### Case 2907

# Sphaerocera Latreille, 1804 and Borophaga Enderlein, 1924 (Insecta, Diptera): proposed conservation; Sphaerocera curvipes Latreille, 1805 and Phora flavimana Meigen, 1830: proposed conservation of the specific names

### Brian V. Brown

Entomology Section, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, 900 Exposition Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90007, U.S.A.

## Curtis W. Sabrosky

Systematic Entomology Laboratory, U.S. Department of Agriculture, clo Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. 20560, U.S.A.

Abstract. The purpose of this application is to conserve the name Sphaerocera Latreille, 1804 and that of its type species Sphaerocera curvipes Latreille, 1805 (family SPHAEROCERIDAE Macquart, 1835), together with the name Borophaga Enderlein, 1924 and that of its type species Phora flavimana Meigen, 1830 (family PHORIDAE Curtis, 1833). The name Sphaerocera has for many years been accepted as valid for a group of acalyptrate flies. A specimen of Musca subsultans Linnaeus, 1767 (the type species of Borborus Meigen, 1803) which has been treated as the holotype of M. subsultans has been identified as an example of P. flavimana. Recognition of the identity of this specimen would render the name Borophaga, which is in use for a phorid genus, a junior subjective synonym of Borborus, a name which was long used in the sense of Sphaerocera. Suppression of Borborus and the specific name of M. subsultans is proposed.

1. Meigen (1800, p. 31) proposed the name *Cypsela* for a group of flies with an expanded first hind tarsomere, the type of which was designated as *Musca subsultans* Linnaeus, 1767 (p. 993) by Coquillett (1910, p. 530). Meigen's (1800) work, in which the name appeared, was suppressed by the Commission in Opinion 678 (October 1963).

2. Meigen (1803, p. 276) proposed the name *Borborus* for the same group of flies. The type of *Borborus* was designated by Curtis (1833, p. 469) as *Musca subsultans*. Duda (1938, p. 14) proposed the designation of *Borborus ater* Meigen, 1830 (p. 203) as a new type species for *Borborus* because the identity of the type specimen of *subsultans* was uncertain. This has led some authors to cite incorrectly *B. ater* as the type species of *Borborus*.

3. The name *Borborus* was long used for a genus of acalyptrate flies (family BORBORIDAE Newman, 1834, as Borborites), characterized by an expanded first hind tarsomere. This use continued until Richards (1930, p. 263), who considered that the valid name for the genus *Borborus* was *Sphaerocera* Latreille, 1804 (p. 197). Richards believed that workers should 'date all genera from the time species were first

312

included'. Meigen did not include any species in *Borborus* until 1830 and Richards therefore believed that *Borborus* was a junior synonym of *Sphaerocera*. The type species of *Sphaerocera* is *Sphaerocera curvipes* Latreille, 1805 (p. 394) by subsequent monotypy.

4. Haliday (1851, p. 144) suggested that the supposed type specimen of *M. subsultans*, in the Linnean Society collections in London, belonged in the family PHORIDAE Curtis, 1833. This was confirmed by Richards (1930, p. 263), who suggested that the original type specimen was probably lost, that a new specimen had been erroneously labelled as *M. subsultans*, and that this specimen belonged to the species *Phora flavimana* Meigen, 1830 (p. 213). Despite this, Richards retained the accepted meaning of the name *subsultans* Linnaeus, 1767 as 'it is improbable that all the early authors should have made so serious a misidentification. I therefore retain the name *Sphaerocera subsultans* Linné ... [for this] very well known fly'. Richards also noted that *Sphaerocera curvipes* Latreille, 1805 had been synonymized with *Musca subsultans* Fabricius, 1775 but that 'the name *Musca subsultans* dates back to Linné (1767). Fabricius (*loc. cit.*) merely copies Linné's diagnosis with a few omissions'. Despite Richards's use of the name *M. subsultans* subsequent authors (e.g. Duda, 1938) have favored *S. curvipes* as the name for the species because of the uncertainty about the typification of *subsultans*.

5. Disney (1982, p. 115) also examined the Linnean Society specimen and confirmed that it was indeed a phorid. He placed *subsultans* (as defined by this specimen) in the genus *Borophaga* Enderlein, 1924 (p. 277), departing from the long accepted interpretation of *subsultans*, despite the fact that the species is the type of *Borborus* Meigen, 1803. His placement of *subsultans* in the PHORIDAE rendered *Borophaga* Enderlein, 1924 (type species *Phora flavimana* Meigen, 1830 by original designation) a junior synonym of *Borborus* Meigen, 1803. The name *Borophaga* is widely used in the recent literature (e.g. Borgmeier, 1963; Disney, 1983; Brown, 1992; a further list of seven representative works is held by the Commission Secretariat).

6. Since Richards (1930), the name Sphaerocera Latreille, 1804 has become widely used in the literature in place of the Borborus of earlier authors (e.g. Kim, 1968; Marshall & Richards, 1987; Pitkin, 1988; a further list of seven representative works is held by the Commission Secretariat). The genus is the type of the family group SPHAEROCERIDAE. Since Borborus has become disused and the meaning of its type species Musca subsultans has been uncertain the best course of action would be to suppress both Borborus Meigen, 1803 and subsultans Linnaeus, 1767 thereby maintaining stability of usage of names in the SPHAEROCERIDAE and the PHORIDAE, i.e. Sphaerocera, Borophaga and Phora flavimana. Following this, there would be no further need for a debate over the typification of subsultans. Suppression of Borborus would also render invalid the family name BORBORIDAE Newman, 1834 and would conserve the widely used name SPHAEROCERIDAE Macquart, 1835.

7. The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature is accordingly asked:

- (1) to use its plenary powers to suppress the following names for the purposes of the Principle of Priority but not for those of the Principle of Homonymy:
  - (a) the generic name Borborus Meigen, 1803;
  - (b) the specific name subsultans Linnaeus, 1767, as published in the binomen Musca subsultans;

- (2) to place on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology the following names:
  - (a) Sphaerocera Latreille, 1804 (gender: feminine), type species by subsequent monotypy Sphaerocera curvipes Latreille, 1805;
  - (b) Borophaga Enderlein, 1924 (gender: feminine), type species by original designation Phora flavimana Meigen, 1830;
- (3) to place on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology the following names:
  - (a) *curvipes* Latreille, 1805, as published in the binomen *Sphaerocera curvipes* (specific name of the type species of *Sphaerocera* Latreille, 1804);
  - (b) *flavimana* Meigen, 1830, as published in the binomen *Phora flavimana* (specific name of the type species of *Borophaga* Enderlein, 1924);
- (4) to place on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology the name *Borborus* Meigen, 1803, as suppressed in (1)(a) above;
- (5) to place on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology the name subsultans Linnaeus, 1767, as published in the binomen Musca subsultans and as suppressed in (1)(b) above.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank N. Erridge and S. Marshall for their help with preparing this manuscript.

#### References

- Borgmeier, T. 1963. Revision of the North American phorid flies. Part 1. The Phoridae, Aenigmatiinae and Metopininae, except Megaselia. Studia Entomologica, 6: 1-256.
- Brown, B.V. 1992. Generic revision of Phoridae of the Nearctic Region and phylogenetic classification of Phoridae, Sciadoceridae and Ironomyiidae (Diptera: Phoridea). *Memoirs* of the Entomological Society of Canada, 164: 1–144.
- Coquillett, D.W. 1910. The type species of the North American genera of Diptera. Proceedings of the United States National Museum, 37: 449-647.
- Curtis, J. 1833. British entomology: being illustrations and descriptions of the genera of insects found in Great Britain and Ireland, vol. 10. Pp. 434-481. Ellis, London.
- Disney, R.H.L. 1982. Confirmation of a suspected synonym in the genus *Borophaga* (Diptera: Phoridae). *Entomologist's Monthly Magazine*, **118**: 115.
- Disney, R.H.L. 1983. Scuttle flies Diptera, Phoridae (except Megaselia). Handbooks for the Identification of British Insects, 10: 1-81.
- Duda, O. 1938. Sphaeroceridae (Cypselidae). Pp. 1-182 in Linder, E. (Ed.), Die Fliegen der Palaearktischen Region, vol. 6, part 1. Schweizerbart, Stuttgart.
- Enderlein, G. 1924. Zur Klassifikation der Phoriden und über vernichtende Kritik. Entomologische Mitteilungen, 8: 270–281.
- Fabricius, J.C. 1775. Systema entomologiae, sistens insectorum classes, ordines, genera, species ..... 832 pp. Flensburgi & Lipsiae.
- Haliday, A.H. 1851. Sendschreiben von Alexis H. Haliday an C.A. Dohrn über die Dipteren aus der in London befindlichen Linneischen Sammlung. Stettiner Entomologische Zeitung, 12: 131–145.
- Kim, K.C. 1968. Revision of Sphaerocera, with description of a new genus Afromyia. Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 61: 296–312.
- Latreille, P.A. 1804. Tableau méthodique des insectes. Pp. 129-200 in: Nouveau dictionnaire d'histoire naturelle, vol. 24. Société de Naturalistes et d'Agriculteurs, Paris.
- Latreille, P.A. 1805. Histoire naturelle, générale et particulière des crustacés et des insectes, vol. 14. 432 pp. Paris.
- Linnaeus, C. 1767. Systema Naturae, Ed. 12, vol. 1, part 2. Pp. 533-1327. Laurentii Salvii, Holmiae.

Macquart, P.J.M. 1835. Histoire naturelle des insectes. Part 2 (Diptères). 573 pp. Paris.

- Marshall, S.A. & Richards, O.W. 1987. Sphaeroceridae. Pp. 993–1006 in McAlpine, J.F. (Ed.), Manual of Nearctic Diptera, vol. 2. Agriculture Canada Monograph, 28: 1–1332.
- Meigen, J.W. 1800. Nouvelle classification des mouches à deux ailes (Diptera L.) d'après un plan tout nouveau. 40 pp. Paris.
- Meigen, J.W. 1803. Versuch einer neuen Gattungs Eintheilung der Europäischen Zweiflügeligen Insekten. Magazin für Insektenkunde (Illiger), 2: 259–281.
- Meigen, J.W. 1830. Systematische Beschreibung der bekannten Europäischen Zweiflügeligen, vol. 6. 401 pp. Aachen & Hamm, Germany.
- Newman, E. 1834. Attempted division of British insects into natural orders. The Entomological Magazine, 2: 379–431.
- Pitkin, B.R. 1988. Lesser dung flies (Diptera: Sphaeroceridae). Handbooks for the Identification of British Insects, 10(5): 1–33.
- Richards, O.W. 1930. The British species of Sphaeroceridae (Borboridae, Diptera). Proceedings of the General Meeting of the Zoological Society of London, 1930: 261-345.



Brown, Brian Victor and Sabrosky, Curtis W. 1994. "Sphaerocera Latreille, 1804 And Borophaga Enderlein, 1924 (Insecta, Diptera): Proposed Conservation; Sphaerocera Curvipes Latreille, 1805 And Phora Flavimana Meigen, 1830: Proposed Conservation Of The Specific Names." *The Bulletin of zoological nomenclature* 51, 312–315. <u>https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.7233</u>.

View This Item Online: <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/44552">https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/44552</a> DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.7233">https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.7233</a> Permalink: <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/7233">https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/7233</a>

Holding Institution Natural History Museum Library, London

**Sponsored by** Natural History Museum Library, London

# **Copyright & Reuse**

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder. Rights Holder: International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature License: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/</u> Rights: <u>https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions</u>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.