PROPOSED VALIDATION, UNDER THE PLENARY POWERS, OF THE GENERIC NAME "HOMALASPIS" MILNE EDWARDS (A.), 1863 (CLASS CRUSTACEA, ORDER DECAPODA) (PROPOSED VALIDATION OF AN ERROR IN "OPINION" 85)

By FRANCIS HEMMING, C.M.G., C.B.E.,

Secretary to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature

(Commission's reference: Z.N.(S.) 560)

The purpose of the present application is to seek the assistance of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature in validating the generic name *Homalaspis* Milne Edwards (A.), 1863 (Ann. Sci. nat., Paris (Zool.) (4) 20: 279) (Class Crustacea, Order Decapoda), which was placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology by the Ruling given in Opinion 85 (1925, Smithson. misc. Coll. 73 (No. 3): 13) in the erroneous belief that it was a nomenclatorially available name.

- 2. The present case first came to light in 1944 during a preliminary survey of the pre-1936 entries on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology which I carried out at that time. That survey showed that the name Homalaspis Milne Edwards, 1863, was invalid by reason of being a junior homonym of the name Homalaspis Reinhard, 1860 (Berlin. ent. Z. 4:239), an emendation of the name Omalaspis Giraud, 1860 (Verh. zool.-bot. Ges. Wien 10 (Abh.):155), the name of a genus in the Order Hymenoptera (Class Insecta).
- 3. As a first step in the examination of the question of the action needed in the present case, I consulted Dr. R. B. Benson (British Museum (Natural History), London) for the purpose of ascertaining whether the suppression under the Plenary Powers of the name Homalaspis Reinhard for the purpose of validating the name Homalaspis Milne Edwards in the Class Crustacea would cause any disturbance or inconvenience in the nomenclature of the Hymenoptera. Dr. Benson replied on 21st April 1944 as follows:—

I cannot see that any possible harm could come by suppressing Homalaspis Reinhard.

Omalaspis Giraud is in use for a genus of the Cynipoid family ASPICERIDAE. The three described species, all European, are rare or, at least, little known, and they rarely appear in the literature. Since Dalla-Torre & Kieffer's (1910) Cynipidae in Das Tierreich, where "Omalaspis" was used, I am not aware that the form of the name "Homalaspis" has ever been used. I only know of three references to "Omalaspis" (Hedicke, 1928, Bull. Soc. ent. France 1928: 280, Weld, 1931, Proc. ent. Soc. Wash. 33: 221 and Rohwer & Fagan, 1917, "The type species of the Genera of the Cynipidae", Proc. U.S. nat. Mus. 53: 357—380; and in this type list the form of the name "Homalaspis" is not even mentioned!). I cannot

see any reason therefore against suppressing *Homalaspis* Reinhard as no confusion is likely to arise. Even if *Omalaspis* Giraud is later found to be invalid for any reason, there is a second string in *Lambertiona* Kieffer, with a conspecific type species.

- 4. Dr. Benson's letter made it quite clear that there could be no objection from the entomological side to the validation of the generic name *Homalaspis* Milne Edwards, 1863, in the Class Crustacea, and I recommend that this action should now be taken by the International Commission, in order that the defective entry of this name on the *Official List of Generic Names in Zoology* made by *Opinion* 85 may thereby be regularised.
- 5. I further recommend that in pursuance of the General Directive issued to the Commission by the International Congress of Zoology that Rulings given in Opinions are in future to cover the whole field embraced in any given instance, the Commission should at the same time place the generic name Omalaspis Giraud, 1860, on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology. As regards the type species of that genus, I am informed (in. litt., 6th December 1954) by Dr. Benson that L. H. Weld (1952, Cynipoidea (Hym.) 1905—1950, Ann Arbor Michigan: 16) has expressed the view that the name Omalaspis noricus Giraud, 1860, is a junior synonym of Omalaspis nigra (Hartig, 1840) (=Figites niger Hartig, 1840, Z. Ent. (Germar) 2: 202). In these circumstances, the correct course under the standard procedure will be to place the name niger Hartig, 1840, but not its junior subjective synonym noricus Giraud, 1860, on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology. At the same time the Invalid Emendation Homalaspis Reinhard, 1860, will need to be placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology.
- 6. No question of family-group names arises in connection with either of the generic names involved in the present application, for the Decapod genus *Homalaspis* Milne Edwards is, I am informed by Dr. Isobel Gordon (*British Museum* (*Natural History*), *London*), currently referred to the family XANTHIDAE, while the Hymenoptera genus *Omalaspis* Giraud is currently referred to the family ASPICERIDAE.
- 7. The recommendation which I now submit is that the International Commission should:—
 - (1) use its Plenary Powers for the purpose of suppressing the generic name *Homalaspis* Reinhard, 1860 (Class Insecta, Order Hymenoptera) for the purposes both of the Law of Priority and of the Law of Homonymy;

- (2) substitute the following revised entry on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology for Name No. 320:—
 - Homalaspis Milne Edwards (A.), 1863, Ann. Sci. nat., Paris (Zool.) (4) 20: 279 (gender: feminine) (type species, by original designation: Xantho planus Milne Edwards (H.), 1834, Roret's Suite à Buffon, Hist. nat. Crust. 1: 397);
- (3) place the under-mentioned generic name on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology:—
 - Omalaspis Giraud, 1860, Verh. zool.-bot. Ges. Wien 10(Abh.): 155 (gender: feminine) (type species, by monotypy: Omalaspis noricus Giraud, 1860, Ver. zool.-bot. Ges. Wien 10(Abh.): 155) (Class Insecta, Order Hymenoptera);
- (4) place the under-mentioned specific names on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology:—
 - (a) planus Milne Edwards (H.), 1834, as published in the combination Xantho planus (specific name of type species of Homalaspis Milne Edwards (A.), 1863);
 - (b) niger Hartig, 1840, as published in the combination Figites niger;
- (5) place the under-mentioned generic names on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology:—
 - (a) Homalaspis Reinhard, 1860, as suppressed under the Plenary Powers under (1) above;
 - (b) Homalaspis Brunner v. Wattenwyl, 1895, Monogr. Pseudophylliden: 19, 217 (junior homonym of Homalaspis Milne Edwards (A.), 1863);
 - (c) Homalaspis Kiaer, 1932, Skr. Svalbard Ishavet Oslo 52:14 (junior homonym of Homalaspis Milne Edwards (A.), 1863).



Hemming, Francis. 1955. "Proposed validation, under the plenary powers, of the generic name Homalaspis Milne Edwards (A.), 1863 (Class Crustacea, Order Decapoda) (Proposed validation of an error in Opinion 85)." *The Bulletin of zoological nomenclature* 11, 83–85. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.2823.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/44292

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.2823

Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/2823

Holding Institution

Natural History Museum Library, London

Sponsored by

Natural History Museum Library, London

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

Rights Holder: International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature

License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Rights: https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.