NOTES ON AUSTRALIAN LYCENIDE.

PART v.

By G. A. WATERHOUSE, B.Sc., B.E., F.E.S.

DANIS SYRIUS Miskin.

Proc. Linn. Soc. N.S. Wales, 1890, p. 34 (N. Queensland): D. apollonius Waterhouse, (nec Felder), l.c. 1903, p. 147 (C. York).

I have again examined the types of this species in the Queensland Museum. They are in very poor condition, and I find they are both males, and not a male and a female, as Miskin supposed. In these Proceedings for 1903 (p. 149), I suggested that these examples might be identical with the species I then recorded as D. apollonius; I am now quite convinced that this is so, and as the larger Cape York Danis is distinct from typical D. apollonius from New Guinea, Miskin's name must stand. One of the specimens in the Miskin Collection is labelled C. York.

Danis Salamandri Macleay.

Proc. Ent. Soc. N. S. Wales, 1866, i., p. liv.(C. York): D. Macleayi Semper, Journ. Mus. Godf., Lep., p. 154, 1878 (C. York).

Further examples of this species have reached me from Cape York, and they show that Semper was right in separating it from D. taygetus Felder. The name salamandri is the older one, and though the specimen in the Macleay Museum is in too poor condition to clearly show its distinctions from D. taygetus, its locality is sufficient to prove its identity.

MILETUS EUCLIDES Miskin.

Hypochrysops euclides Miskin, Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. Wales, 1888, p. 1517 (Gippsland, loc. err.): Miletus meleagris Waterhouse, l.c. 1903, p. 270 (Cardwell).

I have shown* that, misled by an erroneous locality, I redescribed this rare species. It is known only from Cardwell, Kuranda, and Port Douglas, all Northern Queensland localities.

^{*} Vict. Nat. 1910, p.158.

CANDALIDES SIMPLEXA Tepper.

Cupido simplexa, Trans. Roy. Soc. S. Aust., 1882, p. 30, t.2, Fig. 10 (Monarto, S. Aust.): Polyommatus cyanites Meyrick, Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. Wales, 1887, p. 828 (Geraldton, W.A.).

This is the race of *C. hyacinthina* which occurs in north-western Victoria and in South Australia, as well as in West Australia. I have not been able to compare Geraldton examples with those from South Australia, but there is nothing in Meyrick's description to point to any distinctions. *C. hyacinthina* is confined to Eastern Australia.

PHILIRIS Röber.

Tijd. voor Ent., 1891, p. 317 (type ilias Felder).

The genus is considered, by Mr. Bethune Baker,* to be insufficiently differentiated from Candalides Hubner (type xanthospilos). In addition to a well-marked facies of the imagines, the pupæ supply good characters by which the two genera can be readily distinguished. The pupa of P. innotatus Miskin, is covered with short fine hairs, the head is smooth, and the cross-section of the abdomen (as usual with Lycænid pupæ) is ovoid. The pupa of C. absimilis, of C. gilberti, of C. heathi, and of C. hyacinthina (that of C. xanthospilos is not yet known) is much flattened, the abdomen being produced to lateral ridges, and the head has two flattened processes. These marked pupal differences, with the shape of the imagines, are quite sufficient to substantiate the genus.

PSEUDODIPSAS CEPHENES Hewitson.

Trans. Ent. Soc. London, 1874, p. 344(India, loc. err.): id., Ill. Diurn. Lep. Lyc., p. 219, Pl. 89, fig. 3, 4, 1878 (India, loc. err.); Ps. fumidus Miskin, Proc. Roy. Soc. Qsld., 1889, p. 264(Brisbane).

Hewitson received his specimen from W. E. Atkinson, to whom Miskin sent many Queensland butterflies. This type is the only specimen recorded from India, and, in the British Museum collection, an example of *Ps. fumidus* from Queensland is placed with it. No points of difference can be detected, and Hewitson's figure so

^{*} Novitates Zoologicæ, 1904, p.369.

accurately represents Miskin's species, that the only conclusion possible is, that the recorded locality is erroneous, and *Ps. cephenes* is an Australian and not an Indian species.

ZIZERA Moore.

Lep. Ceylon, i., p. 78, 1881.

Dr. Chapman has shown that Moore's diagnosis of this genus is faulty, and does not even agree with the type assigned to it. Three of the species usually placed in *Zizera* belong to three different genera, and these Dr. Chapman defines.*

The Australian species thus become Zizina labradus Godart, Zizina delospila Waterhouse, Zizula gaika Trimen, Zizeeria karsandra Moore, and Zizeeria alsulus Herrich-Schaeffer.

NACADUBA TASMANICA Miskin.

Lycaenesthes tasmanicus Miskin, Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. Wales, 1890, p. 40 (Tasmania, loc. err.): N. palmyra Waterhouse (nec Felder), l.c., 1903, p. 228 (Brisbane, Cairns): Lycaena elaborata Lucas, Proc. Roy. Soc. Qsld., 1899, p. 137 (1900) (Brisbane).

The sexes of *N. palmyra* have reached me from the Aru Islands, and I find that our representative is distinct. Miskin's name, therefore, stands, though unfortunately based upon an erroneous locality.

THECLINESTHES ONYCHA Hewitson.

Utica onycha Hew., Ill. Diurn. Lep., Lyc., p. 56, t.24, Figs. 11, 12, 1865(Australia).

Hewitson's type is a female, and agrees best with specimens from North Queensland, which are taken in company with a blue male. A very similar lilac male, as well as the blue one, occurs at Kuranda, and Mr. Dodd tells me that their food-plants are different. South of Townsville, only the lilac males have been taken, and these have been described by Lucas as *Theclinesthes miskini*. This species is, I am convinced, distinct, and not a geographical race of *T. onycha*; so the name *T. miskini* must be revived for the species with a lilac male and a female with brown underside; this

^{*} Trans. Ent. Soc. London, 1910, pp.479-497.

occurs in New South Wales, and in Queensland as far north as Kuranda.

I propose, therefore, to restrict Hewitson's name to the species with a blue male and a female with whitish underside; this occurs at Kuranda, Cooktown, and Cape York, and its place in New South Wales is probably taken by T. onycha var. atrosuffusa Waterhouse.

PSEUDALMENUS CHLORINDA Blanchard.

Thecla chlorinda, Voyage Pôle Sud, pl. 3, figs. 15-18, ante 1853 (Tasmania): text, p. 401, 1853: Thecla myrsilus Doubleday, Gen. Diurn. Lep., ii., pl. 75, fig. 3, 1852 (Van Diemen's Land).

The figures and localities show clearly that these two names represent the same species, but there has been some little doubt which name held the better claim to priority. The name chlorinda first appears on the plates of the Voyage au Pôle Sud, which were published several years before the text.* It is again mentioned on page 401, in the text of Vol. iv., where Thecla myrsilus is given as a synonym. The name myrsilus first appears without description in Doubleday's List of the Butterflies of the British Museum. It is adopted in the Genera, and figured but not described, and the following species listed is Thecla chlorinda Blanchard. This shows that the Pôle Sud figure was earlier than that in the Genera, and, at that time, no description of either species had been published. The first description of chlorinda is given in Vol. iv., p. 401, of the Pôle Sud, 1853.

It was under the name *chlorinda*, the species was first figured, and several years later first described; therefore, this name has precedence. Doubleday's List may be of earlier date, but this gave nothing, except the locality, to indicate what species his *myrsilus* represented.

IALMENUS ICILIUS Hewitson.

Ill. Diurn. Lep., Lyc., p. 54, pl. 24, fig. 3, 1865 (Swan River): I. inous Waterhouse (nec Hew.), Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. Wales, 1903, p. 259 (Victoria, South Australia).

^{*} Voyage Pôle Sud, Vol.4, p.2, 1853.

When my revisional paper was written in 1903, I knew but one Ialmenus from West Australia, and as Hewitson's descriptions of I. icilius and I. inous differed only in very minor points, I wrongly concluded they both represented the same species. I now have two distinct species from the West, and I find that the one to which I applied the name I. inous, is correctly I. icilius. It may be recognised as follows:—

3. Above. Forewing smoky-brown; small centrobasal area, metallic green. Hindwing smoky-brown; small centrobasal area, metallic green; tornal spots irregular, small, black crowned with dull orange.

Beneath. Forewing dull pale brown; markings pale brown, sometimes almost obsolete. Hindwing dull pale-brown; markings pale-brown, sometimes almost obsolete; tornal spots as above.

Q. Above as in \mathcal{J} ; centrobasal areas metallic blue. Beneath as in \mathcal{J} .

Loc.-Perth, Adelaide, Victoria.

This species is distinctly smaller than I. inous.

IALMENUS INOUS Hewitson.

Ill. Diurn. Lep., Lyc., p. 54, pl. 24, figs. 1, 2, 1854 (Swan River): not *I. inous* Waterhouse, Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. Wales, 1903, p. 259.

3. Above. Forewing dark brown; centrobasal area metallic green. Hindwing dark brown; centrobasal area metallic green; tornal spots irregular, large, black crowned with reddish-orange.

Beneath. Forewing pale-brown; markings broad, brown, edged white; subterminal band broad, brown, edged white. Hindwing pale-brown; markings broad, brown, edged white; tornal spots as above.

Q. Above as in \mathcal{J} ; centrobasal areas metallic blue. Beneath as in \mathcal{J} .

Loc.—Waroona, Carnarvon.

The above two descriptions will place these two species beyond doubt, which Hewitson's descriptions and figures fail to do.



Waterhouse, Gustavus A. 1913. "Notes on Australian Lycaenidae. Part v." *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales* 37, 698–702.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/82332

Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/63555

Holding Institution

NCSU Libraries

Sponsored by

NCSU Libraries

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: NOT_IN_COPYRIGHT

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.