## CHAPTER VII.

EXPOSITION OF THE LINNEAN ARTIFICLAL SYSTEM, SOMEWHAT REFORMED.

The Classes are 24, distinguished by the number, situation, proportion, or connexion of the Stamens (58).

The Orders, sub-divisions of the Classes (76), are founded on the number of the Pistils (59), or rather of the Styles, or Sessile Stigmas; or on the Fruit (61); or on the nature of the different Florets (68); or on some character of the preceding Classes; or lastly, in the 24th Class, on Natural Families.

The first eleven Classes are known solely by the number of Stamens, in each Perfect Flower (65).

1. Monandria. Stamen 1. fig. 1. Globba marantina.
2. DIANDRIA. Stamens 2. - 2. Veronica spicata.
3. Triandria. 3. -3, 4. Poa fluitans.
4. Tetrandria. 4 . $-5,6,7$. Scabiosa arvensis.
5. Pentandria.

- 5.         - 8, 9. Epa cris obtusifolia.

6. Hexandria.
$-6 .-10,11 \cdot G a$ lanthus nivalis.
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7. Heptandria. Stamens 7. fig. 12. Aesculus Hippocastanum.
8. Octandria.
$\longrightarrow$ 8. - 13. Daphne collina.
9. Enneandria. - 9. - 14. Butomus umbellatus.
10. Decandria. $10 .-15,16 . \mathrm{Di}_{i}$ anthus caesius.
11. Dodecandria. Stamens 12 to 15 or 19. fig. 17. Reseda lutea.
The two next depend on the situation, or insertion, of the Stamens.
12. Icosandria. Stamens 20 or more, inserted into the Calyx (53), fig. 18, 19. Mespilus grandiflora.
13. Polyandria. Stamens numerous, inserted into the Receptacle (63), fig. 20. Capparis spinosa.
The two following depend on the proportion of the Stamens.
14. Didynamia. Stamens 4, 2 uppermost longest, fig. 21, 22. Lamium album.
15. Tetradynamia. Stamens 6, 2 opposite ones shortest, fig. 23, 24. Thlaspi Bursa-pastoris, 25-27. Teesdalia nudicaulis, 28-31. Cardamine amara.
The five following are distinguished by some union of the Stamens to each other, or to the Pistil.
16. Monadelpiita. Stamens combined by thei Filaments ( 58 ) into one tube, or common base fig. 31-35. Geranium sylvaticum, 36, 37. At thea officinalis.
17. Diadelphla. Stamens combined by thei Filaments into two parcels or sets, mostly in un equal numbers; those parcels sometimes com bined at their base. fig. 38, 39. Fumaria solida 40. Spartium scoparium. 41. Ulex curopceus 49-47. Pisum maritimum.
18. Polyadelphia. Stamens united into mor than two parcels, by their Filaments. fig. $48-50$ Hypericum elodes. 51, 52. Stuartia pentagyna 53-56. Melaleuca thymifolia. 223. Xanthochy mus pictorius.
19. Syngenesia. Stamens united by their An thers into a tube. The Flowers moreover ar compound (68). fig. 57-60. Picris echioide: 61-63. Carduus mutans. 64, 65. Centaure Cyanus. 66-69. Inula dysenterica.
20. Ginandria. Stamens inserted into the Ger men or Style (59). fig. 70-72. Ophrys apifera 73-76. Stylidium graminifolium. 77-79. Den drobium linguiforme.
The three next are known by a disunion of the Sta mens and Pistils, the former being in one Flower, the latter in another, of the same species, such being de nominated Separated Flowers (65).
21. Monolecta. Stamens and Pistils in differen

Flowers, on the same individual plant. fig. 80-84.
Quercus Robur.
22. Dioecia. Stamens and Pistils in different

Flowers, on two separate plants. fig. 85-87. Salix herbacea. 88-91. Populus alba.
ợ3. Polygamia. Stamens and Pistils separate in some Flowers, united in others, either on the same plant, or on two or three different ones; such different Flowers being, moreover, dissimilar in their structure in some other respect. fig. 92-95. Ficus Carica.
24. Cryptogamia. Stameus and Pistils either imperfectly, or not at all, known, or not capable of being numbered with any precision. See tab. 7-9.
The Palmee originally constituted an appendix to this system, because their Flowers were too little known to admit of arrangement by the Stamens and Pistils. But that difficulty is now almost entirely removed, and the Genera of this tribe are mostly found reducible to the 6 th, 21 st, or 22 d Classes.

The Orders of the first 13 Classes, Monandria to Polyandria inclusive, are characterized solely by the number of the Styles, or sessile Stigmas, in each Perfect Flower (65). These Orders are more or less numerous in the several Classes, and are distinguished as follows :

Monogynia. Style, or Sessile Stigma, 1. fig. 2, 13, 20.
Digynia. Styles, or Sessile Stigmas, 2. fig. 1 Trigynia. 3 . fig. 1 ? 48.

Tetragynia. -__ 4. fig. 18
Pentagynia. -un 5. fig. 3 . 51.

Hexagynia. - 6. fig. 14
Heptagynia. - 7. Septe
capensis. Andr. Repos. t. 90.
Octagynia. - 8. $\}$ scarce $\begin{aligned} & \text { sere }\end{aligned}$
Enneagynia, - 9. $\}_{\text {ever }}^{\text {ever }}$
Decagynia. 10. Nel
rada and Phytolacca.
Dodecaginia. about 12. fig. 24
Polygyinia.
 fig. 229.
These parts are seldom so numerous in any Flows as the Stamens, very rarely more so. There is usuall an analogy between their respective numbers in th same flower.

The two Orders of the 14th Class are distinguishe by the nature of the Fruit.

1. Gymnospermia. Seeds naked, usually 4 , neve more. fig. 22.
2. Angiospermia. Seeds in a Pericarp (61 mostly very numerous. fig. 175.

The two Orders of the 15 th Class are distinguished by the shape of their Pericarp.

1. Siliculosa. Fruit a Silicula, or Pouch (61:2). fig. 24.
2. Siliquosa. Fruit a Siliqua, or elongated Pod (61:2). fig. 30.
The various Orders of the 16 th, 17 th , and 18 th Classes are characterized by the number of the Stamens, the Classes themselves being marked by their various modes of union. These Orders therefore bear the same appellations as the first 13 Classes.

The Orders of the 19th, or Compound-flowered, Class are marked by the Perfect, Separated, Barren, Fertile, or Abortive nature (65) of the Florets (68).

1. Polygamia-equalis. Florets all perfect, each having efficient Stamens and Pistil, and producing one Seed. fig. 57-63.
2. Polygamia-superflua. Florets of the disk perfect ; those of the circumference, or radius, having a Pistil only: but both kinds forming perfect Seed. fig. 66-69.
3. Polygamia-frustranea. Florets of the disk perfect; those of the circumference with an abortive Pistil, or none at all. fig. 64,65 .
4. Polygamia-necessaria. Florets of the disk with Stamens only; those of the circumference with each a Pistil only.
5. Polygamia-segregata. Several Flowers, either simple or compound, but with united An-
thers, and a Proper Calgx, all included in on Common Calyx.
The 6th Limæan Order, Monogamia, consisting o Simple Flowers, with united Anthers, is abolished, a being unnatural, and extremely uncertain. fig. 195

The Orders of the 20th Class are distinguished by the number of their Stamens. Gynandria Monandria fig. 70-72. Tetrandria, 73-76.

Those of the 21 st and 22 d by the same circum stance, or by any other character of the precedins Classes founded on the union of the Filaments.

The Orders of the QSd are,

1. Monoecia. The two or three different descrip tions of Flowers all on the same plant.
Q. Dioecta. The different descriptions of Flower: on two separate plants.
2. Trioecia. The same on three separate plants

The Orders of the 24th Class are natural orders ou families.

1. Filices. Ferns. fig. 96-98. Equisetium syloaticum. 99-101. Aspidium Filǐ-mas. 102-104. Scolopendrium vulgare.
2. Muscr. Mosses. fig. 105-108. Dicranum purpureum. 109-113. Hookeria lucens.
3. Hepatice. Liverworts. fig. 114, 115. Jangermannia multifida.
4. Lichfnes. Lichens. fig. 116, 117. Opegrapha scripta. 118-120. Lecanora murorum. 121, 122. Peltidea canina.
5. Ascie. Flags. fig. 125-126. Fucus natans.
6. Fungi. Mushrooms. fig. 129-193.

The 5 d and 4 th of these Orders are added since the time of Linnæus. The whole will be explained hereafter.

The difficulties, or exceptions, to which the above System is liable, are the following :-

Number in the parts of Fructification proves not always uiiform in one Genus or Species, nor even in the same individual plant. In the latter case Linnæus teaches that the central, or terminal, Flower must be our guide, as in Euonymus, Monotropa, Chrysosplenium, and Adoca. When a species is variable in the number of Stamens or Pistils, or if one or more species of any genus differ from the rest in those respects, such irregular spècies are to be named in a synoptical or analytical table at the head of the particular Class or Order to which they technically belong; though placed in due course, likewise, in the proper Class and Oider of the Genus of which, independent of such artificial characters, they naturally form a part. The same plan is, of course, to be pursued with regard to any species, anomalous in other respects, as the dicecious ones of Valeriana, Lychnis, \&c.

That this System sometimes puts widely asunder some genera naturally allied to each other (as a few with Ringent Flowers, that by their natural affinity belong to the 14 th Class, placed in the 2 d because
they have only two Stamens), is no objection to it or the score of facility or convenience. It does not pro fess to be a natural arrangement; and if in many parts it proves so, more is performed than had beet promised, or than could reasonably be expected. The 15 th and 19th Classes are perfectly natural (excep Cleome, badly placed in the former); as are, more o less, several Orders, or Sections of Orders, in othe Classes.

Greater technical inaccurracy occurs relative to some characters, founded on connexion of parts. The Stamens, or Filaments, of several Papilionaceous ge nera, referred with their strictly natural allies, to Diadelphia Decandria, are perfectly monadelphous fig. 40. We do not mean merely that their two set: of Stamens are united into one at the base ; but there is really no distinction of two sets, in any part of thei structure. Indeed if the ten Filaments are any way combined, in a Papilionaceous Flower, such is re ferred by Linnæus to the Class and Order just men tioned. If they are altogether distinct, in which cast their whole configuration is totally dissimilar fron the flat and membranous Filaments of the true Dia delphia, they belong, though Papilionaceous, to the 10th Class.

Culture, and other accidents, produce change against which no principles of arrangement can pro vide. Such causes peculiarly affect number in the parts of a Flower, the Stamens, and Pistils, as wel
as the divisions of the Calyx and Corolla, being frequently multiplied by luxuriance of soil, to the great delight of florists, but much to the inconvenience of botanists. So also the Stamens and Pistils are often transformed to Petals, which constitutes a double Flower.

In the Classes with separated Flowers, accidents occur with regard to the situation of the Stamens or Pistils. If the structure of the other parts of the Flower be alike, in every individual, both these organs are liable to meet in the same Flower; just as, on the other band, they occasionally are met with separate, in Classes, or in some Species of Genera, to which united Flowers naturally belong (65). Hence so great a proportion of trees in hot climates, as well as of grasses in all climates, are polygamous; having the characters of the 23 d Class, as defined by its author Linnæus. But if respect be always had to the accessory parts of a Flower $(53,54)$, as well as the essential ones $(58,59)$, and those are found different in structure, number, or otherwise, such Flowers must remain permanently distinct. Such only would I admit into the Class Polygamia, by which measure botanists in tropical countries are relieved from one of the greatest of inconveniences.
I have even ventured to suggest, Introd. to Botany, (ed. 3. 368 , that the 21 st, 22 d , and 23 d Classes of the Linnæan system might possibly be well reduced to one, under the name of Diclinia (already used by

Jussieu and some other writers), which might contair all genera with separated Flowers, whose accessory organs differ in any respect. This alteration has beer adopted by an able practical botanist, whose experienct had taught him to approve it, Mr. Frederick Pursh in his Flora America Septentrionalis, published in 1814. He has divided the Class Diclinia into thi three following Orders.

1. Segregate. Flowers not Amentaceous ( $59: 3$ )
2. Amentacee. Barren Flowers, at least, in Cat kins ( $58: 3$ ); the Fertile ones not always so. Frui distinct from the Calyx. fig. 274, 275.
3. Cunifere. Barren and Fertile Flowers in Cat kins. Fruit a Strobilus or Cone, (61:7) fig. 276
Under each Order of the Linnæan System, are dis posed the Genera which belong to it, in a regular se ries, as nearly as possible according to their natura affinity to each other, with the Essential Characte (104) of each. The Species are, in like manner, rangec according to their affinities, ander each Gønus, wit their Specific Characters. Synonyms are subjoines with mention of the native country of each Species after which follow occasionally compendious descrip tions, with any useful remarks. Some large Gener are commodiously divided into Natural Sections, b leading characteristics of certain Species taken co lectively.

At the head of every Class, all its Orders are ent merated; and under each Order its appropriate Gi
tera are arranged, in a Synoptical or Analytical manner, according to their shortest, most technical, characters. In these, whatever part of the Fructification affords the most decisive or striking characters in each artificial Order or subdivision, takes the lead, the others following according to their importance. But in the above-mentioned Essential Characters (104), at the head of each Genus, the parts of Fructification, whence those characters are derived, should be disposed, as has already been observed, according to their relative importance in the particular Natural Order, or Series, to which such Genera belong.

These are the principles of arrangement which Linnæus appears to have laid down for himself, and upon which he gradually improved. But in the detail of his System he has not always kept them strictly in iview ; nor have his pupils, followers, or editors, paid the requisite attention to them, especially with regard t to those intricate or recondite natural relationships, which few of these writers perhaps were competent to cobserve, and to which, it must be confessed, botanists cof the old Linnæan school have generally paid too little attention.

Respecting Nomenclature, it is only necessary to remark, that every Genus should be distinguished by a name, either of Greek or Latin derivation, or formed out of the proper name of some botanist, worthy of such commemoration. Names of barbarous origin have, however, crept in, by the means of Linnzus
himself, contrary to his own wise laws. Genera ha also been dedicated to abundance of persons, wh have no claim to this honour. Corrupt names, cor posed of other generic appellations, already establis ed, though strictly and judiciously prohibited by : classical botanists, have here and there been intr duced. Of these the worst of all are made up two such established names as Calamagrostis. Futu general writers on Botany, of competent authorit must reform these abuses. No authority can sancti their continuance. If any indulgence be admitted, may perhaps be in favour of a few well-sounding $\varepsilon$ neric names of barbarous origin ; for there can be question that Pliny, and even purer Latin write would have adopted such names, properly modific had they treated of the new plants of foreign cou tries.

The generic name being fixed, each Species mt also be designated by an appropriate concise app lation, of a single word if possible. This should either a characteristic adjective, expressive of the ct racter, aspect, colour, quality, or use of the Specie or of some substantive, not necessarily agreeing in $g t$ der with the generic name, and therefore always ginning with a capital letter, by which some circu stance in the history of the plant, or some synony may be recorded.

Important or permanent Varieties (74) may, wi propriety, be noticed. These are conveniently mark
with the Greek letters, numbers being reserved for Genera and Species.

It would be well for every person who undertakes to write a systematic work on Botany to consider these leading principles of Linnæus, and to study with care those more particular ones, laid down in his Fundamenta Botanica, as well as his Philosophia and Critica. If his rules be faulty or unnecessary, they should be expunged; but no good writer will transgress them through ignorance or neglect.

His principles for the distinction of Species should be studied and contemplated over and over again, by every person ambitious of permanent botanical fame, beyond the reach of the fashions of System. This department of Botany Linnæus justly terms artis robur, the strength, or sinews of the science. Species are perhaps the only distinctions which are indubitably natural ; and to stamp them clearly, as well as concisely, is the most important, perhaps the most difficult, office of the philosophical botanist. No one yet has equalled Linnæus; nor has any one swerved from his rules, in theory or in practice, but for the worse. No intended improvement in this department has come under my inspection, that does not appear to me worse than indifferent. I speak with the greatest respect and deference for the authors of such projects, which it would be invidious to particularize, and which have, doubtless, been well intended. The more common faults in these compositions arise from negligence
or inability, from a want of deep study of the subje a confusion or inaccuracy of ideas, a feebleness of st or expression, or a want of command of language.

I have chosen to conclude this chapter with subject of specific characters, because it is of the $m$ fundamental importance, and the most difficult practice. It is the only sure ground of what Linna justly declares as the test of a good botanist, the kno ledge of the greatest number of Species. (Phil. B sect. 256.) Now this knowledge, if merely empiric can be but of little value or certainty. Its dignity a solidity must cousist in an intimate acquaintance w the comparative or respective importance of differ characters, in different orders, tribes, or genera plants. Several general rules indeed may be giv but scarcely one of those is without exception ; a particular rules apply to almost every natural asse blage throughout the vegetable kingdom. The lat are only to be attained by acute observation and gr experience.

The 8th chapter of the Philosophia Botanica Linnæus, entitled Differentia, contains a full displ of the ideas of that great writer, the first who el undertook to consider this matter in a philosophis light, or to lay down any rules for the guidance others. We shall give an epitome of his principl recommending his reasons and illustrations, in chapter just cited, to the attentive consideration the student, who, before he attempts to apply them
practice, should give his days and nights to the subject.

A Differentia Specifica, Specific Character, or as Linnæus usually called it Nomen Specificum, should comprehend such characters only as are requisite, or sufficient, to distinguish a plant from every other species of the same Genus. Such therefore is not a description, but a difference, and where only one Spe, cies exists, a Differentia Specifica is an absurdity. If it attempts to contrast the plant with the Species of any other Genus, it is fallacious and erroneous,

A Specific Character therefore is the essential peculiarity of the full description, or complete idea, of every plant, whether drawn out in detail, or existing in the mind of the author.

All accidental circumstances are necessarily to be excluded, such as Country, Situation, Duration, Fconomical Uses, the Name of the Discoverer, \&c.

All marks universally variable are also to be omitted, among which are Colour, Smell, Taste, Size, Hairiness in general, Curling of Leaves, Doubling of Flowers, or any kind of Monstrosity.

The direction of the hairs of Plants, as on the Ca lyx and Flowerstalk in Mentha and Myosotis, the Stem of Papaver, and some other instances, not noticed by Linnæus, forms one exception to the above rule; and perhaps the presence or absence of a glaucous hue in the herbage is another.

Characters which presuppose any knowledge of
other plants, even of the same Genus, in the read as well as any allusions to the rarity or frequency a plant, are manifestly faulty.

The Root (7) often affords solid specific distinctior but is not infallible ; nor can it always, in cultivat plants, or in dried specimens, be examined, or pr served.

Stems (12) frequently afford clear and certain stinctions, in their forms, postures, angles, wings, other particulars.

Leaves (30) abound in the most elegant and $u$ exceptionable characters for specific discrimination, their situation, form, division, surface, margin, veil and even pubescence. But scarcely any one ma concerning them is absolute, throughout all plar whatever, and experience only can teach, in every ca: what is most to be relied on.

Appendages (47) are usually very serviceable specific characters, especially the Stipulas, as to the presence or absence, situation, form, or even duratic

Inflorescence (48) is declared by Linnæus to yie the best of all specific differences. Phil. Bot. sect. 27 The importance of the distinctions to be derived fro hence is so great, that some botanists, especially the French school, do not scruple to found some their Generic Characters upon it. Even Linnæus justly charged with having had recourse to the Inf rescence, in arranging the Genera of the Umbellifero tribe $(48: 7)$, though the principle is disguised und
the idea of an Aggregate Flower (69). Our great leader is the more censurable, as the Flowers and Seeds of those Plants, properly studieci, afford all-sufficient Generic Cbaracters.

The parts of Fructification themselves, so far as I their differences do not enter into the Generic Characters, often display most excellent Specific marks. Such now and then serve to divide a genus into Sections; as the Petals in Iris, and the Styles in Hypericum.

The more concise a Specific Character, the better it is. As in philosophy, it is not allowed to recur to two causes for the explanation of any phænomenon, when one is sufficient, so if one idea will serve to distinguish a Species, no more should be admitted. If more be necessary, as is generally the case in large Genera, they should be so disposed and contrasted, in the several Specific definitions, as to strike the mind at once forcibly and distinctly. This cannot be done if characters be much extended. Linnæus has therefore limited each definition to twelve words. There is no magic in this number, but I believe it is seldom exceeded with any good effect. Much will depend, after all, on the wording and construction of the sentence. A weak character of half a dozen words may be puzzling and insufficient; while a much longer may be clear, and readily conceived as well as compared, at one view.

All the terms and definitions should be precise, lite-
ral, and unambiguous. They are not allowed to expressed in the comparative degree, though som times admitted, of late, in the superlative. They m be positive, not negative ; devoid of obscure comp risons ; contain no adjective but what follows its su stantive; no article, connecting particle, or parenthes

Linnæus has adopted an arbitrary mode of punct ation in Specific Characters, in which the usual pow of the different signs is reversed. He uses a Comn $($,$) to separate the different parts of the plant whi$ come into the Specific Character. This is most fi quently wanted, as between the Stem and Leaves ar Inflorescence, if they all happen to occur. A Ser colon (;) separates two descriptions of the same c gan, as Radical Leaves from the rest. A Colen ( is introduced between the several parts or divisions any one organ, as the segments, margin, or veins of Leaf. A Period (.) of course, as usual, closes tl sentence. The intention of this method seems to b to lead the mind to a longer pause, in proportion the parts under consideration are most nearly relate To practise it quite correctly requires more attentic than is usually bestowed; and even Linnæus, or h printer, makes frequent, though not very serious mi takes. The following examples are correct:-

Biscutella siliculis glabris, foliis lanceolatis serrati Dentaria foliis inferioribus pinnatis ; summis sin plicibus.
Cardamine foliis pinnatis : foliolis quinis incisis.


Smith, James Edward. 1821. "Exposition of the Linnæan artificial system, somewhat reformed." A grammar of botany illustrative of artificial, as well as natural, classification, with an explanation of Jussieu's system 40-59.
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