the past two or three years have devoted much time to raspberries have hardly touched them this season." J. L. Cowgill of West Falls Church, Va. states that he has noted "very little damage from birds this year on small fruits in the neighborhood. Two years ago, the birds destroyed a great many early cherries; this year practically no damage could be seen." Charles R. Posey of Baltimore writes: "the only fruit which I had an opportunity of observing during the visitation of the locusts was cherries, and I believe these to have practically entirely escaped damage by birds. The locusts were excessively abundant."

These observations give further support to a conclusion reached by most students of economic ornithology, that birds almost invariably specialize on the most abundant or most easily accessible food supply. This trait leads to destructiveness when the abundant food supply is a cultivated fruit or grain, as well as to usefulness when it is an injurious insect, or as in the present case, where the effect is diversion of attack from cultivated crops to an abundant insect of no decided economic significance one way or the other. — W. L. MCATEE, U. S. *Biological Survey, Washington, D. C.*

Nomenclatural Casuistry.— Human laws in their origin and application rest upon a foundation of common sense, and what is true of jurisprudence is equally true of nomenclature. Its laws, canons or rules must meet the approval of the majority of the few who frame them and use them or they will fail in their purpose. Now and then they suffer through a strained interpretation and it is a case of this sort to which attention is here drawn because it threatens to open wide the door to all kinds of nomenclatural casuistry.

Recently, a western race of the Red-headed Woodpecker has been described (Oberholser, Canadian Field-Nat. XXXIII, September 1919, pp. 48-50). Whether the race is worthy of recognition need not now concern us, but a name has been selected that was used purely inadvertently Even the describer admits this for he begins by saying: in a local list. "The name Melanerpes erythrophthalmus is apparently a lapsus calami for Melanerpes erythrocephalus and there is no other evidence that the author intended to describe a new species or subspecies. The name Melanerpes erythrophthalmus does not occur in the index but the species is duly entered there as Melanerpes erythrocephalus." Farther quotation and farther comment would seem superfluous for Article 19 of the International Rules of Nomenclature is applicable both in the spirit and in the letter. Here is a very obvious lapsus calami according to contemporaneous evidence whether the slip be of the pen or of the brain that directed the pen. We all have such slips and perhaps Art. 19 is designated to protect frail humanity. To put another construction upon this case is to make a plaything of nomenclature and set us wondering how far its rules may be twisted into producing fantastic results. Let it not be forgotten that we need a safe and sane nomenclature. — JONATHAN DWIGHT, M. D., 34 E. 70th St., New York City.



Dwight, Jonathan. 1920. "Nomenclatural Casuistry." *The Auk* 37, 145–145. https://doi.org/10.2307/4072995.

View This Item Online: https://doi.org/10.2307/4072995 DOI: https://doi.org/10.2307/4072995 Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/86369

Holding Institution Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

Sponsored by Smithsonian

Copyright & Reuse Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.