- Quanstrom, W R. 1971. Behaviour of Richardson's ground squirrel, *Spermophilus richardsonii richardsonii*. Animal Behaviour 19: 646-652.
- Rausch, R. L. and V. R. Rausch. 1971. The somatic chromosomes of some North American marmots (Sciuridae), with remarks on the relationships of *Marmota broweri* Hall and Gilmore. Mammalia 35: 85-101.
- Shaw, W. T. 1925. Breeding and development of the Columbian ground squirrel. Journal of Mammalogy 6: 106-113.
- **Sheppard, D. H.** 1972. Reproduction of Richardson's ground squirrel (*Spermophilus richardsonii*) in southern Saskatchewan. Canadian Journal of Zoology 50: 1577–1581
- Wade, O. 1927. Breeding habits and early life of the thirteen-striped ground squirrel, *Citellus tridecemlineatus* (Mitchill). Journal of Mammalogy 8: 269-276.

Received 18 July 1977 Accepted 17 September 1977

# A Second Population of Rock Voles, Microtus chrotorrhinus, in Minnesota with Comments on Habitat

RICHARD R. BUECH, 1 ROBERT M. TIMM, 2 and KARL SIDERITS 3

<sup>1</sup>North Central Forest Experiment Station, USDA Forest Service, 1992 Folwell Avenue, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108

<sup>2</sup>Department of Entomology, Fisheries, and Wildlife, and Bell Museum of Natural History, University of Minnesota, St. Paul and Minneapolis, Minnesota 55108

<sup>3</sup>Superior National Forest, P.O. Box 338, Duluth, Minnesota 55801

Buech, Richard R., Robert M. Timm, and Karl Siderits. 1977. A second population of rock voles, *Microtus chrotorrhinus*, in Minnesota with comments on habitat. Canadian Field-Naturalist 91(4): 413-414

The rock vole, *Microtus chrotorrhinus*, has been reported in Minnesota from a single specimen taken near Burntside Lake, St. Louis County in 1921 (Swanson 1945; Handley 1954) and from 26 specimens taken at one locality along the Gunflint Trail in central Cook County (Timm 1974; Timm et al. 1977). In recent years, subsequent collecting in the Burntside Lake area by several different individuals has failed to reveal additional rock voles.

Four rock voles were captured along the south shore of Saganaga Lake in northern Minnesota during a study of the impact of the Roy Lake wildfire on small mammal populations (Buech et al., unpublished data). This find is significant because it is the western-most population currently known for the species, it identifies a second viable population of rock voles in Minnesota, and it provides additional insight into the habitat requirements of this rare vole.

The rock voles were captured near Red Rock Bay of Saganaga Lake, 74 km north and 6 km west of Schroeder (SW 1/4 of the NE 1/4 and SE 1/4 of the NW 1/4 section 27, T. 66 N, R. 5 W, elevation 440–460 m) in the northwestern tip of Cook County, Minnesota. This site is located 21 km north and 47 km west of the Gunflint Trail population and some 27 km north and 80 km east of Burntside Lake. The four rock voles were captured within 30–180 m of each other on 2 and 3 September 1976 in a spruce-fir-aspen community in which portions of the overstory appeared to have been removed by wind.

Three of the rock voles captured were males, one a female. The largest, an adult male (weight 42.0 g; testes  $7 \times 4$  mm), was undergoing extensive autumnal molt. One subadult male (testes =  $4 \times 2$  mm), undergoing molt into the adult pelage, was partially consumed by a shrew. A second subadult male (weight 22.4 g, testes =  $3 \times 2$  mm) was not molting. The female (weight 28.4 g) was molting into the adult pelage and exhibited no evidence of previous reproductive activity (no embryos or placental scars present in the uterus and all ovarian follicles minute). One species of chigger, Neotrombicula microti, was found parasitizing all four rock voles. The rock voles have been deposited in the mammal collection of the James Ford Bell Museum of Natural History, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota. For more details concerning the mammalian fauna of this region see Timm (1975).

Estimates of habitat attributes were available at three of the four capture sites. A sparse overstory of trees, a dense tall shrub stratum, and a sparse low shrub-herbaceous stratum were characteristic of the three sites. Two of the sites were located below a boulder escarpment between upland forest dominated by shrubs and lowland forest dominated by shrubs and lowland forest dominated by black spruce (*Picea mariana*). The overstory at these two sites had 5 and 9 m² basal area (BA)/ha, respectively, in black spruce about 14 cm diameter breast height (DBH), and 3 m² BA/ha each in white birch (*Betula papyrifera*) or quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*)

both about 25 cm DBH. The third site was located on a slope below an upland shrub community and differed in that there were no rocks present. Here the overstory contained only 3 m<sup>2</sup> BA/ha in white birch and quaking aspen combined. The tall shrub layer on all three sites included round-leaved dogwood (Cornus rugosa), beaked hazel (Corvlus cornuta), red maple (Acer rubrum), balsam fir (Abies balsamea), and white birch. Other species noted in the low shrubherbaceous layer included prickly rose (Rosa acicularis), currant (Ribes), raspberry (Rubus), bush honeysuckle (Diervilla lonicera), blueberry (Vaccinium angustifolium), twin-flower (Linnaea borealis), bunchberry (Cornus canadensis), Clinton's lily (Clintonia borealis), large-leaved northern aster (Aster macrophyllus), wild sarsparilla (Aralia nudicaulis), and sphagnum moss (Sphagnum). Organic litter and deadwood were abundant on the three sites.

The habitat composition and structure of these capture sites were similar to those described by Timm et al. (1977) for rock voles in central Cook County. The composition of the tree overstory in both areas was similar, but density was greater at the Gunflint Trail site. Rock voles there, however, were restricted to a narrow transition zone dominated by shrubs, between the boulder stream and the forest. Shrubs were likewise dominant at Saganaga Lake, although there the shrubs were a result of a sparse overstory. Both the Gunflint Trail and Saganaga Lake areas had a generally sparse herbaceous layer composed of many of the same species; however, the Saganaga Lake site was not located near an open boulder field. Although two of the capture sites were near a boulder escarpment, one site had no rocks in the vicinity.

Rock voles have been reported from moist rocky habitats in Canadian and Hudsonian life zones (Timm et al. 1977) and rarely in small openings in moist forests (Goodwin 1929). Most previous accounts of rock vole habitat stressed the importance of rocks, boulders, or talus as an important component (Gunderson and Beer 1953; Burt 1957; Linzey and Linzey 1971; Martin 1971; Doutt et al. 1973; Timm et al. 1977). In contrast, Kirkland (1977) found 50 of 73 rock voles in clearcuts less than 8 years old in West Virginia. Moreover, the voles were twice as abundant in red spruce (Picea rubens) and red spruce-deciduous clearcuts (less than 3 years old) than in adjacent uncut stands. This led Kirkland (1977) to suggest that rock voles exploited disturbed sites and benefited from forest openings created by clear-cutting prac-

The vegetation composition and density where rock voles have been collected along the Gunflint Trail, at Saganaga Lake, and those described in the literature are similar. Rocks or rocky escarpments may not be the most crucial component of rock vole habitat. The

vegetation characteristics of younger communities, including a relatively open overstory, a high density of shrubs, and thick moist litter, may be equally if not more important. This habitat type is often associated with proximity to boulder fields or talus slopes, but it may also be created by logging (see Goodwin 1929; Kirkland 1977) or by wind falls. If thick moist litter is truly a dominant requirement, fire may rarely if ever create favorable habitat for rock voles because it usually destroys the litter layer. The fact that most forest stands in this region are of fire origin could in part explain the apparent scarcity of rock voles.

We thank Donna Day Baird, Lawrence R. Heaney, and Robert M. Zink for their comments on various drafts of the manuscript.

#### Literature Cited

Burt, W. H. 1957. Mammals of the Great Lakes region. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, Michigan. xv + 246 pp.

Doutt, J. K., C. A. Heppenstall, and J. E. Guilday. 1973. Mammals of Pennsylvania. Pennsylvania Game Commission, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, 288 pp.

Goodwin, G. G. 1929. Mammals of the Cascapedia Valley, Quebec. Journal of Mammalogy 10: 239–246.

Gunderson, H. L. and J. R. Beer. 1953. The mammals of Minnesota. Occasional Papers, Minnesota Museum of Natural History 6. xii + 190 pp.

Handley, C.O., Jr. 1954. *Phenacomys* in Minnesota. Journal of Mammalogy 35: 260.

Kirkland, G.L., Jr. 1977. The rock vole, *Microtus chrotorrhinus* (Miller) (Mammalia: Rodentia) in West Virginia. Annals of the Carnegie Museum 46(5): 45-53.

Linzey, A. V. and D. W. Linzey. 1971. Mammals of Great Smoky Mountains National Park. University of Tennessee Press, Knoxville, Tennessee. 114 pp.

Martin, R. L. 1971. The natural history and taxonomy of the rock vole, *Microtus chrotorrhinus*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut. 164 pp.

Swanson, G. 1945. A systematic catalog of the mammals of Minnesota. *In* The mammals of Minnesota. *Edited by G.* Swanson, T. Surber, and T. S. Roberts. Minnesota Department of Conservation, Technical Bulletin 2: 52-105.

**Timm, R. M.** 1974. Rediscovery of the rock vole (*Microtus chrotorrhinus*) in Minnesota. Canadian Field-Naturalist 88; 82.

**Timm, R. M.** 1975. Distribution, natural history, and parasites of mammals of Cook County, Minnesota. Occasional Papers, Bell Museum of Natural History, University of Minnesota 14: 1–56.

Timm, R. M., L. R. Heaney, and D. D. Baird. 1977. Natural history of rock voles (*Microtus chrotorrhinus*) in Minnesota. Canadian Field-Naturalist 91: 177–181.

Received 6 June 1977 Accepted 13 September 1977



Buech, Richard R., Timm, Robert M., and Siderits, Karl P. 1977. "A second population of rock voles, Microlus chrotorrhinus, in Minnesota with comments on habitat." *The Canadian field-naturalist* 91(4), 413–414. https://doi.org/10.5962/p.345463.

View This Item Online: <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/89182">https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/89182</a>

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.5962/p.345463

Permalink: <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/345463">https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/345463</a>

# **Holding Institution**

Harvard University, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Ernst Mayr Library

### Sponsored by

Harvard University, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Ernst Mayr Library

## **Copyright & Reuse**

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

Rights Holder: Ottawa Field-Naturalists' Club

License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Rights: https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.