

P
Princeton, July 18th 1836.

Dear Doctor,

Your letter of July 11th, crossed my first. - & since that was received I have become a second time indebted to you for your interesting epistle of Thursday last. - So your blushing honors are coming in thickly! What shall we do with all these outlandish parchments? I will sell mine - (at least some of them) for less than their first cost. I have written to Edward Shaw respecting the lost parcel of books - but I fear that it will be a long time before it reaches us. Sooner or later I suppose it will come to hand - for I have never lost any considerable parcel yet. The Brazilian graphs would aid us greatly in determining our Southern species if we send for it again.

I am glad that the learned Mr. Batchelder has returned my copy of the work on Palenque. Let you see if you can get it forwarded by a private opportunity, to this place, as I have promised it to Prof. Henry. I will give him, also, (as he is greatly interested in the subject) the bas-reliefs from the ruins - for I did not present them to the Academy - & they hardly come within the limits of prints.

Have you received any more letters from DeWey & Dailey? These two of Cæcigraphy must have had a delightful meeting! But I fear we shall disturb the serenity of our Rochester friend when the Essays are published. Perhaps you will act prudently to break the shock by sounding a forewarning alarm. He is a good fellow indeed, & I greatly

regret having hurt his feelings. When you first C. emarginata, just
Decr 1st, or so away back he has never to complain of us. - but after
what I have said about C. Thorelli. C. oxycephala is very well - though
I should not have confused it with C. acuminata. How came I to make that mistake
about the Rocky Mountain C. Thorelli? You must be right, if you
find other specimens under that name from the same locality. I am a little
surprised at what you say about C. marginata - & yet it appears to me that
Dewey somewhere says it has pubescent fruit. That is a good bit about
C. marginata, it must be the same as C. callosa. Now that you point it out
I wonder we did not see it before. By the way I have not seen a atlas
where I can turn to decide respecting the proper orthography of rosea angustifolia - but
I think we may safely drop the 1st vowel, as is done in C. rosea uroboscenaria.

The proof sheet was returned yesterday. I did not get it till Saturday morning
& I was doubtful about the propriety of dispatching it by the Sabbath mail. Still
I sent letters etc. to No. 30 - & to the Librarian. I made some corrections - but
left several alterations for your decisions.

As to the bill of freight for the Rutherford parcels. I fear it was neglected - but
my receipt will show, & I will make all right on my return.
How strange that Dr. Graham's parcel should have been so long on the
way. It is welcome, however, even at this late day. Without work we
will keep - the copy of Wright's aromatic flora I will present to Pickering.
You must have seen the Greene by this time. It will be very interesting
about the Texan plants which I requested him to send for me.
You may safely lend Darlington's living work. He has very few Composita
which will fall into new genera - except the Composita - & these
have been worked up by Goode in J. Bot. Amer. Tell Bradlell
to gather 40 sp. of the Cathartolobelia for me. I will give him
other plants for them. So then you have ploughed out of the bog we

got into in hunting hunting for a name wherewith to honor Mr. Washington.
You say that all Bartram's fine specimens of the plant have 2 stigmas. The
sp. from Bigelow (my original C. Bigelovii) I have had but two, - but I thought
some of the others (though I did not look sharply) had three. The cover back again
tells to C. saxatilis! - But what tell is it that is afforded to C. virginiana
I remember a cover under that name in Schleicher's herb. of I took (if
I mistake not) one of the specimen, but it cannot be the same as the
White Hills' plant, & besides no one can identify the original species by
the published characters. It is one of Lamark's, I think. In noticing C. virg.
late A. Br. remember what Dewey (in lat.) says about it. That it a variety
of -- (I forgot the species at this moment) which he described in his Carex.

My do you decline the offer I made you respecting the flora? I
know it was little that I offered - but I only wish you to devote so
much of your time as you find conveniently spare, to the work. Perhaps
you think I cannot spare even so much, this at year. I can, perhaps,
give up more than this without inconvenience, as you will easily see, when you
consider that I am now but a master's salary or so below board, & in a
year from this time (with ordinary success) I shall be out of debt, but have
a pretty handsome surplus. If you are willing to take the chance
of getting a share of the profits of the flora, I will agree, cheerfully, to
any thing you may propose. I think I will publish on my own account
I mean that I doubt whether it will be expedient to sell the copy
right, unless a good price is offered - we will talk about all the details
when we meet. Of course I shall be happy to make due acknowledgment
of the aid you afford me - not only in general matters, but in editing
several of the families for which you are responsible. Further
it will be well for you to write several pretty full monographies
of ones that will be contained in the 4th Vol. - Then you can be guided for
your species, & you will stand out in bold relief then if it is truly stated in the

preface that you prepared certain families. In this way, too, I can claim a
large proportion of the book for myself, as the working up of the materials into
a synoptical form, with some few additions after you have published the
monographs, will be my own. I have just rec'd. a letter from Dr. May - He leaves
me unannounced, & says he has confidence that I will do the work properly without any
particular instructions from him. Mrs. T. & the children will probably return the last of the
week. I will inform you by letter when they will set off: as I wish ~~not~~ to trouble you with making some
arrangements for their accommodation. The papers are received - but irregularly. There was none
to-day. I have not yet seen the Observer - nor the Commercial since Thursday - I think that writer
last. Did you send for Whiteock's omnibus? Have you seen the review of Colton's Reasons &c. in the
last no. of Bibl. Rep. Addison Alexander is said to be the writer, & it is like ager fortis. You will receive the
Introd. to the 2d. soon. I am nearly interrupted - Ever of affectionately yours. J. Torrey -

29

2ND



W. A. Gray, M. D.

Museum of Natural History

New York.



Torrey, John. 1836. "Torrey, John Jul 18, 1836." *John Torrey letters* –.

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/310915>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/343044>

Holding Institution

Harvard University Botany Libraries

Sponsored by

Arcadia (Open Collections)

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.