

26  
y dear Gray.

Dear Baily West

May 22<sup>d</sup>  
1860 (?)

again I have to thank you for your  
(of May 7<sup>th</sup>) very pleasant letter & enclosing my pleasant  
restitution of 22 £. - I am in much better circumstances  
at all times than you have letters for me. I  
return Appleton's account. - For the chance of your writing  
for a formal acknowledgment, I send one. - If you have  
any further communication, pray speak of acknowledgement  
for his generosity; but it is generosity in your opinion.  
I am at all surprised at sale diminishing;  
yesterday morning is the getup of sale. he talk  
to public has been sharply imposed on! for they  
brought the book, thinking the it would be nice  
easy reading. I asked the sale to 1st week in  
England: get bill sent to me the other day. the  
calling at Murray he said the 50 copies had gone  
- previous 48 hours. - I am greatly glad the sale  
will not be additions in the <sup>origin</sup> Journal. judging from letters  
(+ I have just seen one from Haweis to Hooker) & remarks, the work  
from which is the book was not explaining how it is, and  
below, the do from do at newspaper advance, - but  
then can we be simpler organism 1st writing. - The  
Review in Medd. Chron. Review in J. Carpenter. - I will  
send Pictet, if you cannot see it, but I do? will

gray 26  
it back. — Sedgwick has been living broadside at us, but & leaving an  
aptitude that  
geographical ground. — Prof. Clarke of Cambridge says, "the chief characteristic  
of such books as mine is their 'consummate impudence' —  
I hear there is very severe review on me & in Scott's British J.  
& Rev'd Mr. Durand, "a free-think minister & dabbling in theistic theism."  
I'd be very glad to see any good American Review, — as I am  
all over a bit upset. — You say the sun shall stand on the  
Review — Harley told me some time ago the other a time  
he would write review on the Review, whether he will  
I know not. — If you allude to Edinburgh, say what a same  
of the points will I will point out on separate  
slip. — In "Salisbury Review" (one of our clearest periodicals) of  
Aug 5. & 573 there is a nice article on your Review,  
defending Harley. At no. 100, <sup>the latter</sup> I think over took  
most ungenerously. — But surely you will set right unto death  
of me a & Reviewer. —

With respect to the theological view of the question; this is  
always painful to me. — I am bewildered. — I had no  
intention to write atheistically. But I am the I cannot  
see, in plants — others do, & I do not wish to do, evidence of  
design & beneficence on the side of us. There seems to me  
too much misery in the world. I cannot persuade myself  
that the benevolent & omnipotent God we have designed  
meant the indiscriminate with the rough intention of their  
creatures to living beings & caterpillars, or that a cat  
feeding within the living bodies of caterpillars, or that a cat  
should play with mice. In believing this, I see no

writing is to be left to you & I am sure you will do it well. The other  
had I cannot afford to undertake to view the wonderful  
universe & especially the action of man, & to conclude the  
everything - to result of both free. I am willing to  
both & everything as something pre designed laws, with  
all details, either good or bad, left to the working out of  
what we may call chance. Not that this notion  
etc etc satisfies me. I feel with deep the trouble  
which is too profound for the human intellect. A God  
might - with infinite in the mind & creation -  
let each man live & believe what he can -  
certainly I agree with you that your views are not  
at all necessary atheistic. The following kills a  
man, either a good one or a bad one, owing to the  
upsetting anger action of natural laws, - a child (who  
may turn out an idiot) - born & action of even  
more complex laws, - and I can see no reason why  
a man, or the animal, may not have been originally  
produced of these laws; & the all these laws  
may have been expressly designed for an omniscient  
being. We perceive only portion part & consequence.  
But to me I think the more intelligent I become,  
as indeed I have probably shown to the latter  
most deeply & I feel your genuine kindness &  
interest. - Your sincerely & cordially

Charles Darwin

W<sup>t</sup> H<sup>r</sup> Asa Gray <sup>37</sup> to W. Darwin

(26)

" Darwin "

Statement of the Sale of "Origin of Species" to May 1st, 1860

On hand last account,	2500	On hand this date,	250
Sprinted Jan'y /60	1500	In hands of Booksellers,	200 550
Feb'y - /60	500	Given away,	200
Mch /60	500	Sold to date,	1750
	2500		2500

1750 Sold, at 5% on £1.25 (Copyright) amounting to \$ 109.37  
= £ 22.00

Grey 37a

Edinburgh Review

532

h. 489. I think I told you of the difficulties &  
even about to Brandreth; he being in inde-  
pendent works fully admitted that the Brandreth  
was Brandreth.

496 What a scibble to pretend he did not understand  
the I meant of constitutions of S. America: & why  
could suppose that I had at thought of whom  
tended on Geograph. Distib. He ignores <sup>also</sup> everythg  
that I have said on Classification, Geological  
Succession. Homolog., Embryology & Radiolarian Organs.

500 He falsely applies what I said (too surely)  
about "Kinds of perceived opinion" to those  
we believe in action; whereas I evidently  
apply it remark to those we give up  
without a species - the others, we believe  
in remainder.

h. 501. He slightly alters what I say. - I  
ask whether acetanilid really believe the  
decreased doses have placed its life.  
The says I describe them as so believing;  
- the <sup>say</sup> <sup>refuse</sup> is "difference" -

501. He speaks of 3 "clamouring against"  
all we believe in action; & then seek to make  
an unjust accusation.

522 - He makes no sign that he does not  
wishes very - this is mostly false: I believe  
sign - word about 2nd sentence.

525. What an illiberal sentence this is about  
"any retention & condone" & about "I  
mention those barriers which stopped America,  
such an argument until it got <sup>the</sup> very  
further in science.

530 How dangerous to put such remarks  
to you about a brief letter, as if it  
applied to the subject.

530. How dangerous to say that we are  
called on to account to them, for the  
imperfection of geological record, when I  
over & over again have given a distinctly  
to imperfection others.

519. How often the abominable authors  
have been made to worry me;  
but when I say, when he says the  
time can have no application, & yet in  
of 2<sup>nd</sup> Edn, for it certainly will  
illustrate "disrupted & changed habits" of  
life. —

512. It is a good file the to Review is  
10 full of over work that he uses  
& "on to return of friends", etc.  
he means to refer to book & give  
right page: he allows to continue.

(cont'd)

is mounted on the top edge of the book. It  
is a small piece of paper with a sketch of  
the "Bird of the Woods" by A. T.  
Wigand. It is pasted on the back cover  
of the book.

6c book

37

Prof. Asa Gray  
Cambridge  
Boston  
Massachusetts

under 1 oz.

U. States







Darwin, Charles. 1860. "Darwin, Charles May 22, 1860." *Charles Darwin letters to Asa Gray*

**View This Item Online:** <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/225921>

**Permalink:** <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/254318>

**Holding Institution**

Harvard University Botany Libraries

**Sponsored by**

Arcadia 19th Century Collections Digitization/Harvard Library

**Copyright & Reuse**

Copyright Status: Public domain. The Library considers that this work is no longer under copyright protection

License: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org>.