NOTES

The American Element in the Hawaiian Flora¹

The geographic derivation of any flora is a matter of interest not only to taxonomists and phytogeographers but also to students of geology and geography and to those with a general intellectual curiosity as well. Reliable speculation on such a subject often provides useful keys to other related topics such as the geological history of an area, its paleoecology, the migrations of its peoples, and the phylogeny of special groups of plants.

The floras of oceanic islands seem to be particularly stimulating to such speculations, and that of the Hawaiian Islands has had its full share of theories. Hillebrand, Brown, Campbell, Skottsberg, and Copeland are among those who have expressed opinions. The latest such expression that I know of was presented by me, two years ago (in E. C. Zimmerman's *Insects of Hawaii* 1: 107–119, 1948). The outstanding characteristic of this collection of opinions is its diversity. Hillebrand and Brown, especially, recognized a large element of American affinity. Later writers have rather minimized this.

My own approach differed from that of most earlier writers in being an attempt to ascertain the number and derivation of the probable original successful colonists responsible for the present indigenous Hawaiian flora. The percentage of each element in the present flora was then determined on the basis of these original colonizations rather than of the total present flora. This, it was felt, would eliminate the disproportion introduced by such rapidly evolving groups as *Cyrtandra*, the Rubiaceae, the lobeliads, Metrosideros, etc. It is thought that there were about 407 such successful colonists.

The percentages of the floristic elements in the vascular flora, recognized on this basis, are as follows:

REGION	PERCENTAGE
Indo-Pacific	
Austral	12.2
American	
Boreal	3.1
Pantropic	15.4
Obscure	

The figures for the seed plants and vascular cryptogams were originally published separately, but are here combined. For the American element the seed plant percentage is 18.3 and that of the pteridophytes, 11.9. There were possibly a total of 69 original successful immigrants from America, of which 51 were seed plants and 18 pteridophytes.

Now, let us look at some of the interesting features of this American element.

Of the total of 69 possible American introductions, 40, or about 58 per cent, have changed very little since their arrival. Twentyone are identical or only varietally distinct from their American relatives. Nineteen are closely related species. Of the other 42 per cent, 19 species are clearly, though not closely, related to American plants; the remaining 10 may be regarded as questionable. I am on insecure ground when discussing certain of the larger fern genera where the Hawaiian species may be closer to American ones than I realize.

Of the total American component, only four genera, *Isodendrion*, *Nothocestrum*, *Psychotria*, and *Hesperomannia*, have given rise to any significant number of evolutionary off-

¹Paper read at Seventh International Botanical Congress, Stockholm, Sweden, July, 1950. Manuscript received September 28, 1950.

Hawaiian Flora — FOSBERG

shoots that still survive, and none has produced a large number. Furthermore, all these genera are among the more doubtfully American of the lot.

There are only two strand species in the American element—Lycium carolinianum and Jacquemontia sandwicensis. Chenopodium oahuense grows at sea level as well as in dry uplands. Of course, some of the pantropic strand plants may be of American origin.

Of the total, only *Sapindus* and *Psychotria* have seeds too large to be easily distributed by wind.

There are about eight cases of probable bird dispersal—plants whose seeds logically might have stuck to birds' feet or feathers. Prominent among these is *Fragaria chiloensis*, which grows on sea beaches from Chile to Alaska and in the uplands of the island of Hawaii. Alaska is the summer home and Hawaii the winter home of the Pacific plovers and curlews. In addition to these, there are about eight other plants with fleshy fruits which may have been brought in birds' intestines, though this is less likely over such distances.

Human agencies cannot be absolutely excluded in about 10 cases, though the possibilities have been carefully weighed, and only about 2 of these 10 are regarded as at all likely. Those that seem really to have entered Hawaii by human introduction have been excluded as non-indigenous. One cannot positively exclude very early historical introduction for a few plants, such as *Hesperocnide* and *Daucus*, or prehistoric human transport for such as *Argemone;* but it is unlikely. The *Hesperocnide* is considered an endemic species and the *Argemone* an endemic variety of *Argemone alba*.

Gossypium tomentosum is a special case. Cytological investigations by Hutchinson, Stephens, and Silow have led them to the conclusion that this species and the two widespread cultivated American cottons form a closely related group derived by hybridization between an Asiatic cotton and a wild diploid American cotton. They think that this hybridization followed prehistoric human introduction of an Asiatic cotton into America (where it does not now persist), and that *Gossypium tomentosum* was then carried back to Polynesia and to Hawaii by Polynesian travelers.

There are several weaknesses inherent in this theory. Even supposing that the Polynesians had made such voyages, it seems scarcely likely that they would have selected for taking back the one perfectly useless cotton of the three, or that it would not have persisted elsewhere along the route in Polynesia. The fiber of Gossypium tomentosum is only a few millimeters long. The greatest cause for doubt, however, lies in Dr. Silow's statement (in conversation, 1949) that Gossypium tomentosum is closely related to the cultivated cottons. Morphologically, at least, this does not seem to be true. T. H. Kearney, long an authority on cottons, has told me that he regards it as closest to a wild species of the Galapagos Islands. I am well acquainted with Gossypium tomentosum and with both cultivated American cottons and find little similarity.

I suggest that Gossypium tomentosum be reexamined cytologically, using material about whose origin and identity there can be no doubt. It may be that there has been a confusion with the forms of Gossypium barbadense that have long been introduced and established in Hawaii.

To return to general considerations, it seems fairly safe to assume that identity or close relationship with American species indicates that isolation from them has not been of very long standing. The lack of extensive evolutionary differentiation suggests the same thing. It is realized, of course, that there may well be exceptions to these generalizations. But when almost 60 per cent of the presumed American stocks in the flora are identical with or very close to their American relatives, and when over 94 per cent have not given rise to any number of evolutionary progeny,



Fosberg, F. Raymond. 1951. "Notes. The American Element in the Hawaiian Flora." *Pacific science* 5(2), 204–206.

View This Item Online: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/234891</u> **Permalink:** <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/243286</u>

Holding Institution Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

Sponsored by Biodiversity Heritage Library

Copyright & Reuse Copyright Status: Not in copyright. The BHL knows of no copyright restrictions on this item.

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.