

Columbus Sept 25th 1855

My dear Gray — I have been laid up all summer or next thing to it — unable & unfit for any thing. This acc'ts for my long ^{silence} ~~silence~~.

For the last week or two I have been picking up rapidly and hope as cooler weather approaches to be once more on hand.

I have two letters from you unanswered. To a Nomenclatural point in your first I have a word to say. — Usage of by for the the larger majority of authors is with you. But majorities are not always right.

Now as I look upon species as a fixity genus as a grand uncertainty if not a necessity and authorship of species a distinction valued by botanists, I hold that the name of him who first recognises defines and establishes a species should always be appended thereto. Species never changes, genus continually according to the crotchets of different observers. It is not enough to say that in the synonymy the name of the first

author of a species will be on record; synonyms soon become unwieldy and oftentimes are more or less dropped. If any one is to depend on the synonymy to show what he has done, let the genus maker, and not tack his Name to a species he did not discover and to a specific name he did not originate merely because his fancy prompts him to day to place it in a genus for which tomorrow he or some one else may substitute another. He who first discovers a species feels a sort of vested right in it - and justly so. He cares not into what assemblage called genera it may be placed by this or that systematist, especially so is it in *Mosses* where after taking out 4 or 5 pretty natural groups there is left a material that would be worked up by Müller into 40 and by Schimpf into 340 genera, and Bryologists would be pretty equally divided as to which or other was right. I have somewhere seen a suggestion (from Lindley, perhaps) which I consider an excellent one - e.c. to give the authorities where they are different both for the genera and the species, thus, *Hypnum revolutum* (Linn. Noddy) - Part of this change cannot be made and if only one author's name is to be appended to the two terms (genus & species) by which it is sought to

make known a given species, then let his name be appended who manifestly has made far the largest contribution to that object - viz - the discovery of the species itself, as is done by Hook. J. and Wilson in Flora New Zealand (1853) and Wilson in Prodr. Brit. (1855) who retain the original species ^{name} with the name of its author attached thereto, no odds when or by whom the various genera, it may have passed through or may now be placed in, were proposed. — — —

There's my gum on this subject!

However I shall not be repository - but, when we publish, follow the crowd though on the wrong track.

Now about the Manual. — I like the plan of illustrating the genera of *Musc. & Hepaticae*, and with very cheaply revenue and do all I can in illustrating them. Besides, the ^{the best of} key analysis, a characteristic plant of each genus should be given. After broken into the latter and working some extenmely, I think the 82 genera (*Musc. & Hep. of the world*) can be neatly and satisfactorily illustrated with four Octavo. plates. To make the drawings, for all these, as they should and must be made, is more than I should like to undertake in my present state of health, but if Mr Sprague will come on here & spend with me 10 or 15 days more or less, we

will fix you up & as nice plates as any reasonable
man would desire. — I should be very much
pleased to have Sprague come — no doubt a
little flying trip out here would do him good
mind & body — his visit will be a pleasant one — if
it is any indusement his coming staying and
returning shall not cost him any thing. —

as for the letter prop I would wait for the
additions reviewing & to increase that of the Moses
is to 6 pages — would make very little change in
Hepaticae — the Moses would be considerably im-
proved —

When do you want all this done? — I am
ready now to begin on it — could not Sprague
be here by the 10th of next month (Oct.)? — Let
me know. — In the mean time I could get things
together & prepare for him. —

You spoke about a notice of Wiliam Bryd.
Brit. for Silliman's Jour. — To do it as it ought
to be done would take more time than I can
now spare — besides Hooke's post. in one of the
late nos of Ivan Bkt. gives a long notice of it but not
such a one as it deserves. — Thanks for the Bryd. sample
plts which I suppose came from you. — I am rather often away
I intended to write about leave for another time — as ever you consider

Wm. Sallmann



Sullivant, William S. 1855. "Sullivant, William Sep 25, 1855." *William Starling Sullivant letters* –.

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/291977>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/339699>

Holding Institution

Harvard University Botany Libraries

Sponsored by

Arcadia (Open Collections)

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.