veliger* 46, 77–85.

View This Item Online: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/134674</u> Permalink: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/97902</u>

**Holding Institution** Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

**Sponsored by** Biodiversity Heritage Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In Copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder. Rights Holder: California Malacozoological Society License: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/</u> Rights: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions/</u>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.