PROPOSED ADDITION TO THE "OFFICIAL LIST OF GENERIC NAMES IN ZOOLOGY" OF "AHAETULLA" LINK, 1807, WITH "AHAETULLA MYCTERIZANA" LINK, 1807, AS TYPE SPECIES (CLASS REPTILIA)

By JAY M. SAVAGE

(Department of Biology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, U.S.A.)

and

JAMES A. OLIVER

(New York Zoological Society, New York City, N.Y., U.S.A.)

(Commission's reference: Z.N.(S.) 772)

The principal object of the present application is to ask the International Commission to place the generic name Ahaetulla Link, 1807, on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology with Ahaetulla mycterizana Link, 1807, as type species. A secondary purpose of the present application is to provide an opportunity for the selection of a lectotype for the nominal species Coluber ahaetulla Linnaeus, 1758, and to ask the Commission to place the generic name Leptophis Bell, 1825, on the Official List with the above species as type species. The correct application of the generic name Ahaetulla Link and the name Coluber ahaetulla Linnaeus has been the subject of considerable controversy, and, as we have most recently studied the problem (Oliver, 1948, Bull. Amer. Mus. nat. Hist. 92:167; Savage, 1952, Bull. Chicago Acad. Sci. 9(11):203), it seems appropriate for us to make application to the Commission for rulings stabilising the usage of these and allied names.

- 2. The snakes primarily involved in this discussion by virtue of their use as type species of genera are Ahaetulla ahaetulla (Linnaeus), Ahaetulla caudolineata (Gray) and Dryophis nasutus (Lacépède) of south-eastern Asia and Thalerophis richardi (Bory St. Vincent) of South America. These names are those adopted in the recent generic reviews by Malcom Smith (1943, Fauna Brit. India 3: 241), and Oliver, (loc. cit.), and for the sake of clarity will be used in the following historical summary of the problems.
- 3. The following are the references for the names discussed in the present paper on which action of one kind or another is asked for from the Commission:—

Ahaetulla Link, 1807, Beschr. Nat. Samml. Rostock. (2): 73
Ahaetulla Gray (J.E.), 1825, Ann. Phil. 26: 208

ahaetulla, Coluber, Linnaeus, 1758, Syst. Nat. (ed. 10) 1:225
caudolineata, Ahaetulla, Gray (J.E.), [1834], Ill. Ind. Zool. (2): pl. 81
Dendrelaphis Boulenger, 1890, Faun. Brit. Ind., Rept. Batr.: 339
Dendrophis Boie, in Fitzinger, Neue Classif. Rept.: 29, 60
Dryinus Merrem, 1820, Tent. Syst. Amph.: 15, 136
Dryophis Dalman, 1823, Anat. Ent.: 7
Leptophis Bell, 1825, Zool. J. 2(7): 322
nasutus, Coluber, Lacépède, 1789, Quadr. Ovip. 2: 100
Passerita Gray (J.E.), 1825, Ann. Phil. 26: 208
Tachyophis Mertens, 1834, Arch. Naturgesch. (N.F.) 3: 197

4. In the case of two out of the three genera, the names of which it is proposed should now be placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology the type species was determined by subsequent selection under Rule (g) in Article 30. The following are the references for the type selections so made:—

For Ahaetulla Link, 1807: Meise & Hennig, 1932, Zool. Anz. 99: 296 For Leptophis Bell, 1825: Fitzinger, 1853, Syst. Rept.: 26

SUMMARY OF THE PROBLEMS

a. The name "Coluber ahaetulla" Linnaeus, 1758

5. When Linnaeus (1758, loc. cit.) described Coluber ahaetulla from "Asia America", he presented no characters that would unequivocally diagnose the new form. Fortunately Lönnberg (1896, Bihang. K. Svenska Vet.-Akad. Handl. 22(4), 1:6, 26), and Andersson (1899, Bihang. K. Svenska Vet.-Akad. Handl. 24(4), 1:22) have shown that the Linnean material consisted of four examples of Thalerophis richardi from South America and one example of the Asiatic species which has been interpreted as Ahaetulla ahaetulla (Linnaeus). This material was mentioned under the name C. ahaetulla several times previous to the publication of the 10th Edition of the Systema Naturae (Linnaeus, 1745, 1748 and 1754). However, in the 10th Edition of the Systema Naturae Linnaeus gave the counts of only a single specimen, one of his examples of Thalerophis richardi. This appears to us to constitute a definite designation of a type specimen by Linnaeus as the original author, with the consequence of attaching the name Coluber ahaetulla to the South American species. All other workers have overlooked this virtual selection of a holotype by Linnaeus and as a result the name Coluber ahaetulla has been applied to both the South American snake later known as Thalerophis richardi and to the Asiatic species later known as Ahaetulla ahaetulla (Linnaeus). In order definitely to establish the name

Coluber ahaetulla Linnaeus as applicable to the South American species, we herewith select as the lectotype of this nominal species the specimen mentioned by Lönnberg in 1896 (Bihang K. svenska Vet.-Akad. Handl. 22 (Afd. 4 (No. 1): 5-6) as from Donatio Caroli Gyllenborg, 1744. This specimen is listed as Number 2 and has 162 ventrals and 152 subcaudals. The example is a member of the South American species.

6. The confusion regarding the correct application of the specific name C. ahaetulla is reflected by the number of generic names which have been used for it.

b. Generic names

7. As a result of the conclusion now submitted regarding the identity of Coluber ahaetulla Linnaeus, the following synonymy summarizes the effects that the allocation has on the application of the effected generic names:

(I) South American

(A) Leptophis Bell, 1825 (type species by selection by Fitzinger (1843):

Coluber ahaetulla Linnaeus, 1758). Synonyms of Leptophis
would be: Ahaetulla Gray, 1825 (type species by absolute
tautonymy: Coluber ahaetulla Linnaeus, 1758); Dendrophis
H. Boie, 1826 (type species, by original designation: Coluber
ahaetulla Linnaeus, 1758); Ahoetulla Gray, 1831 (substitute
name for Leptophis Bell; takes same type species); Thalerophis
Oliver, 1947 (type species by original designation: Coluber
richardi Bory St. Vincent, 1823=Coluber ahaetulla Linnaeus,
1758).

(II) Asian

- (A) Dendrelaphis Boulenger, 1890 (type species by monotypy: Ahaetulla caudolineata Gray, 1834). Tachyophis Mertens, 1934 (type species by original designation: Coluber pictus Gmelin, 1789—Coluber boiga Lacépède, 1789) is a synonym of Dendrelaphis. Tachyophis Rochebrune, 1884, has already been used for a genus of fossil snakes and Merten's name is therefore a junior homonym and unavailable.
- (B) Ahaetulla Link, 1807 (type species by selection by Meise & Hennig (1932): Ahaetulla mycterizans Link, 1807=Coluber nasütus Lacépède, 1789). Synonyms are: Dryinus Merrem, 1820 type species, by selection by Gray (1825) Coluber mycterizans Linné, 1758 (a junior homonym of Dryinus Latreille, [1804], a name in the Class Insecta already placed on the Official List; Dryophis Dalman, 1823, and Passerita Gray, 1825, are both substitute names for Dryinus Merrem and consequently take the same type species.

As listed above, Leptophis would now apply to those snakes called Thalerophis by Oliver (1948, loc. cit.), Dendrelaphis must refer to those snakes included in Ahaetulla by Smith (1943, loc. cit.) and Ahaetulla now includes the forms placed in Dryophis by Smith (1943).

- 8. The availability of the name Coluber boiga Lacépède, 1789 (Quadr. Ovip. 2:102) has, however, been questioned and there has been discussion also regarding the interpretation of this name. Malcolm Smith (1943, loc. cit.) argued that it was not a valid binominal name. Further, he argued that the reference of "Le Boiga" to Coluber ahaetulla Linnaeus by Lacépède in a footnote should be interpreted as meaning that Link's Ahaetulla fasciata is the same as the Coluber ahaetulla of Linnaeus. On the basis of this argument Smith concluded that Coluber ahaetulla Linnaeus, 1758, was the type species of the genus Ahaetulla Link, 1807, by absolute tautonymy. These contentions have recently been examined by Savage (1952, loc. cit.) who has shown that both are incorrect. On the first of these questions Savage has shown (: 204) that, in introducing the boiga, Lacépède followed the practice commonly adopted by French zoologists until well into the XIXth century of introducing new names simultaneously in two forms, the word selected for the name being printed first in Roman characters and second in italies. The first of these words represented the actual or proposed vernacular (French) name for the species in question, the second the Latin specific name proposed for it. In accordance with the same practice Lacépède, after having once used the generic name which he adopted for a group, did not always repeat that name when citing the names of species. This method of citing names would not be regarded as satisfactory today but it was widely used by French zoologists at the end of the XVIIIth and the beginning of the XIXth centuries and has always been accepted as constituting a valid publication of the names concerned. Any other view, if adopted, would cause the utmost confusion and widespread name-changing. The contention advanced by Smith in this matter must therefore be unquestioningly rejected. His second contention is equally unfounded, for it is not the case that Lacépède regarded his Coluber boiga as a mere substitute for Coluber ahaetulla Linnaeus. On the contrary, he made it clear that, in his view, he was describing a new species based upon material examined personally by himself, for which he gave particulars of the number of ventrals and caudals, total length, tail length, and notes on the teeth, head and dorsal scales, and coloration. These matters are referred to here because it is essential to demonstrate the fallacy of Smith's argument that the type species of Ahaetulla Link, 1807, is Coluber ahaetulla Linnaeus, 1758, by absolute tautonymy as a preliminary to the acceptance of the selection by Meise & Hennig (1932) of Ahaetulla mycterizana Link, 1807, as the type species of this genus.
 - 9. None of the genera discussed in the present application has been taken as the type genus of a family-group taxon and in consequence no family-groupname problems arise for consideration.

Recommendations

- 10. The interpretations presented in the foregoing paragraphs appear to be the ones in closest agreement with the International Rules of Zoological Nomenclature. However, some technical arguments might be mustered against some of the points involved. It is for this reason that the International Commission is now asked to close this long controvery by giving an authoritative Ruling as to the manner in which the names concerned are to be used. The proposal now submitted to the Commission is that it should:—
 - (1) direct that the nominal species Coluber ahaetulla Linnaeus, 1758, be interpreted by reference to the lectotype selected in the present paper, namely the second of the South American Linnean specimens discussed by Lönnberg (1896);
 - (2) place the under-mentioned generic names on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology:—
 - (a) Ahaetulla Link, 1807 (gender: feminine) (type species, by selection by Meise & Hennig (1932): Ahaetulla mycterizana Link, 1807);
 - (b) Leptophis Bell, 1825 (gender: masculine) (type species, by selection by Fitzinger (1843): Coluber ahaetulla Linnaeus, 1758, as defined by the lectotype specified in (1) above);
 - (c) Dendrelaphis Boulenger, 1890 (gender: masculine) (type species, by monotypy: Ahaetulla caudolineata Gray (J.E.), 1834);
 - (3) place the under-mentioned specific names on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology:—
 - (a) ahaetulla Linnaeus, 1758, as published in the combination Coluber ahaetulla and as interpreted in (1) above (specific name of type species of Leptophis Bell, 1825);
 - (b) caudolineata Gray (J.E.), [1834], as published in the combination Ahaetulla caudolineata (specific name of type species of Dendrelaphis Boulenger, 1890);
 - (c) nasutus Lacépède, 1789, as published in the combination Coluber nasutus;
 - (4) place the under-mentioned generic names on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology:—
 - (a) Ahaetulla Gray (J.E.), 1825 (a junior homonym of Ahaetulla Link, 1807);
 - (b) Dendrophis Boie (H.), 1826 (a junior objective synonym of Leptophis Bell, 1825);

- (c) Tachyophis Mertens, 1934 (a junior homonym of Tachyophis Rochebrune, 1884);
- (d) Dryinus Merrem, 1820 (a junior homonym of Dryinus Latreille, [1804], and a junior objective synonym of Ahaetulla Link, 1807);
- (e) Dryophis Dalman, 1823 (a junior objective synonym of Ahaetulla Link, 1807);
- (f) Passerita Gray (J.E.), 1825 (a junior objective synonym of Ahaetulla Link, 1807).



Savage, Jay Mathers and Oliver, James A. 1956. "Proposed addition to the "Official List of Generic Names in Zoology" of "Ahaetulla" Link, 1807, with "Ahaetulla mycterizana" Link, 1807, as the type species (Class Reptilia)." *The Bulletin of zoological nomenclature* 12, 147–152.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/44290

Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/36414

Holding Institution

Natural History Museum Library, London

Sponsored by

Natural History Museum Library, London

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

Rights Holder: International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature

License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Rights: https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.