

C. S.

I send you the remarks about
Wilkes because you may think it
pertinent in connection with
his work (and mine if it may be) — and
I failed in getting him to appreciate
them — but I am bound with them — and
often very unjustly especially
when he has prejudices, the screen
comes down rather severely.

Dear Sirs. — The scientists here
are very desirous, after the
first year — to wear this first year's
label "which contains no
congress." — Has Wilkes been
aware of his own ignorance in
Scientific subjects. He might
have avoided many errors in
his narrative, and done
disreputable disservice. — But
society has its returns. — It
was the common opinion among
the Naval Officers where we started
that if Congress had given
any report, it would have been
signed by a committee here, or
another, alluding to your report. — Prof. S. is away
now, but we will have his name on it. —

Your Document is a very excellent one. — I
would only suggest that when you say 50 or 75
copies have been published, you drop the 50, — 75
copies of my book on Corals, Sea Slugs and Crustacea
published, and speaking of what has been done that
number should be used; though it is doubtful if they
would publish as many of the volume on a less
popular subject. — They intimated as much with regard to the
Crustacea

S. C. S.

I am at the end of my chain & must
bid you adieu J. S. Davis

I am much provoked that I must add a word of doubt as to whether the Coral volume can be properly reviewed in the next number of the N. American. — Because, the bills with regard to it cannot be signed till Tappan comes from Ohio (which they say will be in 10 days). Wilkes thinks he sees in the ^{book} large amount of non-expedition matter, and writes that his power does not extend so far as to allow of his signing the bills. When this news first reached me, I was very ~~it~~ had feelings as bad as a brickbat. — But I suppose Wilkes is right. — Tappan saw the manuscript, read it for three days in his hand, and finally gave it his approval, remarking at the same time on the description of species not collected in the Expedition. So that I am safe, if there was any disposition to make trouble. — After the correspondence on the subject I should not wish to give the book for a review before it has been presented to Congress. — Perhaps you had better prepare it, and if I hear about it in 10 days or so I will let you know. — Hale's book is not under this incumbrance — though actually as much liable to the objection as mine, and the review of that can be published whether

mine joins it or not. — My material, the result of Exped. observations was sufficient for a reconstruction of the science, and I have consequently made a complete ~~and~~ ^{entire} abridging of the whole. — In no other way could I have brought out the results. — The Table Title page has not yet come; but I am still expecting it. —

The plates are yet in the works, and not even half a dozen are finished — and none of those are here. It will probably be 8 or 10 months before they are all engraved. — They will be hurried ^{all} as soon as we have ~~or~~ most appropriate. They ought to have been finished before they

I will write you again the first news I get from Washington. The next number of the Journal contains two citations from the Coral book — one on the analyses of coral, and another on the Cyathophyllidae. They were printed before ~~there was~~ I had heard of the delay at Washington: and if they object, it cannot be helped. — There is no review of it.

The bundle of extra copies of the Introduction shall be sent on as soon as I receive the remaining sheets from Philad. — The communication

to the Library Committee shall be returned
to you by tomorrow's mail. —

as ever

Sincerely yours

Daniel D. Dana

Prof. M. A. Gray B. B.

attempting combining with his officers, and directed, exhibited through the entire
a wonderful degree of energy and will power, even to recklessness, in many of his
explorations. I know so well what brave officers were generally
are, that I much doubt if with any commander that could have been
well selected, we should have had better, or lined together more harmoniously
and convenient than the many over two hundred a day, containing a
more during expense, or dining officer. —

now
disengaged — promised
July 20. 1851

P.S. If you examine Wilkes' charts, you will find them well done - They are the surveys of his officers (as well as himself) and among them were some excellent surveyors - The Peigne chart is very far superior to the French one by D'Urville, made after their late voyage, a rival of our expedition. Indeed, we had a better chart from our traders there, to start with, than that by D'Urville. This was the work of a few days, & over of 36 months. I mention these particulars, because, what ever may be said of him & the narrative, this hydrographical department has been well carried out - Wilkes



Dana, James Dwight. 1836. "Dana, James Dwight undated." *James Dwight Dana letters*

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/288143>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/337691>

Holding Institution

Harvard University Botany Libraries

Sponsored by

Arcadia (Open Collections)

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.