

THE EYE FLUKE DISEASE (DIPLOSTOMATOSIS) IN FISHES FROM UTAH

Richard A. Heckmann¹ and James R. Palmieri¹

ABSTRACT.— During 1976 and 1977, 798 fish representing 11 species from eight collection sites in Utah were examined for metacercariae of *Diplostomum spathaceum*, which causes the fish eye fluke disease, diplostomosis. Eight species were infected. The infection rate ranged from 7 percent of 46 *Salmo gairdneri* from Soldiers Creek Reservoir to 100 percent in 7 species of fish from four collection sites. Summary charts for four years of data are given for collection sites, piscine hosts in Utah, and fish hosts for Strawberry Reservoir, Utah.

During the past four years we have been studying the incidence, life history, morphology, host-parasite relationships, and control of *Diplostomum spathaceum* in Utah fishes. Palmieri et al. (1977) reported on the life history and habitat analysis of the eye fluke. The incidence of infection for 1974 and 1975 was reported in a previous publication (Evans et al. 1976).

Diplostomum spathaceum (Rudolfi 1819), or the fish eye fluke which causes the disease diplostomosis, was reported in Strawberry Reservoir, Utah, by the Division of Wildlife Resources in 1973. Fish are the most common second intermediate hosts; however, infections in amphibians, reptiles, and mammals have also been reported (Ferguson 1943). Once the cercariae have penetrated the second intermediate host, they lose their forked tails and migrate to the lens tissue, where the metacercariae develop in 50–60 days (Erasmus 1958). Diplostomosis causes cataracts of the lens tissue, due to the presence of the metacercarial stage of this parasite. Visual acuity for infected fish can be slightly hampered or lost, depending on the number of worms present. In addition to visual loss, fish show retarded growth and a change in food habits. Increase in the incidence of the disease in the state has generated public and academic concern.

The purpose of this paper is to report on the last two years of survey data

(1976–1977) for the prevalence of the eye fluke in fish, indicate new hosts for Utah and sites of infection, and summarize four years of data for Strawberry Reservoir, Utah.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A survey of piscine hosts was accomplished with the cooperation of the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources. Regional fisheries biologists provided heads of fish sampled during routine and annual investigations of fish populations throughout the state. Arrangements were made to obtain the samples following the inventories accomplished by the state fisheries biologists. Fish were collected by gill net, seine, and hook and line, then placed in ice chests until the senior author obtained the samples for study at Brigham Young University. The species was determined and recorded.

In the laboratory, fish were examined for metacercariae of *D. spathaceum*. Each individual eye was extracted and carefully examined, records of fish and lens condition determined, and individual numbers of worms found infecting the right and left eye recorded.

RESULTS

A total of 798 fish were examined from eight collection sites in Utah during 1976

¹Department of Zoology, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah 84602.

and 1977 (Table 1). Of the 11 species of fish, 8 were infected with the metacercariae of *D. spathaceum* (Table 1).

There are 13 known piscine hosts for the eye fluke in Utah (Table 2), which have been found at 14 sites (Table 3). From pre-

TABLE 1. Location, fish host, and parasite infection data of *Diplostomum spathaceum* for Utah (1976 and 1977).

| Collection date | Reservoir collection locality | Hosts collected | Number of fish | Percent infection of fish | Average number metacercariae/host (Range in parenthesis) |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--|
| 27-VI-1976 | East Canyon | <i>Salmo gairdneri</i> | 19 | 95 | 7.4 (0-30) |
| | | <i>Salmo clarki</i> | 3 | 100 | 2.0 (1-3) |
| | | <i>Richardsonius balteatus</i> | 6 | 100 | 9.7 (1-16) |
| | | <i>Catostomus ardens</i> | 7 | 100 | 66.7 (7-105) |
| 27-IV-1976 | Echo | <i>Salmo gairdneri</i> | 8 | 13 | 1 (0-1) |
| | | <i>Prosopium williamsoni</i> | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| | | <i>Cyprinus carpio</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | | <i>Catostomus ardens</i> | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | | <i>Catostomus platyrhynchus</i> | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 27-IV-1976 | Rockport | <i>Salmo trutta</i> | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | | <i>Salmo gairdneri</i> | 14 | 14 | 1.5 (0-2) |
| | | <i>Catostomus platyrhynchus</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | | <i>Gila atraria</i> | 12 | 25 | 1 (0-1) |
| 30-IV-1976 | Lost Creek | <i>Salmo clarki</i> | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| | | <i>Salmo gairdneri</i> | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| | | <i>Catostomus ardens</i> | 14 | 72 | 18.0 (0-131) |
| | | <i>Gila atraria</i> | 27 | 48 | 3.1 (0-6) |
| 14-V-1976 | Hyrum Dam | <i>Salmo gairdneri</i> | 55 | 100 | 16.4 (3-42) |
| | | <i>Salmo trutta</i> | 5 | 80 | 4.3 (0-7) |
| | | <i>Cyprinus carpio</i> | 8 | 50 | 6.2 (0-10) |
| 13-V-1976 | Pineview | <i>Ictalurus melas</i> | 9 | 78 | 2.6 (0-5) |
| | | <i>Salmo gairdneri</i> | 16 | 86 | 2.7 (0-7) |
| | | <i>Cyprinus carpio</i> | 2 | 50 | 4.0 (0-4) |
| | | <i>Gila atraria</i> | 12 | 100 | 3.1 (1-7) |
| | | <i>Lepomis macrochirus</i> | 12 | 100 | 3.6 (1-10) |
| 19-V-1976 | Soldiers Creek | <i>Salmo gairdneri</i> | 46 | 7 | 1.3 (0-2) |
| | | <i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-V-1976 | Strawberry | <i>Salmo clarki</i> | 19 | 84 | 3.0 (0-11) |
| | | <i>Salmo gairdneri</i> | 82 | 100 | 30.1 (1-200+) |
| | | <i>Catostomus platyrhynchus</i> | 15 | 100 | 151.5 (58-200+) |
| | | <i>Richardsonius balteatus</i> | 18 | 100 | 6.3 (1-23) |
| 28-V-1976 | Strawberry | <i>Richardsonius balteatus</i> | 25 | 100 | 5.9 (1-72) |
| 28-XI-1976 | Strawberry | <i>Salmo clarki</i> | 30 | 80 | 2.6 (0-18) |
| | | <i>Gila atraria</i> | 34 | 97 | 3.6 (0-10) |
| | | <i>Salmo gairdneri</i> | 52 | 100 | 85.4 (3-200+) |
| | | <i>Richardsonius balteatus</i> | 9 | 89 | 2.8 (0-6) |
| 3-VI-1977 | Strawberry | <i>Salmo gairdneri</i> | 27 | 100 | 34.2 (1-200+) |
| | | <i>Salmo clarki</i> | 15 | 93 | 3.4 (0-12) |
| | | <i>Richardsonius balteatus</i> | 6 | 100 | 4.1 (1-15) |
| | | <i>Gila atraria</i> | 20 | 90 | 3.6 (0-9) |
| | | <i>Catostomus platyrhynchus</i> | 10 | 100 | 189.1 (62-200+) |
| 15-VI-1977 | Strawberry | <i>Salmo gairdneri</i> | 31 | 100 | 35.2 (1-200+) |
| | | <i>Salmo clarki</i> | 14 | 86 | 2.8 (0-7) |
| | | <i>Gila atraria</i> | 16 | 100 | 3.4 (1-14) |
| | | <i>Catostomus platyrhynchus</i> | 10 | 100 | 176.2 (58-200+) |
| | | <i>Richardsonius balteatus</i> | 10 | 90 | 3.5 (0-9) |
| | | <i>Catostomus ardens</i> | 12 | 100 | 12.3 (3-17) |
| | | <i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i> | 1 | 100 | 168 (168) |
| | | <i>Rhinichthys cataractae</i> | 10 | 0 | 0 |

vious published data (Palmieri et al. 1976b, 1977) we have added 3 additional hosts and included 4 more sites for diplostomatosis in Utah. The rate of infection varied from 7 percent for a *Salmo gairdneri* from Soldiers Creek Reservoir to 100 percent found in seven species of fish (*Salmo gairdneri*, *Richardsonius balteatus*, *Catostomus ardens*, *Gila atraria*, *Lepomis macrochirus*, *Catostomus platyrhynchus*, *Salvelinus fontinalis*) from 4 of the 8 collection sites (Table 1).

To date, a total of 1637 fish, including 22 species from 21 collection sites throughout Utah, have been checked for diplostomatosis. Although the number of metacercariae in the right and left lenses of individual hosts seldom was identical, no significant lens preference was noted. Examination of data related to host-sex susceptibility to infection by metacercariae of *D. spathaceum* revealed no significant correlation.

The rate of infection of *D. spathaceum* across Utah is high, as exemplified by samples from Strawberry Reservoir (Table 4). For this body of water, three species of fish, *Salmo gairdneri*, *Salvelinus fontinalis*, and *Catostomus platyrhynchus*, have large numbers of metacercariae which probably impair vision.

DISCUSSION

Samples of fish from eight collection sites in Utah were examined for *Diplostomum spathaceum* metacercariae. These data substantiated previous results (Palmieri et al. 1977) that the rate of infection across Utah is high and widespread. Three additional piscine hosts and four reservoirs are included on the lists for intermediate hosts and habitats respectively. There are sites in Utah where the fluke has not been found. The reason for this is indicated by the lack of the needed shoreline and bottom vegetation, so important for the development, growth, and reproduction of snails. The lack of vegetation, snail, and gull hosts, as well as the presence of low water temperatures, probably accounts for the low infection rate in high alpine lakes. The lack of shoreline vegetation is another reason for the absence of the disease in reservoirs and lakes at lower elevations.

There has been an increase in the incidence of metacercariae for fish in Strawberry Reservoir (Table 4). *Salmo gairdneri* has gone through an increase in prevalence and numbers during the last four years. The last samples of this piscine host suggest a stabilization in number of worms per eye (range 1 to 200+). The Utah chub, *Gila atraria*, has become prominent in recent gill net samples from Strawberry Reservoir. All

TABLE 2. Summary of fish hosts positive for metacercariae of *Diplostomum spathaceum* in Utah.

| Fish host | Number examined | Range of infection with metacercariae (percent) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| <i>Catostomus ardens</i> | 42 | 72-100 |
| <i>Catostomus discobolus</i> | 26 | 0-5.8 |
| <i>Catostomus platyrhynchus</i> | 117 | 60.5-100 |
| <i>Cyprinus carpio</i> | 8 | 0-50 |
| <i>Gila atraria</i> | 210 | 0-100 |
| <i>Ictalurus melas</i> | 9 | 0-78 |
| <i>Lepomis macrochirus</i> | 12 | 0-100 |
| <i>Micropterus salmoides</i> | 61 | 0-49 |
| <i>Salmo clarki</i> | 179 | 0-100 |
| <i>Salmo gairdneri</i> | 792 | 0-100 |
| <i>Salmo trutta</i> | 21 | 0-100 |
| <i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i> | 14 | 0-100 |
| <i>Richardsonius balteatus</i> | 91 | 0-100 |

TABLE 3. Collecting sites for intermediate hosts (fish) of *Diplostomum spathaceum* in Utah.

| Collection site | County |
|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Ash and LaVerkin creeks | Washington |
| Deer Creek Reservoir | Wasatch |
| Echo Reservoir* | Morgan |
| East Canyon Reservoir* | Davis |
| Fish Lake* | Sevier |
| Flaming Gorge Reservoir* | Daggett |
| Hyrum Reservoir* | Cache |
| Kolob Reservoir | Washington |
| Lake Powell | Kane and San Juan |
| Lost Creek Reservoir* | Summit |
| Mantua Reservoir* | Box Elder |
| Mirror and Lost lakes | Summit |
| Nine-Mile Reservoir* | Sanpete |
| Otter Creek Reservoir* | Piute |
| Palisade Lake* | Sanpete |
| Pineview Reservoir* | Weber |
| Rockport Reservoir* | Summit |
| Scofield Reservoir | Carbon |
| Soldiers Creek Reservoir* | Wasatch |
| Strawberry Reservoir* | Wasatch |
| Utah Lake | Utah |

*Contain infected fish

the chub from the last sample were infected with limited numbers (1 to 14 per host) of metacercariae. There has been no significant change in the infection rate for *Salmo clarki*, *Catostomus platyrhynchus*, and *Salvelinus fontinalis* during the four-year sampling period for Strawberry Reservoir (Table 4).

The pathological effects of *Diplostomum spathaceum* upon the fish host are many.

Examination of those fish blinded with cataract and containing a heavy burden of larval metacercariae revealed stunted growth (length, girth, and weight), abnormal feeding behavior (lack of response to visual stimuli), and decreased vital acuity (Palmieri et al. 1977). Ashton et al. (1969) reported that larvae migrate to the eye via vascular-venous channels and showed that the lens, vitreous, or cortex of the eye may be pro-

TABLE 4. Fish sampled from Strawberry Reservoir, four years.

| Host species | Date of sample | Number of fish | Percent infection | Average number of metacercariae (Range in parenthesis) |
|--|----------------|----------------|-------------------|--|
| <i>Salmo clarki</i> (Cutthroat trout) | 20-IV-74 | 19 | 48 | 3.4 (0-5) |
| | 6-IX-74 | 9 | 56 | 4.2 (0-6) |
| | 5-VI-75 | 75 | 88 | 7.3 (0-23) |
| | 30-X-75 | 10 | 90 | 2.1 (0.5) |
| | 20-V-76 | 19 | 84 | 3.0 (0-11) |
| | 28-XI-76 | 30 | 80 | 2.6 (0-18) |
| | 3-VI-77 | 15 | 93 | 3.4 (0-12) |
| | 15-VI-77 | 14 | 86 | 2.8 (0-7) |
| <i>Salmo gairdneri</i> (Rainbow trout) | 20-VI-74 | 4 | 75 | 8.6 (0-20) |
| | 9-VIII-74 | 49 | 98 | 13.4 (0-22) |
| | 6-XI-74 | 71 | 98 | 11.9 (0-27) |
| | 5-VI-75 | 35 | 97 | 40.0 (0-200+) |
| | 8-VII-75 | 2 | 100 | 33.5 (1-200+) |
| | 10-VII-75 | 2 | 100 | 2.0 (1-13) |
| | 30-X-75 | 53 | 93 | 14.5 (0-54) |
| | 20-V-76 | 82 | 100 | 30.1 (1-200+) |
| | 18-XI-76 | 52 | 100 | 85.4 (1-200+) |
| | 3-VI-77 | 27 | 100 | 34.2 (1-200+) |
| <i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i> (Brook trout) | 15-VI-77 | 31 | 100 | 35.2 (1-200+) |
| | 30-X-75 | 3 | 100 | 32.6 (5-31) |
| | 5-VI-75 | 4 | 100 | 140.9 (25-200+) |
| <i>Catostomus platyrhynchus</i> (Mountain sucker) | 15-VI-77 | 1 | 100 | 168 (168) |
| | 20-VI-74 | 8 | 100 | 102.9 (35-200+) |
| | 6-XI-74 | 15 | 100 | 81.1 (6-200+) |
| | 5-VI-75 | 21 | 100 | 112.0 (25-200+) |
| | 30-X-75 | 9 | 100 | 159.6 (39-200+) |
| | 20-V-76 | 15 | 100 | 151.5 (25-200+) |
| | 3-VI-77 | 10 | 100 | 189.1 (62-200+) |
| | 15-VI-77 | 10 | 100 | 176.2 (58-200+) |
| <i>Catostomus ardens</i> (Utah sucker) | 5-VI-75 | 11 | 100 | 8.8 (2-14) |
| | 15-VI-77 | 12 | 100 | 12.3 (3-17) |
| <i>Richardsonius balteatus</i> (Redside shiner) | 5-VI-75 | 5 | 80 | 30.6 (0-57) |
| | 30-X-75 | 22 | 95 | 7.2 (0-16) |
| | 20-V-76 | 18 | 100 | 6.3 (1-23) |
| | 28-V-76 | 25 | 100 | 5.9 (1-22) |
| | 28-XI-76 | 9 | 89 | 2.8 (0-6) |
| | 3-VI-77 | 6 | 100 | 4.1 (1-15) |
| | 15-VI-77 | 10 | 90 | 3.5 (0-9) |
| <i>Gila atraria</i> (Utah chub) | 28-XI-76 | 34 | 80 | 2.6 (0-18) |
| | 3-VI-77 | 20 | 90 | 3.6 (0-9) |
| | 15-VI-77 | 16 | 100 | 3.4 (1-14) |
| <i>Rhinichthys cataractae</i> | 15-VI-77 | 10 | 0 | 0 0 |

liferated with metacercariae. In older fish, chronic infections produced subacute inflammatory reactions in the vitreous involving heterophils and eosinophils, and macrophages with ingested lens material occurred.

There are many possible techniques to investigate concerning the control of diplostomosis. One that shows promise is biological control by the use of a protozoan hyperparasite, *Nosema strigeoidea* (Protozoa: Microsporida). Hussey reported in 1971 the above species of microsporidia to be host specific for hyperparasitizing sporocysts of *Diplostomum spathaceum*. Palmieri et al. (1976a, 1976c) substantiated Hussey's work for the eye fluke in Utah.

Spores of *N. strigeoidea* were introduced to laboratory-reared snails (*Lymanaea auricularia*) containing sporocysts of *D. spathaceum*. The spores selectively attack the mother and daughter sporocysts as well as the developing cercarial embryos and retard, disfigure, and disrupt normal cercarial development. The microsporidian spores have no outward pathological effect upon the molluscan host. Further work is needed to determine the potential use of this control method for diplostomosis and investigations pertaining to resistant fish hosts, stimulus for cercarial migration, immune responses, and the potential human health hazard.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources for specimen collection and the National Science Foundation (Grant EPP 75-04396) for financial support.

LITERATURE CITED

- ASHTON, N., N. BROWN, AND D. EASTY. 1969. Trematode cataract in freshwater fish. J. Small Anim. Pract. 10:471-478.
- ERASMUS, D. A. 1958. Studies on the morphology, biology, and development of a strigeid cercariae (cercaria X Baylis). Parasitology 48:312-335.
- EVANS, R. S., R. A. HECKMANN, AND J. R. PALMIERI. 1976. Diplostomosis in Utah. Proc. Utah Acad. Sci. 53(1):20-25.
- FERGUSON, M. 1943. Development of eye flukes of fishes in the lenses of frogs, turtles, birds and mammals. J. Parasitol. 29:136-142.
- HUSSEY, K. L. 1971. A microsporidean hyperparasite of strigeoid trematodes *Nosema strigeoidea* sp. n. J. Parasitol. 18(4):676-679.
- PALMIERI, J. R., R. A. HECKMANN, AND R. S. EVANS. 1977. Life history and habitat analysis of the eye fluke *Diplostomum spathaceum* (Trematoda: Diplostomatidae) in Utah. J. Parasitol. 63:427-429.
- . 1976b. Life cycle and incidence of *Diplostomum spathaceum* Rudolphi (1819) (Trematoda: Diplostomatidae) in Utah. Great Basin Nat. 36(1):86-96.
- PALMIERI, J. R. AND R. A. HECKMANN. 1976a. Potential biological control of diplostomosis (*Diplostomum spathaceum*) in fishes by hyperparasitism. Proc. Utah Acad. Sci. 53(1):17-19.
- PALMIERI, J. R., R. A. HECKMANN, AND A. CALI. 1976c. Experimental biological control of the eye fluke *Diplostomum spathaceum* by a hyperparasite, *Nosema strigeoidea* (Protozoa: Microsporida). J. Parasitol. 62(2):325-326.



Heckmann, R A and Palmieri, J R. 1978. "THE EYE FLUKE DISEASE DIPLOSTOMATOSIS IN FISHES FROM UTAH USA." *The Great Basin naturalist* 38, 473–477.

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/33086>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/91133>

Holding Institution

Harvard University, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Ernst Mayr Library

Sponsored by

Harvard University, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Ernst Mayr Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

Rights Holder: Brigham Young University

License: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>

Rights: <https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.