

Cuba

Nov 7/91

Dear Prof Sargent

Pardon me, but I do not quite understand why my spelling of Notholacna should have been changed to Notho-chlaena in the current number of my notes. I did not so write it in my MS, and did not notice any such change in the proof sent to me for examination - if I had I should have changed it. I have always adhered to ~~Brown's~~ the first spelling not only because it was Brown's original orthography but because it is simpler and more euphonic.

Prof. Eaton whose knowledge of Greek makes his judgment especially valuable in such matters always writes Notholacna, adopting Brown's orthography,

and I have felt safe in following
his example.

The use of the harker and
more clumsy spelling makes
my notes inconsistent with
all of my previous notes and as
I am not likely to adopt it in
future notes I must claim
the privilege of appending an
explanatory note when the
~~next~~ proof comes to me

As thank you very much for
having the expense open book
to me

Very Truly Yours

Geo E Drayton



Davenport, Geo. E. 1891. "Davenport, George E. Nov. 7, 1891 [to C. S. Sargent] [copy]." *Asa Gray correspondence*

View This Item Online: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/221834>

Permalink: <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/255103>

Holding Institution

Harvard University Botany Libraries

Sponsored by

Arcadia 19th Century Collections Digitization/Harvard Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: Public domain. The Library considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection

License: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.