## PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

# BIOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON

# THE GENERIC NAMES MYRMECOPHAGA AND TAMANDUA, AND THE SPECIFIC NAMES OF THE GENUS DIDELPHIS.

BY J. A. ALLEN.

In the 'American Naturalist' for February, 1901 (pp. 143-145), Mr. Oldfield Thomas refers to recent articles by Mr. Rehn and myself concerning the names Myrmecophaga and Didelphis. Without going into the matter with sufficient care I assented\* to Mr. Rehn's contention that the Linnæan Myrmecophaga was not tenable for the Great Anteater, known as Myrmecophaga jubata Linn., but I have to confess that I had not access to Marcgrave, and gave the matter only passing attention, as my special question at the time was the status of the genus Didelphis. As Mr. Thomas has shown, Myrmecophaga is perfectly tenable for the Great Anteater, and its proper specific name is tridactyla Linn. 1758 (jubata Linn. 1766). In concluding his notice of Myrmecophaga, he says: "As a result I claim that Myrmecophaga tridactyla Linn. should be the name for the Great Anteater, Uroleptes and Cyclopes remaining as before for the other genera of the family."

<sup>\*</sup>Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist., XIII, p. 185, Oct , 1900.

<sup>†</sup>Am. Nat., XXXIV, p. 185, July, 1900.

It appears to me, however, that Uroleptes is not the proper name to take the place of Tamandua Gray, 1825, where it stands as a nomen nudum, becoming only properly habilitated, as shown by Dr. Palmer, by Lesson in 1842. In this case Uroleptes has undoubted priority over Tamandua, but it appears that F. Cuvier in 1829,\* used the same name, slightly varied in orthography, for the same group one year earlier than the publication of Uroleptes. Cuvier recognized three genera of his family "Les Myrmécophages," namely: (1) "Les Tamanoirs, Myrmecophaga Linn.," (2) "Les Tamanduas, Tamanduas," and (3) "Les Didactyles, Didactyles." Myrmecophaga included only the Great Anteater, the genus being properly attributed to Linnaus. Didactyles is the same as Cyclopes Gray, 1821, leaving the second genus, Tamanduas, for the other members of family, namely the Tamanduas of naturalists. The name Tamanduas is used in as strictly a technical sense as either of the other names adopted by Cuvier for the other members of the family Myrmecophagidae, and I see no reason why the name Tamanduas is not tenable from Cuvier, 1829, for the group of Anteaters included in Uroleptes by Wagler one year later.

Respecting the name Didelphis, I am gratified to find that Mr. Thomas supports my contention for its tenability. A word, however, respecting the earlier specific names applied to various members of this group. As is well known Linnæus's D. marsupialis was a composite group based on references to (1) the Virginia Opossum, (2) the Guiana Opossum, and (3) the large Mexican Opossum, the latter being the Tlacuatzin of Hernandez. It appears to me that the most satisfactory way of dealing with this composite group is to follow the usual method, whether the group be specific or generic, namely, the principle The name marsupialis must, of course, be reof elimination. tained for some member of the composite group. As the first member to receive a special name was the Guiana Opossum, named Didelphis karkinophaga by Zimmermann in 1783, this name should be applied in a specific sense to the large Opossums of northeastern South America. The next member of the original marsupialis group to receive a name was the Virginia

<sup>\*</sup>Dict. des Sci. Nat., LIX, p. 501, 1829.

<sup>†</sup>Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist., XIII, pp. 185-188, Oct., 1900.

Opossum, named D. virginiana by Kerr in 1792. This leaves of the identifiable forms included under the original D. marsupialis Linn. the large Opossum of Mexico, namely the Tlacuatzin of Hernandez, to which the name marsupialis must evidently restricted. As D. karkinophaga has obtained some currency for the large Opossums of northeastern South America, and as D. virginiana has been currently accepted for the Opossum of the United States, the present ruling very little disturbs the nomenclature of the group. The restriction of D. marsupialis to the large Mexican Opossum simply takes the place of Didelphis californica of Bennett, which as a specific designation has never had much currency.\*

<sup>\*</sup>For a fuller discussion of this case see Allen, Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist., XIV, pp. 163, 164, June, 1901.



Allen, J. A. 1901. "The generic names Myrmecophaga and Tamandua, and the specific names of the opossums of the genus Didelphis." *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 14, 91–93.

View This Item Online: <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/19596">https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/19596</a>

Permalink: <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/211521">https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/211521</a>

#### **Holding Institution**

Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

## Sponsored by

**Internet Archive** 

### **Copyright & Reuse**

Copyright Status: NOT\_IN\_COPYRIGHT

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org">https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org</a>.