

When you determine any of Berlandieri's plants. I hope you will record them, on a separate slip of paper - that I may have the benefit of them. Did you not propose that I should send you a blank book with the series of Berlandieri numbers, that you might put names against them as they were determined from time to time?

I send you a letter that I received lately from good Duward. I cannot bear to tell him he is unfit to elaborate collections, - for it would grieve him sadly to be convinced that after waiting so many years he must be contented to do the mere drudgery of Botany. & spend his last years in arranging the Academy's herbarium!

Capt. Humphreys, of Washington, who has the charge of the report of Prof. Rafinesque, asks me if I have one ready on *Reacini* plant? You have the plants (so I told him), but I doubt think you did not expect to report on them. If they insist on your doing so & of course you will make them pay for it.

You will be glad to know that my salary has been raised to \$3000. With love to Jane
Yours ever J. Torrey

New York, April 17th 1855

My Dear Friend.

Your letter of the 13th came to hand this morning. Thunberg has received his share - He will send you Wood's Brook soon - I hope.

The plant of *Lindley* (710 II & 544 III) concerning which I wrote to you is a *Liliac*, ~~of the~~ near *Trilecia*, but quite distinct. The *O* stamens are equal, & their broad filaments united into a conspicuous tube, at the top of which there is a crown of *O* lanceolate prosepals alternating with the anthers. I cannot refer it to any genus in Kunth, or later authors: & yet the plant has a pretty wide range in Texas & New Mexico. If new, how would it do to call it *Androsiphium*.

I have found nine of it since I wrote
to you, & now only need the ripe leaf.
bule.

That *Philippia*, *Ecca* Fremont 846 (like
all the rest of that collection that are
labeled, instead of numbered) is not
recorded in Fremont's note book. ~~The~~
thus marked seem to have been collected
by a special party, detached from
the main body. They are doubtless
from California, & I am pretty cer-
tain, from the Sacramento Valley, or
rather the "Foot Hills" of the Sierra
Nevada

I really do not know where I placed your
description *Pentstemon* (*Pentstemon*) anomalous.
It was laid aside to send you, but, ~~strange~~
to say I have ^{made} a pretty thorough, but un-
successful hunt for it. It must, however

be entirely re-written, now that you regard
it as a *Pentstemon*: but if I find it
on Monday (it is now Saturday night) I will
put in this letter.

You had better figure *Sidalcea candida* for
Beckwith - or, how would it do to
serve up *Azaspiza*? unless that had better
be renewed for Emory

What shall I do with a great lot of
Holt's New Granada Plants left with
me when H. went to Pruce for three
weeks ago. One set is for you - & one
or two sets (I believe) are to be sent abroad

That anomalous *Aphora*-like *Euphorbia*,
I should like to have figured, but I have
no notes of my analysis of the male flower,
& there are no more such flowers left.
They were very scarce in the specimens, did
I send you any notes, or description of the
flowers? Are there any of the specimens
that I sent you?

We want not Beckwith, but we want *P. sp.*!



Torrey, John. 1855. "Torrey, John Apr 14, 1855." *John Torrey letters* –.

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/310915>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/343224>

Holding Institution

Harvard University Botany Libraries

Sponsored by

Arcadia (Open Collections)

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.