vessel rests, exactly as the ambulacral vessel of the Comatulæ rests in the furrow of the arm-skeleton. The ambulacral pieces hitherto absolutely characteristic of the class Stellerida are deficient in the Hymenodisci. A few irregular calcareous trabeculæ uniting the lateral pieces of the arm-skeleton are their sole representatives in the neighbourhood of the mouth. It is to be noted that the charaters furnished by the pedicellariæ have survived the characters furnished by the constitution of the ambulacral groove, which has hitherto been regarded as typical; and this is a confirmation of the value which I thought ought to be attached to the pedicellariæ in the classification of the starfishes when I proposed to substitute the indications furnished by them for those derived from the number of rows of ambulacral tubes, which had been depended on by Müller The absence of ambulacral pieces, and of calcareous and Troschel. pieces covering the groove on the oral surface of the arms, does not allow us to compare the organization of the arms of Hymenodiscus except to that of the arms of the Comatula. The contrast between the arms and the disk, and the probable absence of genital glands and digestive cæca from the arms, on the other hand, approximate the Hymenodisci to the Ophiuri; by the absence of ambulacral pieces, and consequently of buccal pieces, they depart from all known Stellerida; their pedicellariæ, however, indicate that they constitute an aberrant form of the division of the Asteriadæ, in which they take their place, but as a distinct family, by the side of Labidiaster, Pedicellaster, and Brisinga, which, like them, possess only two rows of ambulacral tubes. Labidiaster has a much greater number of arms; Pedicellaster has only five; the Brisingæ from eleven to twelve, but quite differently constructed. These latter animals, in fact, enter without any difficulty into the ordinary type of starfishes, of which the Hymenodisci constitute a form quite different from any thing hitherto known to us, and presenting the most exceptional characters.— Comptes Rendus, Aug. 30, 1880, p. 436.

On Gastrosaccus spinifer. By Thomas R. R. Stebbing.

During the present month of August I have been successful in finding Gastrosaccus spinifer of both sexes at Whitby, in the sand at low water. I have also had the opportunity of seeing specimens and mountings of the species in Mr. Norman's very extensive collection of Crustacea. Mr. Norman has called my attention to the erroneous formation of the specific name spiniferus, which must of course be written spinifer. There can, I think, be no doubt whatever that the name G. sanctus must be confined to the species described by Sars under that title, as quite distinct from the present G. spinifer of Goës. At the same time, one of Mr. Norman's dissections, which agrees exactly with a subsequent one of my own, seems to show decidedly that the marsupial pouch is attached to the first pleopods, contrary to the criticism of Prof. G. O. Sars, who denies the attachment of the marsupium to the first pleon-segment. It may be further remarked that the number of spines on the telson and uropods appears to be subject to slight variations in different specimens.

Tunbridge Wells, Aug. 31, 1880,



Stebbing, Thomas R. R. 1880. "On Gastrosaccus spinifer." *The Annals and magazine of natural history; zoology, botany, and geology* 6, 328–328. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222938009458948.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/81219

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/00222938009458948

Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/63266

Holding Institution

Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

Sponsored by

Smithsonian

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.