VI. — Experiments to Determine the Properties of some Mixtures of Cast Iron and Nickel. By WILLIAM FAIRBAIRN, F.R.S.

Read March 9th, 1858.

Some of my chemical friends in London had got an impression from a careful analysis of meteoric iron, that it could be produced artificially by the combination of some of the same elements that were found to exist in the specimen analysed, containing about $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent of nickel.

In order to determine whether it would be possible to obtain an artificial compound of this nature, and to ascertain the effect produced by mixing a certain proportion of nickel with cast iron, the following experiments were instituted. They consisted, in the first instance, in the extraction of the nickel from the ore which is found in the mines of the Duke of Argyle, near Inverary in Scotland. The metal having then been purified by repeated meltings, was mixed with cast iron in such proportions as to form a compound, containing the same quantity of nickel as the specimen analysed. This was done by melting 21 per cent of nickel with carefully selected South Welsh cast iron from the works of Blaenarvon and Pontypool. The mixtures were fused in crucibles, and run into ingots or bars, which were then tested in regard to their mechanical powers of resistance to a transverse strain.

SOME MIXTURES OF CAST IRON AND NICKEL. 105

This was done with great care, and the results which follow give unmistakeable evidence of the effects produced upon cast iron by an admixture of nickel, however small the quantity of the latter that may be introduced. Meteoric iron is, above all others, the most ductile, and it is recorded by travellers that the Esquimaux have instruments made from this description of iron so ductile that they may be made to bend round the arm. The ingots prepared on the occasion of these experiments were, however, widely different, as their power to resist impact was nearly one half less than in those composed of pure iron.

It is uncertain what might have been the results had the castings produced been treated as cast steel, and hammered out until they were rendered malleable and magnetic. This process was not, however, attempted, as, judging from the appearance of the fracture, they were more likely to crumble under the hammer than attain malleability.

The nickel for these experiments was prepared from the ore, by fusing at a very high temperature in a crucible or steel pot,

> *lbs.* of roasted ore, *lbs.* of fine sand, *lbs.* of charcoal, *lbs.* of lime.

This mixture was kept in the furnace six hours, and then taken out and allowed to cool. The metal was then separated from the slag, and again melted with half its weight of roasted ore and one quarter its weight of green bottle glass ground to powder.

As before, the mixture was kept for six hours, at the temperature of a cast steel furnace. The metal had by the end of that time collected at the bottom of the crucible. It contained about 25 per cent of nickel, and was VOL. XV. P

106 MR. W. FAIRBAIRN ON THE PROPERTIES OF

of sufficient purity to be fused with cast iron. 10*lbs*. of it melted with 112*lbs*. of cast iron gave a mixture containing about $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent of nickel.

The object of these fusings was to reduce the metallic oxide by means of the charcoal, while the lime and sand removed the oxide of iron, silica, sulphur and other impurities by forming a fusible slag.

Mixtures of nickel with cast iron (No. 1) and Blaenarvon iron (No. 3) and Pontypool iron, each containing about $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent of nickel, and also some pure Blaenarvon cast iron (No. 1) were cast into bars about one inch square and two feet six inches long, and subjected to the following experimental tests : — SOME MIXTURES OF CAST IRON AND NICKEL. 107

TABLE I.	Breaking	weights a	nd deflection	ns of ba	ers of cast	ire	m
and of	alloys of	nickel and	cast iron,	when	subjected	to	a
transve	erse strain	- particulary					

		AND CALORINA PORT	THE OWNER WATCHING THE PARTY NAMED					-	T	
Experiment I. Cast Iron No. 1, with Nickel.		Experim Pure Ca No.	Experiment II. Pure Cast Iron, No. 1.		Experiment III. Blaenarvon Iron, No. 3, with Nickel.		Experiment IV. Blaenarvon Iron, No. 3.		Experiment V. Pontypool Iron with pure Nickel.	
Section of bar in inches,		Section of bar in inches, 1×1.		Section of bar in inches, 1×1 .		Section of bar in inches, 1.02×1.07 .		Section of bar in inches, 1×1 .		
W la	eight id on	Deflec- tion in inches.	Weight laid on in lbs.	Deflec- tion in inches.	Weight laid on in lbs.	Deflec- tion in inches.	Weight laid on in lbs.	Deflec- tion in inches.	Weight laid on in lbs.	tion in inches.
	147	0.125	147	0.1	147	0.02	147	0.02	prineres (an Claus
-	250	0.175	259	0.155	259	0.1	259	0.1	1	
	315	0.19			315	0.14			0.00	0.997
	371	0.25	371	0.2	371	0.16	371	0.115	371	0.225
	427	0.275	- he		427	6.18	427	0.0	427	0.257
	483	0.34	483	0.225	483	0.2	483	0.2	483	0.20
	539	0.375	539	0.25	539	0.237	539	0.237	539	0.202
					567	0.25	567	0.25	in Ter	Sec. 52
	595	0.41	595	0.31	595	0.255	595	0.262	- MARK	and the second second
		1	609	0.325	- as an	-	000	0.075	Indiana	(STR.)
	623	0.425	623	0.33	623	0.277	623	0.275		
	637	1.000	637	0.32			071	0.9	651	0.3
	Brok	e with	651	0.36	651	0.285	051	0.995	670	0.312
	this v	weight.	679	0.365	679	0.29	679	0.927	707	0.325
L			707	0.367	707	0.3	107	0.991	101	0 0 20
1			721	0.375		0.000	F10	0.969		
			735	0.4	749	0.325	749	0.302	762	0.35
L			763	0.41	763	0.34		0.975	703	000
L			777	0.425	791	0.425	111	0010	151	D ING
L			791	0.44	000	0.15	010	0.4	708	leas!
Ľ			819	0.46	833	0.45	019	0.42	Brok	e with
L			847	0.46	1 007	a andi	041	0 44	this	weight.
L			861	1	861		075	0.137	UIIIS	neight.
1			Brok	e with	875		015	0.45	aler en	
			this	weight.	Brok	te with	917	0.5	HE RY	
1			a series of		this	weight.	1043	0.525		
			A DE		0.044		1040	0.575	00:10	
1			1 100				1155	0.675	ante des	
1	-1008-J1000 30		1 12		a solo		Wit	th this		
1	Linternin his		-		100 30		weig	ht it re-	avint	
pression n		1				maine	d twent	V		
in impact, as		Sunsta		OWON		min	nutes.	- Lando		
see pronecting		e those		sur ste		1007	10.75	CONTRACTOR OF		
						1267	10.75	11.50 10		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						Bro	ke alter	A REAL PROPERTY AND		
1			bi eno		de rod		some	seconds	i lib ei	
	777.1	1.7	TTU	moto de	TIL	mate de	- TIltin	mate de	- Ultin	nate de
-	Ulti	mate de	- Ulti	on O.47	" floati	on 0.58	" flecti	on 0.75	" flectio	n 0.366
flection 0.434"		1 necti	01 0 47	neeti	00 0 00	1 HOUDI	011010	the second s	Constitute with the lot	

.

108 MR. W. FAIRBAIRN ON THE PROPERTIES OF

TABLE	II.	Results	reduced	to	bars	1"	square.	Distance
betree	een th	ie support	's 2' 3".					

Alternative for the second of	Break- ing weight (b)	Ultimate deflection (d)	Power of resisting impact $(b \times d)$	Strength compared with Blenarvon =1000
 Experiment I. bar D. pure Blaenarvon No. 3 Do. II. , C. Blaenarvon No. 3 and nickel Do. III. , B. pure cast iron No. 1 Do. IV. , A. cast iron No. 1 and nickel Do. V. , E. Pontypool iron and pure nickel 	$ \begin{array}{r} 1131 \\ 875 \\ 861 \\ 637 \\ 798 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.75 \\ 0.58 \\ 0.47 \\ 0.434 \\ 0.366 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 848 \cdot 2 \\ 507 \cdot 5 \\ 404 \cdot 7 \\ 276 \cdot 4 \\ 292 \cdot 1 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1000 \\ 773 \\ 761 \\ 563 \\ 705 \end{array} $
Mean	860	0.52	465.7	760

From the above it is evident that an admixture of nickel in the proportion of $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent does not increase but diminish the tenacity of cast iron. To what extent it might be improved by augmenting or lessening the proportion of nickel, a more extended series of experiments alone can determine. Mixtures of the two metals in the proportion used in the above experiments are decidedly inferior to the pure metal in their power of resistance to a transverse strain and to impact. In the first and second experiments on Blaenaryon iron there is a loss of nearly one-fifth the strength; or the strength of the pure metal is to that of the mixture as 1000:773. And in Experiments III. and IV. with a more fluid iron there is about the same loss, the relative strengths being as 761:563; or as 1000:740. From these facts it is evident that nickel in the proportion of 21 per cent seriously injures the strength of cast iron, and moreover has injurious effects on its power of resisting impact, as the columns in the above table indicating those properties clearly show.

It is difficult to account for the serious deterioration and loss of strength which the above experiments indicate. It may probably arise from the improper treatment of the nickel ore during its calcination and subsequent reduction

SOME MIXTURES OF CAST IRON AND NICKEL.

in the crucible. When cast into ingots after the second melting the nickel had not the appearance of a pure metal, but exhibited a dull fracture, as if fine sand or particles of earth had been mixed with the crystals, showing the presence of impurities which it would be almost impossible to get rid of. It remained, therefore, a question for consideration whether the results would be different if nickel, properly prepared and of greater purity, were employed. To clear up doubts on this point a mixture of pure nickel with No. 3 Pontypool iron was made, but the result was a bar of white silvery metal, which broke when the weight of 798*lbs.* was laid on, as will be seen by referring to the Tables above.

I obtained from London a number of other bars, consisting of iron and nickel, which on being submitted to the same tests as before, gave better results than those obtained in the previous experiments when nickel prepared from the ore was employed.

The results obtained from this second series of bars are given in the following table:

109

TABLE III. Breaking weights and deflections of bars of nickel and cast iron, when subjected to a transverse strain. The bars were about one inch square, and the distance between the supports was two feet three inches.

Exper	iment I.	nent Experiment Experiment Experiment		iment	Exper	riment K.	Experiment XI.				
Bar F marke note	d with thes.	Bar F marke note	2 not d with ches.	Bar G1 with on	marked e notch.	Bar G 2 marked with one notch.		Bar H 1 with note	marked two ches.	Bar H 2 with note	marked two ches.
Section	of bar	Section	n of bar ches.	Section	n of bar ches.	Section	of bar ches.	Section of ba		Section	of bar ches.
1.02>	<1.02.	1.02 >	×1.02.	1.02>	×1.02.	1.2>	<1.2.	1.15;	×1.15.	1.15×1.15.	
Wght.	Deflec-	Wght.	Deflec-	Wght.	Deflec-	Wght.	Deflec-	Wght.	Deflec-	Wght. Deflec-	
laid on	tion in	in lbs.	tion in	in lbs.	tion in inches.	in lbs.	tion in inches.	in lbs.	inches.	in lbs.	tion in inches.
	mentesi										
147	0.05	147	0.002	147	0.05					an server	Serle and
259	0.06	259	0.02	259	0.06	259	0.06	259	0.06	259	0.08
371	0.1	371	0.1	371	0.12	371	0.08	371	0.1	art and	1000
427	0.12	427	0.115	427		A DECISION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE OWNER OW				in the second	100
483	0.14	483	0.14	483	0.17	483	0.11	483	0.14	483	0.14
539	0.16	539	0.18	539		539	0.155	539	0.165		
595	0.18	595	0.19	595	0.23	595	0.17	595	0.17	595	0.18
623	0.19					-					
651	0.2	651	0.21	651	0.26	651	0.19	651	0.18	651	0.2
679	0.21	679	0.22		Service and	1000				-	a la
707	0.22	707	0.23	707	0.29	707	0.2	707	0.19	and and	and a
735	0.24	735	0.24	1000	Provident and	1999	2.05 2	100 000	10 1000	NO ER	PRINTER !
763	0.25	763	0.255	763	0.32	763	0.215	763	0.2	763	0.23
777	0.255		0 -00								0 -0
791	0.26	791	0.27	791		-		Lan 14			
805	0.265	805	0.28	Bro	oke.	819	0.23	819	0.23	819	0.25
819	0.27	819	0.285			875	0.25	875	0.24	875	0.27
833	0.28	833	0.29			931	0.27	931	0.27	931	·
847	0.20	847	8.295	1207 24		959	0.28	959	0.28	Br	ke
861	0.3	861	0.3			987	0.285	987	0 20	Di	JHC.
875	0.31	875	0.31	10.179	-	1043	0.3	Br	he		1.000
003	0.01	003	0.32	a second		1057	0.31	DI	JEC.	A TO	-
Br	ko	017	0.325			1071	0.317				
DIC	MC.	031	0.33	6 19		1099	0.321	10. 3		25.325	Real Property
		050	0.345			1127	0.33	-			
C. S. Stall		087	0.355	100 G		1155	0.34	15.75		Constant in	Sell-
		1015	0.975			1100	0.25				
		1010	0.00			1100	0.96			and a second	1
1.1.1		1029 D-	0.99	10 1000		1920	0.975	and the second		and the second second	
		Dro	oke.	1.		1967	0.905				
				14. Il 1		1207	0.385	1012 23		armipres .	C MARK
		1				1295	1				
				-		Bro	DKe.				
Ultin	mate	Ulti	mate	Ulti	mate	Ulti	mate	Ultimate		Ulti	mate
defle	ction	defle	ction	defle	ction	defle	ction	defle	ction	defle	ction
0.315"		0.38"		0.331"		0.41"		0.286"		0.29"	

TABLE IV.	Results	reduced	to bars	$1'' \times 1''$.	Distance	between
the support	ts 2' 3".					

mains to be datermined by a perimental by a	Break- ing weight (b)	Ulti- mate de- flection (d)	Power of resisting impact. $(b \times d)$	Rates of strength Bar F 2 =1000
Experiment VI. Bar F 1 without notches	867	0.312	273	1000:876
Do. VII. ,, F2 ,, ,,	989	0.38	376	1000:1000
Do. VIII. " G1 with one notch.	760	0.331	231	1000:768
Do. IX. " G2 " "	899	0.41	368	1000:908
Do. X H1 with two notches	746	0.286	213	1000:754
Do. XI. "H2 " "	703	0.29	203	1000:810
Mean	829	0.335	280	1000:838

I have been unable to ascertain the precise composition of these bars, but assuming it to have been similar to that of the first series of bars, the greater powers of resistance shown by them would seem to indicate that the nickel employed in their preparation possessed a higher degree of purity than that used for the first series. Much, however, depends on the quality of the cast iron with which the nickel is mixed. The results derived from the foregoing experiments are conclusive, both in regard to those made on the first and those made on the second series of bars. Further experiments may, however, lead to different results; but judging from what has already been done, I am inclined to believe that chemical combinations of a different nature are required, and probably a totally different process of manufacture will have to be adopted before a sufficiently strong and satisfactory compound can be obtained.

In attempting to ascertain the effect of a mixture of nickel with cast iron, the principal object was to determine to what extent the compound gave positive or negative results. It is well known that meteoric iron is peculiarly ductile, and it was assumed that nickel, added to cast iron in such proportion as to produce a compound similar

112 MR. W. FAIRBAIRN ON THE PROPERTIES, ETC.

to meteoric iron, would impart to it increased ductility. The foregoing experiments lead, however, to the conclusion that an admixture of nickel produces an exactly opposite effect, and it now remains to be determined by a more extended series of experiments, whether by mixing nickel with malleable iron in the same relative proportion more satisfactory results would be obtained. In prosecuting these experiments it would be interesting to know the extent to which these metals are capable of combining chemically, and how closely such combinations would approximate to meteoric iron.

Besides endeavouring to obtain a metal of greater ductility, another object of equal importance was aimed at in these experiments, namely, to produce a metal of increased tenacity suitable for the casting of cannon and heavy ordnance. During the last two years innumerable experiments have been made for this purpose, with more or less success; but the ultimate result appears to be, that for the construction of heavy artillery there is no metal so well calculated to resist the explosion of gunpowder, as a perfectly homogeneous mass of *the best and purest cast iron*, when freed from sulphur and phosphorus.



Fairbairn, William. 1860. "Experiments to Determine the Properties of Some Mixture of Cast Iron and Nickle." *Memoirs of the Literary and Philosophical Society of Manchester* 15, 104–112.

View This Item Online: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/52264</u> Permalink: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/305060</u>

Holding Institution Natural History Museum Library, London

Sponsored by Natural History Museum Library, London

Copyright & Reuse Copyright Status: Public domain. The BHL considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.