Laurentiaux D., in Piveteau, 1953. *Traité de Paléontologie* vol. 3, pp. 397–526. Carpenter, F., 1960. Studies on North American Carboniferous Insects, 1. The Protodonata, *Psyche*, pp. 98–110.

Rohdendorf, B.B., 1962. Fundamentals of Paleontology, Moscow pp. 1–560. Callahan, P., 1972. The Evolution of Insects, Holiday House NY, pp. 1–192. Tasch, P., 1973. Palaeobiology of the Invertebrata, Wiley, pp. 1–945.

4. The International Commission is therefore requested:

(1) to use its plenary powers to conserve the unjustified emendation *Typus* Sellards, 1909 by the suppression of *Tupus* Sellards 1906 for the purposes of the Law of Priority but not for those of the Law of Homonymy.

(2) to place on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology; the generic name *Typus* Sellards, 1909 (gender: masculine), type-species, by monotypy,

Tupus [sic] permianus Sellards, 1906.

(3) to place on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology; the specific name *permianus*, 1906, as published in the binomen *Tupus permianus* (typespecies of *Typus* Sellards, 1909).

(4) to place on the Official List of Family-Group Names in Zoology; the family name TYPIDAE Handlirsch,

1919 (type-genus Tupus Sellards, 1906).

(5) to place on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology the generic name *Tupus* Sellards, 1906 (as suppressed under the plenary powers in (1) above).

COMMENT ON PROPOSED CONSERVATION OF THE GENERIC NAME TYPUS SELLARDS, 1909 (INSECTA, PROTODONATA). Z.N.(S.) 2359

By D.L.F. Sealy (Dept. of Palaeontology, British Museum (Natural History), London)

I wish to oppose the proposed conservation (Bull. zool. Nom. 37(4), p. 194) of the genus-group name Typus Sellards 1909 on two grounds, one commonplace

and one possibly unique. Neither is strictly entomological.

1. The name *Typus* should not be conserved because *Tupus* Sellards, 1906 has priority, was validly proposed, is not preoccupied and is objectively synonymous. There is no evidence in the original 1906 publication of Sellards of a typographical error as the name is used a number of times in the paper. A validly proposed genus-group name cannot be changed, even by its original author, on grounds of 'inappropriateness' or other second thoughts, unless preoccupied (*Int. Code* Art. 18a, 19). The name *Tupus* is a transliteration into Latin of the Greek word τυπος, presumably meaning, in this case, an outline or impression. While the *Code* (Appendix B) recommends upsilon be transliterated as y, this letter was not used in classical Latin until the 1st Century BC. Can one argue that *Typus* is a more

appropriate transliteration? That this subsequent emendation should have been widely used, I believe in error, by many authors since 1909 is beside the point. Whalley (1980) has now demonstrated the error, at my instigation, and Sellards'

original spelling Tupus should, I submit, be allowed to stand.

2. Even if otherwise allowable, however, I believe the name *Typus* to be objectionable on other, possibly unique, grounds, in that the word is a technical term in zoological nomenclature. In German and other languages *typus* means a type specimen (or species). Every effort should, in my view, be made to avoid 'homonymy' between technical terms in zoological taxonomy and genus-group names. Although there is no ruling on the subject, I wonder what the Commission's attitude would be if someone were so ill-advised as to propose *Genus* as a genusgroup name in Zoology. It would not be long, I suspect, before its suppression as undesirable was achieved! I submit that *Typus* is in the same category, and the opportunity to reject it, which would not require application of the plenary powers, should now be taken. It is significant, perhaps, that Appendix D, para. 8 of the *Code* recommends that the words *typus* and *typicus* should not be used as new names, as they are liable to cause confusion.

I therefore request the Commission to place the genus-group name *Typus* Sellards, 1909 on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology, and to place on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Family-group

names in Zoology the name TYPIDAE Handlirsch, 1919.

REFERENCE

WHALLEY, P.E.S., 1980. Bull. Br. Mus. (nat. Hist.), (Geol.), vol. 34 (4), pp. 285-287.



Sealy, Dlf. 1981. "Comment On Proposed Conservation Of The Generic Name Typus Sellards, 1909 (Insecta, Protodonata). Z.n.(S.) 2359." *The Bulletin of zoological nomenclature* 38, 286–287. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.8214.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/44480

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.8214

Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/8214

Holding Institution

Natural History Museum Library, London

Sponsored by

Natural History Museum Library, London

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

Rights Holder: International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature

License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Rights: https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.