

25, WILTON PLACE.
S.W.

June 19/70

My dear Gray

Since I wrote to you we
have purchased *Nitidulidifera* at Rees
which puzzles me more what to do
about the Californian laurel the
day it twice over first as
Umbellularia Rees which he has
not seen but is very fairly characterised
next as *Oreomyza* sp. n. in which
he apparently says that the authors are
all introore and that there is no
introore thus destroying the peculiar
character of the genus. The figure
however represents the introore
We have therefore for the genus (which

I think we must adopt) take either
Umbellularia Nees although Nees only
proposed it as a section not as a genus
or Dorymyphellum Nees who gave it a
false character retaining Umbellularia
at the same time as a genus. Which
should it be? I rather think Umbellularia
more according to rule

Yours very truly
George Peckham

I gave your copy of No. London VII to
Oliver he has made up a small box
there has been some hitch and
delay as to sending it off but I believe
it is now gone to Liverpool



Bentham, George. 1878. "Bentham, George June 19, 1878." *George Bentham letters to Asa Gray*

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/226393>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/261238>

Holding Institution

Harvard University Botany Libraries

Sponsored by

Arcadia 19th Century Collections Digitization/Harvard Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The Library considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection

License: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.