

returned after I sent it to you for review
- I can tell you more about the number
of the 1st Part when I go home - for I
wrote at the Apay Office -

In the portion of the note of Michael
that I sent you, it seemed to me that
the expression "It is evidently a monstrosity
& corolline in nature" was queer.

Nice letter from Maggie came in on
Saturday. She is enjoying herself at
Montreux, & is in excellent health.
Several of her friends are rending there.
She will not leave Switzerland till the
Roberts return from the East - which will
be some time in March or April.

Where is Tuckerman now? Is he
spending ~~the holidays~~ a vacation in
Boston? I wish to send him a
few Lichens.

Give my best love to your dear Dave,
ever yours. J. Torrey

New York, Jan'y. 15th 1856

Dear Doctor - Since I dispatched
my letter to you to day, I have been
puzzling over several of those Solanaceæ
that *Lycium* I cannot determine by Durand
- who, indeed, is most unsatisfactory in
his whole monograph. Only think of his
mixing in the character of one or two
genera of *Lycium*, "anthemis bilocularis"
I have been overhauling all the species of
Lycium in my herb. - of making analyses
of the flowers - There are five or six very
distinct species. Can you name
wrighti - or any N. Amer. species?
Tell me about those *Nicotianæ*. *N. quadrata*,
nivalis & *Am.* don't seem to be Pursh.
- or at least not Lehmann.

Solanum umbelliferum & *S. californicum*
Durand must be the same.

I have looked over Engelmann's list
of Euphorbiæ N. Amer. It is of little use to
me, as it goes up only to 1848, & contains none

of Wright. I have but few of Leander's - of none of the ~~"numbered"~~ Euphorbias from the ~~numbered~~ collection of Gepp - none of *mohrenii* - nor of *Lindheimeri*.

I have, nevertheless, a great many that *Euphorbia* ought to see, & probably some that are not in his herb. He will not publish, I suppose, till his paper is revised. If you think he would like to see mine (on which I have worked up a little) I will send the whole to him by Express - together with some other Euphobias that I think him to name. He seems to be making a voluminous report on Cactaceae. Did I tell you that Emory has paid him \$400 for drawings, made for his own portion of the Report. How E. & Whipple will manage in the publication I know not. Those two officers will never pull together. It is a pity & a shame that such ~~justices~~ should exist among men who professed to

love science - I read in Engelmann's letter what Pipe had done respecting collections of Cacti!

Aug. 21st. Your letter of Saturday was lying on my table this morning so it was Huber who sent me the Photograph! But he don't confess to the deed! They took a poor one gone at Providence - at least my girls say so. & won't keep it. I must be taken up for doing something & with the side face

I have asked for the money for Whipple Report - & have said that we ought to have more than the stipulated sum - which was \$300. Henry has told Klem so too - & I think they will let us have \$400 - when the money comes. You shall have the half of it promptly.

You did send me the 1st part of Whipple's M.P. of ~~the~~ at least so I think - but I ~~forgot~~ whether it was



Torrey, John. 1856. "Torrey, John Jan 15, 1856." *John Torrey letters* –.

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/310915>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/343249>

Holding Institution

Harvard University Botany Libraries

Sponsored by

Arcadia (Open Collections)

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.