# **OPINION 1931**

## Campeloma Rafinesque, 1819 (Mollusca, Gastropoda): conserved

**Keywords.** Nomenclature; taxonomy; Gastropoda; prosobranchs; VIVIPARIDAE; CAMPELOMATINAE; Campeloma; Campeloma crassula.

#### Ruling

- Under the plenary powers the name Ambloxis Rafinesque, 1818 is hereby suppressed for the purposes of the Principle of Priority but not for those of the Principle of Homonymy.
- (2) The name *Campeloma* Rafinesque, 1819 (gender: neuter), type species by monotypy *Campeloma crassula* Rafinesque, 1819, is hereby placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology.
- (3) The name *crassula* Rafinesque, 1819, as published in the binomen *Campeloma crassula* (specific name of the type species of *Campeloma* Rafinesque, 1819), is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.
- (4) The name Ambloxis Rafinesque, 1818 is hereby placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology, as suppressed in (1) above.

### History of Case 2956

An application for the conservation of the name *Campeloma* Rafinesque, 1819 was received from Dr Arthur E. Bogan (*North Carolina State Museum of Natural Sciences, Raleigh, North Carolina, U.S.A.*) and Dr Earle E. Spamer (*Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, U.S.A.*) on 11 November 1994. After correspondence the case was published in BZN 55: 76–80 (June 1998). Notice of the case was sent to appropriate journals.

### **Decision of the Commission**

On 1 March 1999 the members of the Commission were invited to vote on the proposals published in BZN 55: 78. At the close of the voting period on 1 June 1999 the votes were as follows:

Affirmative votes — 20: Bock, Bouchet, Brothers, Cocks, Eschmeyer, Heppell, Kabata, Kerzhner, Kraus, Macpherson, Mahnert, Martins de Souza, Mawatari, Minelli, Nielsen, Nye, Papp, Savage, Schuster, Štys

Negative votes - none.

No votes were received from Dupuis, Lehtinen, Patterson and Song.

Cogger and Ride were on leave of absence.

Bouchet commented: 'An additional reason in favour of the conservation of *Campeloma* (para. 5 of the application) is that it is the type genus of the subfamily CAMPELOMATINAE (published as CAMPELOMINAE) Thiele, 1929'. Dupuis declined to vote on the grounds that less than a year had elapsed since publication of the case. [*Editorial note*. An explanation of procedure followed in sending cases for voting was given in BZN 54: 53–54, March 1997].

#### **Original references**

The following are the original references to the names placed on Official Lists and an Official Index by the ruling given in the present Opinion:

Ambloxis Rafinesque, 1818, American Monthly Magazine and Critical Review, 3(5): 355.
Campeloma Rafinesque, 1819, Journal de Physique, de Chimie, d'Histoire Naturelle, 88: 423.
crassula, Campeloma, Rafinesque, 1819, Journal de Physique, de Chimie, d'Histoire Naturelle, 88: 423.



International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature. 1999. "Opinion 1931." *The Bulletin of zoological nomenclature* 56, 204–205.

View This Item Online: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/45032</u> Permalink: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/23072</u>

Holding Institution Natural History Museum Library, London

**Sponsored by** Natural History Museum Library, London

## **Copyright & Reuse**

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder. Rights Holder: International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature License: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/</u> Rights: <u>https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions</u>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.