

does not agree with Walker's type from St. Domingo, the primaries are considerably darker, and the dark brown border of the secondaries of only half the width: it must be distinct.

---

### A PARASITE IN *ÆGERIA SYRINGÆ*. HARR.

BY G. H. FRENCH, Carbondale, Ill.

When examining the stems of some lilac bushes in my yard, I found a place in the bark of one where it seemed that an *Ægerian* pupa might soon protrude for the purpose of liberating the moth. Upon cutting away the thin film of bark, I found the end of a chrysalis visible. I carefully cut away the wood, took this out and put it in a jelly dish surrounded with lilac leaves to prevent its drying up, and waited for the imago to come forth. June 5th, a week after the chrysalis had been put into the jelly dish, I saw something among the leaves which I supposed was the expected moth, but which proved to be a hymenopter. I did not know but the insect might be one of the boring bees that often resort to the holes left by *Ægerians* in which to rear their young, but an examination of both the insect and the empty pupa case assured me that I had a parasite. The chrysalis was certainly that of an *Ægerian*, having all the characteristic marks of the pupæ of that family; and the insect in emerging from it had gnawed a hole near the end on the left side instead of the usual method of emergence of insects from their own pupa cases. More than this, the specimen was a true Ichneumonide and not a Crabronide as I at first thought it might be. This is the first time I have known of any parasite working in the *Ægerians*.

I make the parasite to be *Phæogenes Ater*, Cres. It is shining jet black, 40 of an inch long, the antennæ 25 jointed, the first 8 black, the next 4 white and the rest dark brown. The joints of the legs are a little pale.

It is impossible for me to say when the parasite was introduced into its host; but it must have been before it pupated, because the chrysalis when taken from the bush was entire, showing no broken place. That the *Ægerian* was *Æ. Syringæ*, I have no doubt, as I do not know of any other boring in the lilac.

---

### LEPIDOPTEROLOGICAL NOTES.\*

BY PROFESSOR C. V. RILEY.

*PLUSIA BRASSICÆ*, Riley (Rep. II. p. 111).—Notwithstanding its close resemblance to *ni*, the best authorities agree with Zeller in considering it distinct, as it certainly is. Strangely enough

---

\*From advance sheets of Bulletin 6, U. S. Ent. Comm., being General Index and Supplement to the Missouri Entomological Reports.



French, G. H. 1881. "A parasite in *Aegeria syringae*, Harr." *Papilio* 1(7), 106–106.

**View This Item Online:** <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/39681>

**Permalink:** <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/314700>

**Holding Institution**

Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

**Sponsored by**

Smithsonian

**Copyright & Reuse**

Copyright Status: NOT\_IN\_COPYRIGHT

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.