A COMPARISON OF POPULATIONS OF WOLF SPIDERS (ARANEAE, LYCOSIDAE) ON TWO DIFFERENT SUBSTRATES IN SOUTHERN FLORIDA

David B. Richman: Dept. of Entomology, Plant Pathology and Weed Science, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico 88003 USA; Jan S. Meister¹: Dept. of Entomology and Nematology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 326112; Willard H. Whitcomb²: Dept. of Entomology and Nematology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, and Leigh Murray: Dept. of Experimental Statistics, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico 88003 USA

ABSTRACT. Wolf spiders were sampled from sandy and grassy substrates every month for one year at Archbold Biological Station, Lake Placid, Florida, from December 1981 through November 1982. It was found that the faunas were different in species composition, even though they were within a few meters of one another. Light-colored species, such as *Lycosa ceratiola* and *Lycosa osceola*, were more abundant on or restricted to the sandy surface, while darker colored species, such as *Lycosa miami*, *Lycosa annexa*, *Lycosa abdita* and *Schizocosa crassipes* were more abundant on or restricted to, the grassy substrate. A total of twelve species of lycosids were collected.

Archbold Biological Station currently contains 2023.5 hectares of Lake Wales Ridge scrub, dominated by slash pine, Pinus elliotti Engleman, sand pine, Pinus clausa (Engleman) Sargent, several species of scrub oaks, Quercus spp., saw palmetto, Serenoa repens (Bartr.) Small and Sabal etonia Swingler ex. Nash, among others (Vander Kloet 1979). The scrub region of south Florida is unique and the largest part of the remaining scrub on the Lake Wales Ridge occurs on the station. The wolf spider fauna is highly varied and contains some unique or nearly unique elements, such as Sosippus placidus Brady and Geolycosa xera McCrone (Franz 1982). Richman (1984) listed 15 known species for Highlands County. Most of the species involved are little known, other than their original descriptions. Two of the species which were recorded in the list are very abundant at the station. These are Lycosa ceratiola Gertsch & Wallace and Lycosa miami Wallace. Wallace (1942) included L. miami, but not L. ceratiola, in the lenta group; but both appear to be related, based on their morphology. An observation was made in 1981 by Richman and Whitcomb that there seemed to be a difference in the lycosid fauna on sand compared with the fauna on grass. To document this difference we decided to sample wolf spider populations on both substrates monthly for a year and then compare the results to test the hypothesis that there was a distinct difference between the faunas and that it persisted throughout the annual cycle.

METHODS

An area immediately adjacent to and south of the main building at Archbold Biological Station (27°20'N, 81°20'W) was selected for accessibility and for the presence of both grassy areas and sandy areas. The areas were approximately 10 \times 100 m (1000 sq. m) and were perpendicular to each other. The grassy area was just south of the main building and was oriented along a northsouth line, whereas the sandy area edge was just south of the south edge of the grassy area and was oriented along a east-west line (Fig. 1). Both substrates were sampled monthly at night from December 1981 through November 1982 (Table 1), using headlighting techniques (Wallace 1937). A minimum of 50 spiders was collected on each substrate during each sampling period (total minimum of 100 spiders/month), except for the first sample (December 1981) when a minimum of 24 was collected on each substrate and for grass in September 1982 when 29 were collected. Depending on the number of people searching

¹ Current address: 11004 SW 67th St., Gainesville, Florida 32608.

² Current address: 4013 NW 39th Way, Gainesville, Florida 32606.

and the time of year, the samples were collected in anywhere from 10 minutes to two hours, but usually took 20-30 minutes. We used a relatively standard number of spiders because it was felt that this would give us a relative proportion of adults and immatures. At no time did we attempt to obtain absolute densities. Because the eye shine was used to collect all samples in both cases, rather than just searching for spiders, we feel that the proportions reasonably reflected the populations present on the substrates. While the sand had little vegetation on it, the grass was short on the grassy area and presented no problems in seeing the eye shine. The spiders were preserved in alcohol and later identified and each carapace width measured (including immature specimens). Identifications were made by Allen Brady, Hope College, Holland, Michigan; G. B. Edwards, Florida State Collection of Arthropods, Gainesville, Florida; and the senior author. Once adults were identified, it became possible to at least tentatively identify the majority of the immature specimens. Most of the immature specimens were identified by the senior author. Weather data were provided by Archbold Biological Station. Samples of specimens collected are deposited at the Archbold Biological Station (complete synoptic collection), the Arthropod Museum of New Mexico State University (partial synoptic collection), and the Florida State Collection of Arthropods (partial synoptic collection and most extra and immature specimens).

Statistical analyses included preliminary frequencies and time plots for counts of each species



Figure 1.—Map of Archbold Biological Station main building area, showing the two study sites. Scale is approximate. Map modified from Abrahmson et al. 1984.

and substrate combination. Also chi-square tests of homogeneity were conducted to compare occurrence of different species. These chi-square tests included: substrate by month comparisons for each species; substrate species comparisons for each month; and month by sex comparisons for each substrate and species combinations. Problems were experienced with sparseness in chi-square tables due to low counts for all but two species and all sexes. Finally, an analysis of variance was performed for each substrate and species combination to compare average size over months. All analyses were performed using SAS© (SAS Institute 1989, 1990).

RESULTS

A total of five species of *Lycosa*, three species of *Rabidosa*, one species of *Gladicosa*, one species of *Pardosa*, one species of *Sosippus*, and one species of *Schizocosa* was collected at the study site over the year (Table 2). Of these, eight species

Table 1.-Collecting dates for Lycosa spp. at Archbold Biological Station, Highlands County, Florida (1981-1982). * Two teams used.

dente a pania teamore sur bries	Time of collection	
Date	Grass substrate	Sand substrate
17 December 1981	Approximately hour after sundown	
21 January 1982	7:00-7:20 P.M. EST*	7:20-7:36 P.M. EST
22 February 1982	7:30-8:00 P.M. EST	8:00-8:30 P.M. EST
22 March 1982	7:45-8:05 P.M. EST	8:05-8:30 P.M. EST
20 April 1982	7:50-8:20 P.M. EST	8:25-8:55 P.M. EST
19 May 1982	9:05-9:15 P.M. EDT	9:15-9:30 P.M. EDT
21 June 1982	9:00-9:30 P.M. EDT	9:30-10:00 P.M. EDT
22 July 1982	9:00 PM EDT*	9:00 P.M. EDT*
18 August 1982	9:20-1:15 P.M. EDT	8:35-9:20 P.M. EDT
20 September 1982	8:30-9:30 P.M. EDT*	8:30-9:30 P.M. EDT*
26 October 1982	Approximately hour after sundown	
22 November 1982	Approximately hour after sundown	



Figure 2.-Numbers of Lycosa ceratiola, Lycosa miami and other lycosids on sand at Archbold Biological Station, Highlands County, Florida, December 1981 to November 1982.

were not listed by Richman (1984). Only two of the species collected in this study, *L. ceratiola* and *L. osceola*, were in the Archbold Biological Station Collection at the time of the study. In addition to the wolf spiders, at least one immature specimen of the giant crab spider, *Heteropoda venatoria* (Linnaeus), and one of an unidentified gnaphosid were collected on the grassy surface over the year. The chi-square test of homogeneity of occurrence of species on the two substrates indicated that there was indeed a significant difference (P < 0.005 for all months) between the frequency of Lycosa ceratiola and Lycosa miami on the two substrates. Only the samples from August were questionable because 25% of the cells had expected counts of less than five. This sparseness was a common problem when more than these



Figure 3.-Numbers of Lycosa ceratiola, Lycosa miami and other lycosids on grass at Archbold Biological Station, Highlands County, Florida, December 1981 to November 1982.



Figure 4.—Weather data for Archbold Biological Station, Highlands County, Florida, December 1981 to November 1982. Temperatures are averages for each month in °c. Rainfall is total for month in cm.

two species were included in the analysis, even though it is evident that there is a real difference between the species recovered on sand and those recovered on grass. Thus, no statistically valid conclusions can be made about the other species.

Monthly counts (Figs. 2, 3) for the two species demonstrate this distinct difference very well. It is quite obvious that the sandy colored *L. ceratiola* preferred sandy substrates, while the darker *L. miami* preferred the grassy substrate.

Size analysis was only meaningful for L. ceratiola on sand and L. miami on grass. These were present throughout the 12 months of the sampling period. The average carapace width for these two species each month is shown in Table 3. It is curious that while immatures were present at all times of the year, adult males of *L. miami* were found in only four scattered months (December, March and July-August). Adult females of *L. miami* were collected in December and March-August, whereas males of *L. ceratiola* were collected from March-July and females in January and March-November (Table 3).

Some prey records were obtained, especially

Table 2.—Species of *Lycosa* and other Lycosidae collected at Archbold Biological Station, Highlands County, Florida, 1981–1982. Specimens of *Lycosa ceratiola* and *Lycosa miami* include those collected on both sand and grass and so do not match totals in Table 3. Identifications were by Allen Brady, G. B. Edwards and D. B. Richman. Species with "*" were not listed by Richman (1984).

Species	Substrate	n and sexes
Gladicosa pulchra (Keyserling)*	Grass	1ð
Lycosa abdita Gertsch*	Grass, sand	2ð, 10º, 3 imm.
Lycosa annexa Chamberlin & Ivie*	Grass	23ð, 13º, 41 imm.
Lycosa ceratiola Gertsch & Wallace	Sand, grass	238, 559, 561 imm.
Lycosa miami Wallace	Grass, sand	128, 229, 432 imm.
Lycosa osceola Gertsch & Wallace	Sand (1 on grass?)	39, 2 imm.?
Pardosa littoralis Banks*	Grass	18, 19, 5 imm.
Rabidosa hentzi (Banks)*	Grass (2 on sand)	18, 7 imm.
Rabidosa punctulata (Hentz)*	Grass	19, 3 imm.
Rabidosa rabida (Walckenaer)*	Grass	2 imm.
Schizocosa crassipes (Walckenaer)*	Grass (1 on sand)	3ð, 10º, 12 imm.
Sosippus floridanus Simon	Grass	1 imm.

Date	Lycosa ceratiola (n) (Range) (SD)	Lycosa miami (n) (Range) (SD)
December	3.10 (29) (1.17–5.67) (1.36)	3.80 (21) (1.67–8.17) (1.91) 13, 19
January	2.62 (54) (1.17-5.83) (1.21) 29	2.66 (53) (1.33-5.17) (0.83)
February	2.50 (46) (1.00-5.50) (1.05)	2.38 (34) (1.50-5.00) (0.77)
March	4.01 (50) (1.16-7.50) (1.62) 88, 49	3.59 (52) (1.83-7.83) (1.39) 18, 29
April	3.66 (59) (1.50-6.50) (1.47) 28, 49	4.06 (50) (1.83-8.83) (1.39) 49
May	3.68 (54) (1.67-6.67) (1.33) 13, 39	4.23 (46) (2.50-8.67) (1.30) 19
June	4.43 (79) (1.00-7.50) (1.82) 68, 169	4.04 (35) (1.33–7.33) (1.74) 29
July	3.36 (52) (1.33-7.00) (1.67) 43, 89	5.25 (37) (1.67-8.50) (1.91) 68, 79
August	2.74 (54) (1.67–7.33) (1.14) 49	4.48 (23) (1.50-7.83) (2.04) 48, 49
September	2.56 (59) (0.83-5.33) (1.33) 49	5.37 (18) (1.67-7.00) (1.12)
October	3.10 (42) (1.17–5.33) (1.15) 19	3.28 (29) (0.83-6.67) (1.44)
November	3.39 (45) (1.33–6.00) (1.35) 59	2.90 (19) (1.17–5.33) (1.17)

Table 3.—Mean carapace width (mm) for Lycosa ceratiola on sand and Lycosa miami on grass at Archbold Biological Station, December 1981 to November 1982. Adult males and females present in the sample are noted by the symbols δ or \mathfrak{g} .

for Lycosa ceratiola. On 20 April 1982 at least 10 individuals of this species were collected with alates of the fire ant Solenopsis sp. (Formicidae). The same collection produced a click beetle (Elateridae), a scarab beetle Ataenius platensis (Blanchard) (Scarabaeidae) and a leafhopper, Draeculacephala inscripta Van Duzee. On 22 July 1982 individuals of L. ceratiola had caught a muscid fly, two scarabs and a mirid bug in the genus Lygus. On the 18 August 1982 a L. ceratiola was taken with a beetle fragment. On the same date a specimen of L. miami had captured a rove beetle (Staphylinidae). On 22 October 1982, a record for made of L. miami with a male gryllid cricket, and on 22 November, with one psychid larva and a myrmycine ant.

Weather data are summarized in Fig. 4. The lowest temperature was -11 °C in January 1982 and the highest was 35.6 °c in August. The highest rainfall was in June (27.85 cm) with the second highest in September (26.63 cm). December 1981 had the lowest rainfall (0.55 cm). With the exception of the cold periods in January and December (to -6.7 °c), the weather was very mild, even at night, and spiders were always found.

DISCUSSION

With these results we can make the following statements: 1), Lycosa ceratiola is most often found on the sandy substrate where it is most likely to be cryptic; 2), Conversely, Lycosa miami is most often found on the grassy substrate where it is most likely to be cryptic; 3), The grassy substrate has a higher species diversity (2:1) than the sandy substrate; 4), There were also more specimens of species that were found on both substrates (other than L. ceratiola) on grass than on sand; 5), The size analysis indicated that the various sizes were spread through the year, but that the smallest spiderlings were found in August for Lycosa ceratiola and in September for Lycosa miami. However, average carapace widths were the smallest for both species in February (Table 3). The low carapace widths in winter reflects the lower number of adults and the high numbers of (probably) third instar spiderlings. Thus most egg sacs were probably produced from the late summer and fall, although this may have been somewhat more spread out than for more northern species; finally, 6), prey, especially of L. ceratiola, appears to be quite varied and includes ants, beetles, crickets, true bugs, leafhoppers and caterpillars. Alate ants are readily attacked during mating flights.

The apparent crypsis of the two major species of Lycosa may be somewhat puzzling, as these are obviously nocturnal spiders. However, it might be noted that on moonlight nights the sandy color of L. ceratiola made it nearly invisible, whereas specimens of L. miami were visible as almost shadow-like bodies against the white sand. The reverse was true on the grassy areas. Thus the crypsis may serve to conceal the spiders from attack by vertebrate predators, especially night birds, such as screech owls, which are known predators of large lycosid spiders (Ross 1969).

The lack of female spiders carrying spiderlings or eggs is possibly a result of the larger, commoner (*lenta* group) species staying in their burrows during the egg laying and early spiderling

stages and, in the case of the smaller, rarer, species, may be a result of the low numbers taken. It is well established that members of the lenta species group are burrowers (Wallace 1942; Gertsch 1979). The less-collected species, some of which normally carry their eggs and first instar young with them, rarely came out on the grassy or sandy areas, but primarily stay on surfaces under the scrub canopy. The one specimen of Sosippus collected was obviously a stray, as these spiders build funnel webs in litter and shrubs (Brady 1962). Also, since only a few of the burrowing Lycosa osceola were collected, even on sandy surfaces, it is thought that they may have preferred sandy areas between plants in the nearby scrub. The scrub habitat was not sampled during the study, partly because of the difficulty of headlighting in that habitat. However, L. os*ceola* was seen in the spaces between scrub plants on several occasions.

The fact that some large species of Lycosa differ in their substrate preference has been demonstrated in the past for two members of the lenta group, L. lenta Hentz and L. ammophila Wallace (Harper 1971). In these species L. lenta preferred leaf-litter and L. ammophila preferred sand. As noted earlier, Wallace (1942) placed L. miami, but not L. ceratiola, in the lenta group. It seems likely, from our observations and from the apparently similar morphology and appearance (especially the structure of the male palpi, female epigyna and general color pattern- L. ceratiola looks much like a very pale L. miami), that these two species, like L. lenta and L. ammophila, belong in the same species group. Where these "Lycosa" species will eventually be placed is unclear at the present time. However, it seems to be evident from both Harper (1971) and the current study that the various species of lycosids do partition their habitats in peninsular Florida.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the help of Anne Trambarulo, then a graduate student, Dept. of Entomology and Nematology, and Jonathan Reiskind, Dept. of Zoology, University of Florida, Gainesville. Both caught spiders for us during at least one of our sampling periods. We especially want to thank Allen Brady, Hope College, Holland, Michigan, and G. B. Edwards, Paul Skelley and Frank Mead, all of the Florida State Collection of Arthropods, Gainesville, Florida, for the identifications of the adult spiders and prey records. We also thank the then director, James N. Layne, and the staff of Archbold Biological Station for their cooperation and support during this study. The two reviewers of this paper for the Journal of Arachnology, Gail Stratton and Jan Weaver, made a number of valuable suggestions which improved the presentation of data and the discussion and we are grateful for their efforts.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abrahmson, W. G., A. F. Johnson & J. N. Layne. 1984. Archbold Biological Station vegetation map. Archbold Biol. Stn.
- Brady, A. R. 1962. The spider genus *Sosippus* in North America, Mexico, and Central America (Araneae, Lycosidae). Psyche, 69:129–164.
- Franz, R. (ed.). 1982. Invertebrates. Rare and endangered biota of Florida. 6. Univ. of Florida Press. 131 p.
- Gertsch, W. J. 1979. American spiders. Van Nostrand Reinhold. New York. 274 p.
- Harper, C. A. 1971. Comparative ecology of two sibling species of wolf spiders (Araneae, Lycosidae).Ph. D. Dissertation, Univ. of Florida, 99 p.
- Richman, D. B. 1984. A revised list of the spiders of Highlands County, Florida. Report to Archbold Biol. Stn. 3 p.
- Ross, A. 1969. Ecological aspects of the food habits of insectivorous screech-owls. Proc. Western Foundation Vert. Zool., 1:302–344.
- SAS Institute, Inc. 1989. SAS/STAT User's Guide, Version 6, 4th ed., Vol. 2, Cary, North Carolina. 846 p.
- SAS Institute, Inc. 1990. SAS Procedures Guide, Version 6, 3rd ed., Cary, North Carolina. 705 p.
- Vander Kloet, S. P. 1979. Florula Archboldiensis: Being an annotated list of the vascular plants of the Archbold Biological Station. Report for Archbold Biol. Stn., 65 p.
- Wallace, H. K. 1937. The use of the headlight in collecting nocturnal spiders. Entomol. News, 48:107– 111.
- Wallace, H. K. 1942. A study of the *lenta* group of the genus *Lycosa*, with descriptions of new species (Araneae, Lycosidae). American Mus. Nov., 1185, 21 p.
- Manuscript received 26 October 1994, revised 7 July 1995.



Richman, David B. et al. 1995. "A Comparison of Populations of Wolf Spiders (Araneae, Lycosidae) on Two Different Substrates in Southern Florida." *The Journal of arachnology* 23(3), 151–156.

View This Item Online: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/221122</u> Permalink: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/226431</u>

Holding Institution Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

Sponsored by Biodiversity Heritage Library

Copyright & Reuse Copyright Status: In Copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder Rights Holder: American Arachnological Society License: <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/</u> Rights: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions/</u>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.