

# SOIL FUNGI FROM CHRYSANTHEMUM PLANTINGS<sup>1</sup>

CURTIS R. JACKSON

*Gulf Coast Experiment Station, Bradenton*

As a part of a study of soil-inhabiting plant pathogenic fungi, qualitative fungal analyses were made of soil samples collected at regular intervals during one year from commercial and experimental plantings of *Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ram. in Florida. The data presented primarily concern the identity rather than numbers of isolated fungi.

Previous surveys of the kinds of fungi in soils of the southeastern United States are limited to Louisiana and Georgia and were reviewed by Miller, *et al.* (1957). In Florida, studies have been directed toward estimating changes in numbers of soil microorganisms resulting from agricultural practices.

Three soil types were present in the 6 areas sampled. Two plantings were on St. Lucie fine sand, 3 were on Leon-Immokalee fine sand, and 1 was on Bradenton fine sand. These soils were, in every case, amended by the addition of peat and had been used for the culture of chrysanthemums during the previous year. The soil in each sampled area had been treated with steam, Vapam, or Mylone prior to planting to reduce the numbers of nematodes, fungi, and weeds. The pH values of the soils were between 5.6 and 6.8. The soil pH did not fluctuate more than 0.4 units in any area during the sampling period.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples from chrysanthemum beds were taken monthly, beginning prior to planting or within the first week after planting. Only 3 or 4 samples could be obtained during the crop period. Samples were collected in 2 series, the first during September-December from 2 commercial Yellow Iceberg plantings and 1 experimental mixed variety planting. The second series samples were collected during January-March from 3 commercial Iceberg plantings and 1 experimental mixed variety planting. All samples were collected monthly within a 10-day period.

Each soil sample, consisting of a pooled lot of 7 sub-sample

---

<sup>1</sup> Florida Agricultural Experiment Station Journal Series, No. 956.



cores, was taken from 1 bed in each area sampled. A soil sampling tube 1 inch in diameter was used to extract a cylindrical sample 6 inches long. The sub-sample cores were obtained from the same locations within the bed on successive sampling dates. Plastic bags, used to contain the samples, were disinfested before use and the sampling tube was immersed in 10% Clorox before each sample extraction.

In the laboratory each sample was mixed thoroughly and 12.5 g portions were placed in 3 separate flasks containing sterile 1% methyl cellulose solution. After roiling 15 seconds with an electrical mixer, one further dilution was made bringing each replicate dilution to approximately 1 in 5000. The moisture content of each sample was determined later and final soil dilutions were calculated. Sample data were adjusted to a dry-soil weight basis. Three Petri dishes, each containing 1 ml of the final soil dilution and approximately 10 ml of Rose Bengal-Streptomycin (RB-S) medium, were prepared from each sample replication. Aseptic techniques were used throughout laboratory processing. The cultures were placed at 24° C for 5 days after which they were marked to define the position of original colonies. Fungi were identified within 14 days.

The RB-S medium was similar to that used by Martin (1950) except that the Rose Bengal concentration was decreased to 1 in 67,000 and 60  $\mu$ g of streptomycin sulfate per milliliter of medium were used. Czapek's medium was used for the identification of species of *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium*.

## RESULTS

A soil dilution of 1 in 5,000-6,000 was satisfactory in most instances and permitted discrete growth of fungal colonies, especially those developing from samples collected soon after soil treatment. Mean estimated numbers of fungal bodies per gram of dry soil ranged from 12,000 (all initial samples) to 56,000 (all terminal samples). Fungal populations increased in all areas during the sampling periods but the rates of increase varied among sampled areas.

Identification of all fungi from the 49 samples was not possible since the routine nature of the study did not permit extensive sub-culturing. RB-S medium, while permitting the growth of a wide variety of fungi, was unfavorable for the sporulation of many fungi.



Eighty-five per cent of all fungi isolated were identified as to genus. Many isolations in various genera did not conform to the extant species descriptions available and were enumerated in a genus category. A tabulation of all identified fungi is given in table 1 with the percentage species frequency and the percentage genus frequency and abundance. Species frequency is the ratio of the number of samples in which the species occurred to the total number of samples. Genus frequency was calculated similarly. Genus abundance is the ratio of the total number of colonies of a genus in all samples to the total number of identified fungus colonies in all samples. Genus frequency and abundance are listed opposite the first entry of the genus.

A comparison of the genera of fungi from the 3 soil types revealed that only the genera *Penicillium*, *Fusarium*, *Trichoderma*, *Cladosporium*, and *Curvularia* were common to all soils during the spring sampling period. The numbers of genera in all soils were approximately equal but estimated fungal populations were consistently higher in samples from the planting on Bradenton fine sand. This soil has a greater moisture retention and is more compact than the other soils sampled. *Aspergillus*, *Mucor*, and *Rhizopus* were found in samples of Bradenton fine sand but were not found in other soils during the spring. The lighter sandy soils of the St. Lucie and Leon-Immokalee types had 8 genera in common. Three of these, *Phoma*, *Cephalosporium*, and *Phymatotrichum* were not found in samples of Bradenton fine sand.

#### DISCUSSION

The most abundant genera in the samples were *Penicillium*, *Fusarium*, and *Trichoderma* which comprised more than half of the fungal colonies identified. These genera were also the most frequent. *Syncephalastrum*, *Aposphaeria*, and *Pullularia* ranked 4-6 in abundance but none of these genera had a frequency of more than 10 per cent since they were restricted in distribution to several related samples. *Cladosporium*, *Curvularia*, *Aspergillus*, *Mucor*, and *Cephalosporium*, ranking 4-8 in frequency, were not represented by many colonies in the samples in which they occurred. Of the 49 genera listed in table 1, 84 per cent belong to the Fungi Imperfecti while the remaining few are distributed among the 3 other classes. Only 66 per cent of the 61 genera listed



by Miller *et al.* (1957) are classified in the Fungi Imperfecti and relatively larger numbers of Phycomycetes and Ascomycetes were found in their samples than in chrysanthemum soil samples.

The abundance ranking of genera from treated chrysanthemum soils is different from rankings given by workers in Georgia, Louisiana, and Texas. Surveys of soil fungi in these states revealed that *Aspergillus* ranked first or second in abundance. Miller *et al.* (1957) suggested that *Aspergillus* species are dominant in warm climates. A comparison between the order of abundance of fungi from treated Florida soils and from untreated cultivated or forest soils of other states is not entirely valid, since the presence or abundance of some fungi may be due to cultural practices. A soil treatment, by reducing the fungal population, favors the rapid growth of some surviving fungi. In addition fungal populations are influenced by the introduction of many genera of fungi on the roots and foliage of cuttings.

TABLE 1  
SUMMARY OF FUNGI ISOLATED FROM SOILS OF  
CHRYSANTHEMUM PLANTINGS

	% Genus frequency - abundance*	% Species frequency
Phycomycetes		
<i>Blakeslea trispora</i> Thax.	2	R
<i>Mucor</i> spp.	16	1.1
<i>M. fragilis</i> Bain.		2
<i>M. racemosus</i> Fres.		2
<i>Rhizopus nigricans</i> Ehrenb.	2	R
<i>Syncephalastrum racemosum</i> (Cohn) Schroet.	6	10.0
Ascomycetes		
<i>Arachniotus citrinus</i> Massee & Salm.	6	0.3
<i>Chaetomium</i> spp.	6	R
<i>Neocosmospora vasinfecta</i> E. F. Smith	2	R
Basidiomycetes		
<i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> Kuhn	4	R



TABLE 1—(Continued)  
SUMMARY OF FUNGI ISOLATED FROM SOILS OF  
CHRYSANTHEMUM PLANTINGS

	% Genus frequency - abundance*	% Species frequency
Fungi Imperfecti		
<i>Acremonium</i> sp.	6	R
<i>Acrotheca</i> sp.	2	R
<i>Alternaria tenuis</i> Nees ex Fr.	14	0.4
<i>Aposphaeria</i> sp.	8	5.3
<i>Aspergillus</i> spp.	33	2.6
<i>A. flavipes</i> (Bain. & Sart.) Thom & Church		4
<i>A. flavus</i> Link		8
<i>A. fumigatus</i> Fres.		2
<i>A. melleus</i> Yuk.		10
<i>A. niger</i> van Tiegh.		10
<i>A. ustus</i> (Bain.) Thom & Church		10
<i>A. versicolor</i> (Vuill) Tirab.		4
<i>A. wentii</i> Wehmer		2
<i>Bispora</i> sp.	12	1.0
<i>Botryosporium pulchrum</i> Corda	2	R
<i>Botrytis cinerea</i> Pers.	2	R
<i>Calcarisporium</i> sp.	2	R
<i>Cephalosporium</i> spp.	16	1.2
<i>C. acremonium</i> Corda		4
<i>Chalaropsis</i> sp.	2	R
<i>Cladosporium</i> spp.	43	3.4
<i>C. herbarum</i> Link ex Fr.		33
<i>C. epiphyllum</i> Pers.		8
<i>Coniothyrium</i> sp.	2	R
<i>Curvularia</i> spp.	35	1.0
<i>C. geniculata</i> (Tracy & Earle) Boedj.		6
<i>C. lunata</i> (Wakk.) Boedj.		8
<i>C. pallescens</i> Boedj.		8
<i>C. tetramera</i> (McKinney) Boedj.		2
<i>Diplodia</i> sp.	2	R
<i>Fusarium</i> spp.	45	13.1
<i>F. lateritium</i> Nees ex Fr.		
emend. Sny. & Hans.		2
<i>F. moniliforme</i> Scheldon emend.		
Sny. & Hans.		4
<i>F. nivale</i> (Fr.) Ces. emend. Sny. & Hans.		2



TABLE 1—(Continued)  
SUMMARY OF FUNGI ISOLATED FROM SOILS OF  
CHRYSANTHEMUM PLANTINGS

	% Genus frequency - abundance*		% Species frequency
<i>F. solani</i> (Mart.) Appel & Wr. emend. Sny. & Hans.			20
<i>F. roseum</i> Link emend. Sny. & Hans.			22
<i>Gliocladium</i> sp.	2	R	
<i>Gliomastix</i> sp.	4	R	
<i>Gonatobotryum</i> sp.	2	R	
<i>Harpographium</i> sp.	2	R	
<i>Heterosporium</i> sp.	2	R	
<i>Humicola</i> sp.	10	0.4	
<i>H. brevis</i> (Gilm. & Abb.) Gilm.			4
<i>Masoniella grisea</i> (Smith) Smith	4	R	
<i>Melanconium</i> sp.	10	0.3	
<i>Nigrospora</i> sp.	8	R	
<i>N. sphaerica</i> (Sacc.) Mason			6
<i>Oospora</i> sp.	2	R	
<i>Paecilomyces varioti</i> Bain.	4	0.4	
<i>Penicillium</i> spp.	84	35.3	
<i>P. brefeldianum</i> Dodge			4
<i>P. charlesii</i> Smith			2
<i>P. citrinum</i> Thom			10
<i>P. decumbens</i> Thom			14
<i>P. herquei</i> Bain. & Sart.			14
<i>P. janthinellum</i> Biourge			2
<i>P. lanosum</i> Westling			8
<i>P. lilacinum</i> Thom			2
<i>P. oxalicum</i> Currie & Thom			12
<i>P. paxilli</i> Bain.			8
<i>P. simplicissimum</i> (Oud.) Thom			4
<i>P. velutinum</i> van Beyma			2
<i>P. wortmanni</i> Klock.			14
<i>Phoma</i> sp.	22	2.0	
<i>P. hibernica</i> Grimes, O'Conner & Cummins			10
<i>Phymatotrichum</i> spp.	14	1.1	
<i>Pullularia</i> sp.	4	5.0	
<i>P. pullulans</i> (de Bary) Berk.			2
<i>Pyrenochaeta</i> sp.	4	R	
<i>Scopulariopsis</i> spp.	4	R	
<i>S. brevicaulis</i> Bain.			2



TABLE 1—(Continued)

SUMMARY OF FUNGI ISOLATED FROM SOILS OF  
CHRYSANTHEMUM PLANTINGS

	% Genus frequency - abundance*		% Species frequency
<i>Sporotrichum</i> sp.	4	0.3	
<i>S. pruinosa</i> Gilm. & Abb.			2
<i>Spicaria</i> sp.	4	0.4	
<i>S. simplicissima</i> Oud.			2
<i>Stysanus medius</i> Sacc.	2	R	
<i>Stemphylium botryosum</i> Wallr.	8	0.2	
<i>Trichoderma</i> spp.	53	12.7	
<i>T. glaucum</i> Abb.			4
<i>T. viride</i> Pers. ex Fr.			53
<i>Trichothecium roseum</i> Link	2	R	
<i>Trichocladium</i> sp.	2	R	
<i>Zygosporium</i> sp.	2	R	

\* Genus abundance of less than 0.2% is listed as rare (R).

The relative paucity of *Aspergillus*, *Phycomycetes*, and *Ascomycetes* in treated soils may reflect differences in susceptibility of fungi to soil treatments as well as response to edaphic conditions.

*Ascomycetes* were absent from most samples. *Arachniotus citrinus* was isolated in several related samples in the early fall. The genera *Talaromyces* C. R. Benj. and *Carpenteles* Langer., listed by Gilman (1957) as the ascigerous stages of *Penicillium wortmanni* and *P. brefeldianum*, were isolated occasionally.

Fungi Imperfecti were the most numerous fungi in all samples. Several genera, apparently infrequently reported from soil, were isolated during the study. The genera *Melanconium*, *Aposphaeria*, *Diplodia*, *Gonatobotryum*, *Harpographium*, *Zygosporium*, *Calcarisporium*, *Bispora*, and *Acrotheca* are not included among the genera listed by Gilman (1957).

Isolations of *Trichoderma*, with the exception of *T. glaucum*, could not be separated on any basis other than occasional color and growth rate differences. This separation was not reliable since these differences occurred in a series of gradations. Therefore all isolates, except *T. glaucum*, were referred to *T. viride*, considered



by Bisby (1939) to include *T. lignorum* Tode ex Harz and *T. koningi* Oud.

*Rhizoctonia solani* was isolated on only a few occasions; no other proven chrysanthemum pathogens were found. The relationships that may have existed among numbers and kinds of fungi and such variables as soil treatment methods, other cultural practices, varieties, and climatic conditions were not explored.

#### SUMMARY

Soil fungi were isolated from a total of 49 samples collected in 6 chrysanthemum plantings in Florida. The soils of all areas were treated before planting and sampling to reduce numbers of nematodes, fungi, and weeds. Forty-nine genera of fungi were identified, of which 41 were Fungi Imperfecti. The 3 most abundant and frequent genera were *Penicillium*, *Fusarium*, and *Trichoderma*. Approximately equal numbers of genera were isolated from 3 soil types sampled, but estimated fungal populations were greater in samples of Bradenton fine sand.

#### LITERATURE CITED

BISBY, G. R.

1939. *Trichoderma viride* Pers. ex Fries and notes on *Hypocrea*. Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc., 23: 149-168.

GILMAN, J. C.

1957. A manual of soil fungi. Iowa State College Press, Ames, Iowa.

MARTIN, J. P.

1950. Use of acid, rose bengal, and streptomycin in the plate method for estimating soil fungi. Soil Sci. 69: 215-232.

MILLER, J. H., *et. al.*

1957. A survey of fungi of forest and cultivated soils of Georgia. Mycologia, 49: 779-808.





Jackson, C. R. 1959. "Soil fungi from chrysanthemum plantings." *Quarterly journal of the Florida Academy of Sciences* 22, 147–154.

**View This Item Online:** <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/129616>

**Permalink:** <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/91785>

**Holding Institution**

Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

**Sponsored by**

Biodiversity Heritage Library

**Copyright & Reuse**

Copyright Status: In Copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

License: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>

Rights: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions/>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.