POPULATION STRUCTURE AND GENE FLOW IN THE CHIPPING SPARROW AND A HYPOTHESIS FOR EVOLUTION IN THE GENUS SPIZELLA

ROBERT M. ZINK¹ AND DONNA L. DITTMANN¹

ABSTRACT. – We studied restriction site variation in the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) of 55 Chipping Sparrows (*Spizella passerina*) taken from widely dispersed points in their breeding range. A total of 21 haplotypes was observed, and on average, individuals differed little in percent haplotype divergence (0.12%). There was no detectable geographic variation in haplotypes, despite the sampling of three named subspecies. Single-generation dispersal distance was estimated from the mtDNA data at 5.4 km. One phylogenetic hypothesis for six species in the genus (excluding Timberline Sparrow [*S. taverneri*] and Worthen's Sparrow [*S. wortheni*]) suggested that Black-chinned Sparrow (*S. atrogularis*) and Field Sparrow (*S. pusilla*) were sister taxa, followed in sequence by Chipping, Brewer's and Clay-colored sparrows (*S. pallida*), with the American Tree Sparrow (*S. arborea*) most distant. Another hypothesis grouped Chipping and Brewer's sparrows. *Received 24 Aug. 1992, accepted 3 Feb. 1993.*

An important step in the evolutionary process is the origin of geographic patterns of genetic variation. Patterns of genetic variation form the basis for inferences about evolutionary processes that lead to the origin and maintenance of geographic variation and speciation. In the last 20 years, a number of molecular techniques, including protein electrophoresis and restriction site analysis of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA; Avise et al. 1987), have been used to describe genetic variation within and among avian populations.

For north temperate birds, protein electrophoresis revealed few alleles that varied geographically (Barrowclough 1983). From this result, many researchers inferred that avian populations were essentially panmictic, and that gene flow was substantial (Barrowclough 1983, Barrowclough and Johnson 1988). Studies of mtDNA opened a new era because of several advantageous aspects of mtDNA evolution (Moritz et al. 1987). In particular, mtDNA appears to evolve faster than most types of nuclear DNA (including that which encodes allozymes), providing an enhanced probability of detecting geographic variation. Indeed, mtDNA studies reveal an array of population structures (Ball et al. 1988, Avise and Nelson 1989, Zink 1991, Avise and Ball 1991, Moore et al. 1991, Shields and Wilson 1987a, Ball and Avise 1992, Zink and Dittmann, in press). For instance, Avise and Nelson (1989) found two geographic groups of mtDNA lineages in the Seaside Sparrow (*Ammodramus maritimus*). Zink (1991,

¹ Museum of Natural Science, Louisiana State Univ., Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803. (Present address RMZ: Bell Museum of Natural History, Ecology Bldg., Univ. of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108.)

in press) found significant mtDNA variation in the Fox Sparrow (*Passerella iliaca*), whereas in an allozyme survey no geographic differentiation was detected (Zink 1986). In the Song Sparrow (*Melospiza melodia*), which exhibits extensive geographic variation in morphology, there was considerable mtDNA variation but essentially no geographic structure (Zink and Dittmann, in press).

To date, mtDNA studies of geographic variation in birds have tended to involve species that exhibit geographic variation in morphology. Consequently, we know little about the genetic structure of species that exhibit little or no geographic variation in external phenotype. Because it is often assumed that geographic variation in external phenotypes reflects underlying genetic structure, it is appropriate to examine the geographically uniform species. Such comparisons will clarify the relationship between morphological and genetic variation. In this paper, we describe a survey of mtDNA restriction site variation in the Chipping Sparrow (Spizella passerina), a widespread migratory North American passerine bird (Fig. 1). Taxonomic variation in the Chipping Sparrow in North America (north of Mexico) is limited to three weakly demarcated subspecies (AOU 1957). Our samples were taken from regions as far apart as North Carolina and the Yukon. We estimate the degree of population subdivision, level of gene flow, and the correspondence of mtDNA variation and subspecies limits. To put our study in a phylogenetic perspective, we also provide an estimate of the relationships of species in the genus, with the exception of the Timberline Sparrow (S. taverneri) and Worthen's Sparrow (S. wortheni), species for which we lacked tissue samples.

METHODS

Samples (Table 1) were collected during the breeding season from 13 sites (Fig. 1). From each of the 55 specimens, samples of liver, heart, and pectoral muscle were frozen in liquid nitrogen. Upon return to the laboratory, mtDNA was purified from tissues following procedures in Lansman et al. (1981) and Dowling et al. (1990). Purified mtDNA from each individual was digested with 20 restriction endonucleases (see Appendix I), end-labeled with ³⁵S, and electrophoresed in 0.7% to 1.1% agarose gels. Fragments were visualized with autoradiography. A letter was assigned to each distinct restriction fragment profile. The combination of an individual's letters for all endonucleases constitutes its composite haplotype. Each individual was scored for the presence or absence of each restriction fragment for each endonuclease. Fragment profiles produced by each endonuclease differed from each other by one or two restriction sites, making inference of the presence or absence of restriction sites straightforward. The matrix of restriction sites was used to estimate the percent nucleotide divergence (p) among haplotypes following Nei and Li (1979). A computer program written by J. E. Neigel was used to estimate single generation dispersal distance (Neigel et al. 1991). The method requires a phenogram based on mtDNA genetic distances (p-values), generation length (we assumed that two years was reasonable), geographic distance between each pair of localities, and an estimate of mtDNA evolutionary rate, for which we used one percentage per lineage per million years (Shields and Wilson 1987b). The procedure involves

400



FIG. 1. Approximate breeding distribution of the three subspecies of the Chipping Sparrow, and location and codes of collecting sites (see Table 1).

dividing the phenogram into 10 equal sections based on level of divergence (beginning at p = 0.0), and estimating the dispersal distance for each section. It is expected (J. E. Neigel, pers. comm.) that the youngest lineage classes (i.e., the first few sections in the phenogram) will provide the best estimate of dispersal distance because they likely have not been limited or confined by geographic barriers to gene flow (therefore the relationship between genetic and geographic distance is monotonic). The matrix of restriction sites was analyzed by the computer program HENNIG86 (Farris 1989) to infer a phylogenetic network using the principle of maximum parsimony. The matrix of p-values was analyzed using the FITCH routine in the computer program PHYLIP (Felsenstein 1987), which produces a distance tree following the method of Fitch and Margoliash (1967). Also, a UPGMA phenogram was produced from the matrix of p-values (Sneath and Sokal 1973). For the phylogenetic analysis of species, only the pattern of restriction sites; see Zink and Avise (1990) for justification. One individual per species was used. Both HENNIG86 and PHYLIP were used to

TABLE 1								
DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLES (SEE FIG. 1) AND COMPOSITE HAPLOTYPES FOUND AT EACH								
SAMPLE SITE ^a								

	Geographic site (code)	N	Haplotype (s)
1.	North Carolina (NC)	1	1
2.	Wisconsin (WI)	2	1 (2)
3.	Minnesota (MN)	2	1 (2)
4.	Louisiana (LA)	3	1 (2), 2
5.	Manitoba (MA)	8	1 (4), 3, 7, 15, 21
6.	Saskatchewan (SA)	7	1 (3), 4, 5, 16, 17
7.	Alberta (AL)	3	1, 6, 7
8.	Yukon (YU)	2	1 (2)
9.	Northern British Columbia (NB)	7	1 (4), 8, 18, 19
10.	Central British Columbia (CB)	3	1, 7, 10
11.	Southeastern British Columbia (SB)	5	1 (3), 20, 21
12.	Washington (WA)	3	1 (2), 9
13.	Southern California (CA)	9	1 (5), 11, 12, 13, 14

^a Numbers of individuals with particular haplotype in parentheses. Samples 1–4 are from *S. p. passerina*, 5–11 from *S. p. boreophila*, and 12–13 from *S. p. arizonae*.

analyze the fragment matrix as described above. We also used the bootstrap procedure (Felsenstein 1985) in PHYLIP to evaluate the strength of the phylogenetic pattern obtained; because not all restriction fragments are independent, we do not interpret bootstrap values statistically but rather as a description of the data (Zink and Avise 1990).

RESULTS

Chipping Sparrow. - Based on restriction fragment profiles that yielded mtDNA fragments between 1000 and 8000 base pairs, we estimated the size of the mtDNA genome at 16,500. Five restriction endonucleases produced only a single pattern: Ava I, Kpn I, Nci I, Sac II, and Stu I. The other 15 endonucleases produced from two to four patterns (Appendix I). A total of 141 restriction sites was found. A total of 21 haplotypes was observed among the 55 Chipping Sparrows studied. Apart from haplotype 1, only haplotype 7 was identified in more than one individual (Table 1). Haplotype 1 occurred in every geographic sample and in 32 of the 55 individuals. Most haplotypes differed by one or two restriction sites. The average p-value among the 21 haplotypes was $0.28\% \pm 0.12$ (SD; N = 210), and the range was from 0.06 to 0.62%. Among the 55 individuals, the average p-value was $0.12\% \pm 0.12$ (SD), and the range was from zero to 0.62% (N = 1485). The distribution (Fig. 2) of *p*-values among all pairs of haplotypes reveals that most individuals have very similar mtDNA genomes. The topology of the UPGMA phenogram depends on the input order of haplotypes (because of identical values in the distance matrix),



FIG. 2. Distribution of pairwise haplotype distances among all individuals.

but in none was the phenogram geographically informative. For example, in one phenogram (not shown), a cluster contained haplotypes 9 (Washington), 12 (southern California), and 17 (Saskatchewan). The parsimony analysis found over 100 equally most-parsimonious trees (length 33, consistency index 0.84, retention index 0.58). The cladograms were geographically uninformative (as was the strict consensus). For example, a most parsimonious cladogram (Fig. 3) showed that the five haplotypes from southern California (1, 11, 12, 13, 14) were not sister taxa and in fact were distributed throughout the tree. In no instance did the cladogram show sister haplotypes being from the same sample. The estimate of gene flow is 5.4 km/generation (based on the youngest class of lineages).

Evolution of *Spizella* Species. — A total of 198 restriction fragments was scored for the six *Spizella* species and the outgroup, the Dark-eyed Junco (*Junco hyemalis*) (Appendix II); the Chipping Sparrow haplotype 1 was used to represent that species. MtDNA data reveal considerable differentiation among species (Table 2), with *p*-values ranging from 0.036



FIG. 3. Most parsimonious cladogram of 21 Chipping Sparrow haplotypes. For geographic location of haplotypes, see Table 1.

(Chipping Sparrow vs Brewer's Sparrow [Spizella breweri]) to 0.151 (American Tree Sparrow [S. arborea] vs Field Sparrow [S. pusilla]); the average among Spizella species was 0.078 ± 0.041 (SD). The Fitch-Margoliash (F-M) tree (Fig. 4B) portrays the American Tree Sparrow as basal in Spizella, with Clay-colored Sparrow (S. pallida), Chipping Spar-

404



FIG. 4. A (bottom). Single most parsimonious cladogram of species of *Spizella*. Numbers indicate times out of 100 bootstrap replicate trees that that node occurred. B (top). Fitch-Margoliash tree; branch lengths in units of p.

row, and Brewer's Sparrow successively more derived relative to the Field Sparrow and Black-chinned Sparrow (*S. atrogularis*), the latter of which were sister species. Branch lengths on the F-M tree suggest rate heterogeneity, especially concerning the American Tree Sparrow and Clay-colored Sparrow. A single most parsimonious tree (Fig. 4A; length 237, consistency index 0.75, retention index 0.42) was found, which differs from the F-M tree in showing Chipping Sparrow and Brewer's Sparrow as sister taxa. Bootstrap analysis reveals the same tree topology (Fig. 4A), and shows that the strength of support for this pattern in the fragment data matrix is not high. To evaluate the topology produced by the F-M TADLE 2

	MATRIX OF <i>P</i> -VALUES AMONG SPECIES OF <i>Spizella</i>														
1.	Dark-eyed Junco	0.000													
2.	Chipping Sparrow	0.065	0.000												
3.	Black-chinned Sparrow	0.081	0.061	0.000											
4.	American Tree Sparrow	0.056	0.132	0.096	0.000										
5.	Clay-colored Sparrow	0.051	0.043	0.141	0.062	0.000									
6.	Field Sparrow	0.121	0.058	0.061	0.151	0.049	0.000								
7.	Brewer's Sparrow	0.056	0.036	0.062	0.131	0.039	0.046	0.000							

7. Brewer's Sparrow 0.056 0.036 0.062 0.131 0.049 0.000 procedure, we input it into HENNIG86, and found its length to be 238 (consistency index 0.75, retention index 0.41), only one step longer than the shortest tree. Therefore, we would not interpret the differences between

the two topologies with confidence.

DISCUSSION

Geography of mtDNA variation in the Chipping Sparrow. — The average number of haplotypes per individual (21/55 or 0.38%) is equivalent to that found in other passerine birds (Ball et al. 1988, Zink et al. 1991b, Zink 1991) and indicates that genetic variation exists in the mtDNA genome. Although a large part of the range was sampled, Chipping Sparrows exhibit no geographic differentiation in mtDNA restriction sites. Most individuals possess the same haplotype (1) or one at most a few steps removed from it. The mtDNA population structure thus consists of a group of closely related haplotypes that are not geographically structured. Thus, the three named subspecies (Fig. 1) have no support from the mtDNA data. However, absence of geographic variation does not mean that there is not genetic differentiation in other parts of the genome, such as that which might underlay the phenotypic variation partitioned taxonomically into subspecies.

The maximum distance (or depth) among extant haplotypes detected in our study, p = 0.6%, is much less than the distance among the Chipping Sparrow and its relatives (minimum p = 3.6%; Table 2). The extant haplotypes in the Chipping Sparrow thus trace to a common maternal ancestor that lived well after the separation of the Chipping Sparrow from other *Spizella*. The depth of the haplotype tree in the Chipping Sparrow is similar to that in some avian species (e.g., Red-winged Blackbird [*Agelaius phoeniceus*]; Ball et al. 1988), but "shallower" than that in others (e.g., Song Sparrow; Zink and Dittmann, in press). It is possible that a bottleneck culled haplotype lineages at a time equal to the accumulation of 0.6% sequence divergence. Stochastic lineage sorting could produce such a distribution of haplotype lineages (Neigel et al. 1991). Given the calibration of mtDNA of 1% per lineage per million years (Shields and Wilson 1987b), the common (maternal) ancestor of extant haplotypes in the Chipping Sparrow existed about 300,000 years ago.

The mtDNA data also are consistent with the possibility that the range of the Chipping Sparrow recently expanded, as one would predict from the current distribution of Chipping Sparrows and Pleistocene glaciers (Pielou 1991). Rogers and Harpending (1992) suggest that a distribution of individual haplotype distances like that in Figure 2 suggests recent population expansion. The lack of geographic variation in mtDNA restriction sites parallels several other avian species that also span recently unglaciated regions (Ball et al. 1988, Zink et al. 1991b, Moore et al. 1991). Recent range expansion and insufficient time *in situ* could explain lack of geographic variation in mtDNA. Across the same area as that surveyed here, Zink (in press) found four distinct groups of mtDNA haplotype lineages in the Fox Sparrow (*Passerella iliaca*). It is apparent, therefore, that currently codistributed species need not have had the same histories.

The occurrence of sister haplotypes in different samples (Fig. 3) is potential evidence of gene flow (Slatkin and Maddison 1989). The dispersal distance of 5.4 km/generation is consistent with those computed for other birds, both with and without geographic variation (Neigel and Avise, unpubl. data). It is as yet unknown whether this magnitude of gene flow prevents establishment of mtDNA geographic variation, as is predicted for the immigration of a single individual per generation (for selectively neutral traits; Wright 1978). To examine the robustness of the dispersal estimate, we compared the estimated dispersal distances at each of the 10 levels to those based on random permutations of the haplotype distributions. At most levels, the actual observed value was greater than random values, suggesting that the Chipping Sparrow lineages have achieved an equilibrium between dispersal and genetic drift (Neigel and Avise, unpubl. data). The estimate of 5.4 km, however, was less than that obtained from the randomized estimate for its class, which lends confidence to that estimate. Nonetheless, mark-recapture or dispersal estimates from other genetic markers should be used to test the mtDNA estimate.

The question of why the Chipping Sparrow lacks significant geographic variation in phenotypes, despite occupying a large range, is unanswered. Many factors contribute to the evolution of geographic variation (Zink 1986). Perhaps limitation to one habitat, or selective regime, such as open pine woods and edges, prevents development of geographic variation (Miller 1956). However, the sheer latitudinal area occupied must place individuals in different temperature and humidity regimes (James 1970; Aldrich and James 1991). Possibly the seemingly continuous distribution

of Chipping Sparrows allows for sufficient gene exchange to prevent differentiation. Another potential reason for why a species might lack geographic variability concerns its age. A relatively young species might not have had enough time to respond to different environmental conditions and evolve geographic differences. The Chipping Sparrow is differentiated from its nearest relative by at least 3.6% estimated sequence divergence (Table 2). This value is about average for avian passerine congeneric species in the temperate zone (Zink et al. 1991a). Of course, degree of geographic variation might be related to the time since a species has been widespread, which might be unrelated to the distance to its nearest congener. Nevertheless, the Chipping Sparrow does not appear particularly "young," and other reasons for the lack of geographic variation should be sought. Substantial gene flow and recent population expansion are possibilities.

Evolutionary relationships in Spizella.—Because the mtDNA genome is inherited as a single linkage unit, it represents a single "gene tree." Our mtDNA trees (Fig. 4A, B) therefore represent preliminary hypotheses of *Spizella* phylogeny. It seems clear that the American Tree Sparrow is very distant from other congeners, as noted by Mayr and Short (1970). The mtDNA genetic distance from American Tree Sparrow to the other taxa averages 0.105, whereas the same figure for the Dark-eyed Junco is 0.072 (Table 2). Although we lack the taxa to conclude if *Spizella* is monophyletic, this should be tested. Both trees also suggest that the Clay-colored Sparrow is relatively basal. We assume that Worthen's Sparrow is the sister taxon to the Field Sparrow (Mayr and Short 1970), and that Timberline Sparrow is the sister taxon to Brewer's Sparrow (Sibley and Monroe 1990); these propositions should be tested.

Mayr and Short (1970) stated that the Clay-colored, Brewer's and Chipping sparrows formed a species group (the "*passerina*" complex), which presumably means that they are monophyletic. This result was not obtained in either the F-M or parsimony trees (Fig. 4A, B); Mayr and Short's hypothesis requires 260 steps relative to the 237 in the shortest parsimony tree. Mayr and Short's (1970) grouping of taxa apparently results from the taxonomic concept of the "grade" as opposed to that of the "clade" (Wiley 1981). That is, these species share ancestral features, ones not necessarily indicative of phylogenetic affinity.

Mayr and Short (1970) stated that the Black-chinned Sparrow might be related to the *S. passerina* complex; our data do not support this proposition. The proposed sister taxon relationship between Black-chinned Sparrow and Field Sparrow (Fig. 4A, B) is consistent with the possession of a pink bill, a potential synapomorphy. Other morphological characters should be analyzed as well. In addition, their songs are similar (Robbins et al. 1983), although this needs quantitative analysis. The species's distributions also suggest sister-taxon status, each being almost parapatric with the other. Within the genus, the Black-chinned Sparrow is phenotypically most differentiated, at least in plumage color and pattern. The mtDNA genetic distances (Fig. 4B) from the hypothesized most recent common ancestor to the Field and Black-chinned sparrows suggest that these two species have undergone relatively rapid evolution, which is consistent with plumage evolution in the Black-chinned Sparrow but not the Field Sparrow. The amount of anagenesis in the Black-chinned Sparrow (0.34) is even greater than that in the Field Sparrow (0.26; Fig. 4B), suggesting an accelerated rate in the former. These two species should be compared to determine if differences in demography or potential for sexual selection might explain the apparent difference in magnitude and rate of phenotypic evolution. That is, because the unique features of the Blackchinned Sparrow apparently originated since it last shared a common ancestor with its sister taxon, evolutionary causes might be inferred from its current demography or current biotic interactions (Brooks and Mc-Lennan 1991).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to S. J. Hackett, K. J. Burns, G. F. Barrowclough, S. J. Weller, and J. A. Gerwin for collecting specimens. Bruce McGillivray assisted with collecting permit acquisition. We are very grateful to the Canadian Fish and Wildlife Service (especially G. Dick) for cheerfully and efficiently providing collecting permits. We thank J. E. Neigel for the computer program to compute dispersal distance. We acknowledge NSF grant BSR-8906621 and Louisiana Board of Regents grant LEQSF-86-LBR-(048)-08 to RMZ. We thank D. R. Reynolds for making Fig. 1. J. M. Bates, P. D. Sudman, and J. V. Remsen provided helpful criticism of the manuscript. We thank the reviewers, R. Fleischer and W. Moore, for their many important comments.

LITERATURE CITED

- ALDRICH, J. W. AND F. C. JAMES. 1991. Ecogeographic variation in the American Robin (Turdus migratorius). Auk 108:230-249.
- AMERICAN ORNITHOLOGISTS' UNION. 1957. Check-list of North American birds, 5th ed. A.O.U., Washington, D.C.
- AVISE, R. M., J. ARNOLD, R. M. BALL, E. BERMINGHAM, T. LAMB, J. E. NEIGEL, C. A. REED, AND N. C. SAUNDERS. 1987. Intraspecific phylogeography: the mitochondrial DNA bridge between population genetics and systematics. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 18:489–522.
 — AND R. M. BALL, JR. 1991. Mitochondrial DNA and avian microevolution. Pp. 514–524 *in* Acta XX Congr. Int. Ornithol., New Zealand Ornithol. Soc., Aukland, New Zealand.

— AND W. S. NELSON. 1989. Molecular genetic relationships of the extinct dusky seaside sparrow. Science 243:646–648.

BALL, R. M., JR., S. FREEMAN, F. C. JAMES, E. BERMINGHAM, AND J. C. AVISE. 1988.

409

410 THE WILSON BULLETIN • Vol. 105, No. 3, September 1993

Phylogeographic population structure of Red-winged Blackbirds assessed by mitochondrial DNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85:1558–1562.

—— AND J. C. AVISE. 1992. MtDNA phylogeographic differentiation among avian populations, and the evolutionary significance of subspecies. Auk 109:626–636.

BARROWCLOUGH, G. F. 1983. Biochemical studies of microevolutionary processes. Pp. 223-261 in Perspectives in ornithology (A. H. Brush and G. A. Clark, Jr., eds.). Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, New York.

— AND N. K. JOHNSON. 1988. Genetic structure of North American birds. Pp. 1630– 1638 in Acta XIX Congr. Int. Ornithol., Vol. 2 (H. Ouellet, ed.). Univ. Ottawa Press, Ottawa, Quebec.

- BROOKS, D. R. AND D. A. MCLENNAN. 1991. Phylogeny, ecology, and behavior: a research program in comparative biology. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois.
- DOWLING, T. E., C. MORITZ, AND J. PALMER. 1990. Nucleic acids II. Restriction site analysis. Pp. 250–319 *in* Molecular systematics (D. M. Hillis and C. Moritz, eds.). Sinauer Assoc., Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- FARRIS, J. S. 1989. Hennig86 Reference Manual. Publ. by author. Port Jefferson, New York.
- FELSENSTEIN, J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39:783–791.

——. 1987. PHYLIP manual, Ver. 3.0. Univ. Washington, Seattle, Washington.

- FITCH, W. M. AND E. MARGOLIASH. 1967. Construction of phylogenetic trees. Science 155: 279–284.
- JAMES, F. C. 1970. Geographic size variation in birds and its relationship to climate. Ecology 51:365-390.
- LANSMAN, R. A., R. O. SHADE, J. F. SHAPIRA, AND J. C. AVISE. 1981. The use of restriction endonucleases to measure mitochondrial DNA sequence relatedness in natural populations III. Techniques and potential applications. J. Mol. Evol. 17:214–226.
- MAYR, E. AND L. L. SHORT, JR. 1970. Species taxa of North American birds, a contribution to comparative systematics. Publ. Nuttall Ornithol. Club 9:1–127.
- MILLER, A. H. 1956. Ecological factors that accelerate formation of races and species of terrestrial vertebrates. Evolution 10:262–277.
- MOORE, W. S., J. H. GRAHAM, AND J. T. PRICE. 1991. Mitochondrial DNA variation in the northern flicker (*Colaptes auratus*, Aves). Mol. Biol. Evol. 8:327–344.
- MORITZ, C., T. E. DOWLING, AND W. M. BROWN. 1987. Evolution of animal mitochondrial DNA: relevance for population biology and systematics. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 18:269–292.
- NEI, M. AND W. H. LI. 1979. Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76:5269-5273.
- NEIGEL, J. E., R. M. BALL, JR., AND J. C. AVISE. 1991. Estimation of single generation migration distances from geographic variation in animal mitochondrial DNA. Evolution 45:423–432.
- PIELOU, E. C. 1991. After the ice age: the return of life to glaciated North America. The Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois.
- ROBBINS, C. S., B. BRUUN, AND H. S. ZIM. 1983. Birds of North America. Golden Press, New York, New York.
- ROGERS, A. R. AND H. HARPENDING. 1992. Population growth makes waves in the distribution of pairwise genetic differences. Mol. Biol. Evol. 9:552-569.
- SHIELDS, G. F. AND A. C. WILSON. 1987a. Subspecies of the Canada Goose (Branta canadensis) have distinct mitochondrial DNAs. Evolution 41:662-666.

AND — . 1987b. Calibration of mitochondrial DNA evolution in geese. J. Mol. Evol. 24:212–217.

- SIBLEY, C. G. AND B. L. MONROE, JR. 1990. Distribution and taxonomy of birds of the world. Yale Univ. Press, New Haven, Connecticut.
- SLATKIN, M. AND W. P. MADDISON. 1989. A cladistic measure of gene flow inferred from the phylogenies of alleles. Genetics 123:603–613.
- SNEATH, P. H. A. AND R. R. SOKAL. 1973. Numerical taxonomy. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, California.

WILEY, E. 1981. Phylogenetics. J. Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, New York.

- WRIGHT, S. 1978. Evolution and the genetics of natural populations. IV. Variability within and among natural populations. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois.
- ZINK, R. M. 1986. Patterns and evolutionary significance of geographic variation in the Schistacea group of the Fox Sparrow (*Passerella iliaca*). Ornithol. Monog. 40. Am. Ornithol. Union, Washington, D.C.
 - ——. 1991. The geography of mitochondrial DNA variation in two sympatric sparrows. Evolution 45:329–339.
 - —. (in press) The geographic history of mitochondrial DNA evolution, population structure, and hybridization in the Fox Sparrow (*Passerella iliaca*). Evolution.
 - AND J. C. AVISE. 1990. Patterns of mitochondrial DNA and allozyme evolution in the avian genus *Ammodramus*. Syst. Zool. 39:148–161.
 - —— AND D. L. DITTMANN. (in press) Gene flow, refugia, and evolution of geographic variation in the song sparrow. Evolution.
 - , ____, AND W. L. ROOTES. 1991a. Mitochondrial DNA variation and the phylogeny of *Zonotrichia*. Auk 108:578–584.
 - ——, W. L. ROOTES, AND D. L. DITTMANN. 1991b. Mitochondrial DNA variation, population structure, and evolution of the Common Grackle (*Quiscalus quiscula*). Condor 93:318–329.

Appendix I

Letter Codes for Restriction Fragment Profiles for Composite Haplotypes Observed in Chipping Sparrows and Related Species^a

Haplo)-																			Norte-
num- ber	-	Letter codes																		
1	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
2										В										
3											С									
4						В				В										
5		С		В								•	В		·			•	•	
6				С											•		•	В	•	•
7	•												С			•	•	•	•	
8	•			В	•			В							•		•	•	•	
9							В						•	•	•	В		•	•	•
10		В	•	С		•		•	•	•	В	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
11		С	•		•	•				•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	
12	•	•	•			÷	В		•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•
13	•	•	•						С	•	•	•	С	·	•	·	•	•	•	•
14		•	•		·	•	В	В	D				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
15	;	•	•	В	·	•	·	•	В	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•
16	•	•	·	·	·	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	В
17	•	•	•	В	·	·	В	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	·	•
18	•	•	·	D	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	·	•	•	·	•
19	•	•	•	·	В	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	·	•	•	•	·	•	•	•
20	•	D	·	•	•	•		•	•	•	В	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•
21	•	E	F	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	D	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

^a A "dot" signifes the "A" pattern. Sequence of restriction endonucleases: Ava I, Ava II, BamH I, Ban II, Bcl I, Bgl I, Bgl II, EcoR I, Hinc II, Hind III, Hinf I, Kpn I, Mbo I, Msp I, Nci I, Nde I, Pvu II, Stu I, Sac II, and Xba I.

APPENDIX II

PRESENCE/ABSENCE MATRIX OF RESTRICTION FRAGMENTS IN SPECIES OF SPIZELLA AND DARK-EYED JUNCO^a

Dark-eyed Junco

Chipping Sparrow

Black-chinned Sparrow

American Tree Sparrow

Clay-colored Sparrow

Field Sparrow

Brewer's Sparrow

^a Sequence of restriction endonucleases used (number of fragments): Ava I (14), Ava II (26), BamH I (10), Ban II (22), Bcl I (12), Bgl I (16), EcoR I (13), Hinc II (21), Hind III (22), Nci I (14), Nde I (15), and Pvu II (13).



Zink, Robert M. and Dittmann, Donna L. 1993. "Population Structure and Gene Flow in the Chipping Sparrow and a Hypothesis for Evolution in the Genus Spizella." *The Wilson bulletin* 105(3), 399–413.

View This Item Online: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/223235</u> Permalink: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/242469</u>

Holding Institution Harvard University, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Ernst Mayr Library

Sponsored by Harvard University, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Ernst Mayr Library

Copyright & Reuse Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder. Rights Holder: Wilson Ornithological Society License: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/</u> Rights: <u>https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions</u>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.