# GTG-banded karyotype of Gazella dama mhorr Bennett, 1833

# Cytogenetic relationship with other members of the subgenus Nanger

By J. J. ARROYO NOMBELA, C. RODRIGUEZ MURCIA, T. ABAIGAR and J. R. VERICAD

Receipt of Ms. 15. 11. 1988 Acceptance of Ms. 27. 8. 1989

#### Abstract

The GTG-banded karyotype of *Gazella dama mhorr* is described, showing an unusual sex-determining mechanism  $\delta$  (X<sub>1</sub>X<sub>2</sub>Y<sub>1</sub>Y<sub>2</sub>),  $\Im$  (X<sub>1</sub>X<sub>1</sub>X<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub>) and two variants in the parameter 2n (42 individuals possess 2n = 38, and the rest, 13 individuals, 2n = 39, due to a structural heteromorphism in pair 1). The comparative study of the karyotypes of *G. dama mhorr*, *G. dama dama*, *G. granti* and *G. soemmeringi* reveals the relationship among these four members of the subgenus *Nanger*.

## Introduction

The difficulties concerning a classification of the different species and subspecies of the genus *Gazella* (GROVES 1969) stimulated karyotypical research in this genus (NELSON-REES et al. 1967; WURSTER 1972; WAHRMAN et al. 1973; EFFRON et al. 1976; BENIRSCHKE et al. 1984; FURLEY et al. 1988).

This paper presents a cytogenetic study of *Gazella dama mhorr*, a subspecies not studied in this respect to date. The sample analysed here is relatively large and of well-known and demonstrated origin, preconditions not given in similar studies on other *Gazella* species.

# Material and methods

A total of 55 specimens (31  $\Im$  and 24  $\Im$ ) were examined, all of them belonging to a population developed in the Arid Zones Experimental Station in Almería (Spain) and deriving from 17 *G. dama mhorr* specimens (13  $\Im$  and 4  $\Im$ ) which had been trapped between 1971 and 1975 in the "Dora-El Gaada" region, in the northern part of the once Spanish colony of Western Sahara, bordering the South-Moroccan area, where the specimens studied by BENNETT have been obtained (CABRERA 1932).

The classification of the specimens of the population under study is based on comparative analyses with *G. dama dama* and *G. dama ruficollis* (CANO 1984). On the other hand, specimens from this geographical area have been classified as *G. dama lozanoi* by MORALES-AGACINO (1949) and EIGENER and SCHLIEMANN (1983).

For the analysis of metaphase plates, chromosome preparations were made from peripheral whole blood, 0,4 ml cultured in 7,5 ml RPMI-1640 medium supplemented by 15 % bovine fetal serum, L-glutamin, antibiotics and Pokeweed mitogen (Flow Laboratories).

The trypsin technique of revealing GTG bands was based on the procedure of SEABRIGHT (1972), with slight modifications (ARROYO and MURCIA 1977).

## Results

Chromosome analysis with conventional Giemsa staining showed that of the 55 animals analyzed, 42 (25 99 and 17  $\eth \eth$ ) had a karyotype 2n = 38, and the remaining 13 (6 99 and 7  $\eth \eth$ ) 2n = 39. By means of GTG banding technique, each pair was unequivocally identified. The chromosomes in the karyotypes were grouped morphologically: meta-

U.S. Copyright Clearance Center Code Statement: 0044-3468/90/5503-0194 \$ 02.50/0

submetacentric (1–10) and acrocentric (11–18). Within these groups the chromosomes were ordered according to their length and matched to their respective banding patterns.

The karyotypes with 2n = 38 (fig. 1: 99, fig. 2:  $\partial \partial$ ) possess 34 chromosomes (10 metasubmetacentric and 7 acrocentric pairs) identical in both sexes with regard to morphology, relative lengths and banding patterns. The four remaining chromosomes (set off in frames in figs. 1 and 2) differentiate the karyotypes of male and females. In the female (fig. 1) the four chromosomes involved in sex differentiation are paired: two submetacentric ones of maximum length form the gonosomal pair  $(X_1X_1)$  and two acrocentric chromosomes form the autosomal pair 14 (X<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub>). By contrast, in the male the four sex-differentiating chromosomes, (marked out at the end of the karyotype) result unpaired due to their lengths or different banding patterns. The longest of these is identical to the X<sub>1</sub> gonosome, while the acrocentric X<sub>2</sub> when applying the same criteria, appears homologous to the qarm of the male  $Y_1$  gonosome in the female, the other one is a long submetacentric chromosome, similar in length to that of pair 2, which has been identified as the male Y<sub>1</sub> gonosome. Finally, there are two smaller acrocentric chromosomes Y<sub>2</sub> and X<sub>2</sub>, of similar length, but unpaired among themselves due to their different banding patterns. The  $Y_2$ , by its relative length and banding patterns, turns out to be homologous to the terminal half of the q-arm of the female  $X_1$  gonosome (fig. 2b). Additionally it is identical to pair 14 ( $X_2$ ) in the female (fig. 1b) in relative length and banding patterns.

The homoeologies of these two acrocentric and unpaired chromosomes support the assumption that the present morphology of the sex chromosomes  $X_1$  and  $Y_1$  are apomorphies originated by the respective translocations of ancestral X and Y gonosomes with two acrocentric autosomes ( $Y_2$  and  $X_2$ ) of different pairs. The translocation between the ancestral X and the autosomal  $Y_2$  would be of the tandem type, by fusion of the telomeric region of the ancestral X with the paracentromeric region of the autosome. This chromosome would then inactivate or lose its centromere and incorporate its genetic material to the neo-X ( $X_1$ ), thus lengthening its q-arm. On the other hand, the translocation between the ancestral Y, which is short and acrocentric, and the autosome  $X_2$  would have to be Robertsonian – centric fusion – and generating the long submetacentric male  $Y_1$  gonosome. Both gonosomes in their present morphology, thus possess two regions: one which corresponds to the ancestral sexual chromosomes – gonosomal region g – and another one corresponding to the translocated autosome – region a – (fig. 2b).

Thus, the actual karyotype,  $\delta 2n = 38 (X_1X_2Y_1Y_2)$ ,  $\Im 2n = 38 (X_1X_1X_2X_2) - according$ to the notation proposed by FREGDA (1970) and Hsu (1979) – preserve the ancestral genetic $material and are balanced as regards both sexes. In the females the autosomal designate <math>Y_2$ pair would be incorporated in the terminal segment of both  $X_1$  gonosomes (double apomorphy), whereas the  $X_2$  pair would make up pair 14 ( $X_2$ ) (double plesiomorphy). In the males, however, the  $Y_2$  pair would have a chromosome incorporated in the female gonosome (simple apomorphy) and the other  $Y_2$  would be free and acrocentric (simple plesiomorphy). Pair 14 ( $X_2$ ) would possess a chromosome from this pair, incorporated in the male  $Y_1$  (male-specific sex chromosome) forming its q-arm (simple apomorphy), and the other acrocentric free  $X_2$  (14) simple plesiomorphy. The  $Y_2$  acrocentric free chromosome is present only in males.

The karyotypes with 2n = 39 only differ from the type with 38 chromosomes in the autosomal pair 1, which present structural heteromorphism – due to Robertsonian translocation (one submetacentric chromosome and two acrocentric chromosomes which are homologous to the arms p and q of the submetacentric) – (fig. 3). The rest of the pairs, including the gonosomal ones, are identical for both variants.

In the analyzed population no 2n = 40 specimens were identified. Theoretically these variants are likely to appear in offspring of inbreeding between 2n = 39 specimens.



*Figs. 1 and 2. 1a:* GTG banded female karyotype of *G. dama mhorr* 2n = 38 (animal n° 258). The four chromosomes involved in sex differentiation are framed out; *1b:* Detail of the sex-differentiating chromosomes from another metaphase. *2a:* Male karyotype of *G. dama mhorr* 2n = 38 (animal n° 370). At the end of the karyotype the four chromosomes involved in sex differentiation are framed out. The free acrocentric autosomes  $X_2$  (14) and  $Y_2$  are paired and aligned with the respective homologous segments in the neogonosomes  $Y_1$  and  $X_1$ ; *2b:* Detail of the male sex-differentiating chromosomes, marking the gonosomal region g and the autosomal region a

GTG-banded karyotype of Gazella dama mhorr Bennett, 1833



*Fig. 3. a:* Male karyotypes of the *G. dama mhorr* 2n = 39 (animal n° 284). Structural heteromorphism in pair 1: one submetacentric and two acrocentric chromosomes, these latter homologous with the p and q arm of the submetacentric; *b:* Detail of pair 1

## Discussion

The comparison of the karyotypes of *G. dama mhorr* and *G. dama dama* Pallas, 1766, (EFFRON et al. 1976) allows the following conclusions:

- 1. Female karyotypes with 2n = 38 are identical in both subspecies (10 meta-submetacentric pairs, 8 acrocentric pairs plus 2 female gonosomes of maximum length with translocations between X and autosomal Y<sub>2</sub>).
- 2. Male karyotypes in turn, are differentiated: a. by karyological parameter 2n (39 in *dama*, 38 in *mhorr*, disregarding at the moment the Robertsonian polymorphism of autosome 1); b. by the morphology and size of the male gonosome (small acrocentric Y<sub>1</sub> in *dama*, and long submetacentric Y<sub>1</sub> in *mhorr*) and c. by the presence of two free autosomes of pair 14 in *dama* and only one free autosome of this pair in *mhorr*.

These three features distinguish the male karyotypes of both subspecies, and are the results of one single chromosomal rearrangement (Robertsonian translocation, centric fusion) between the short acrocentric  $Y_1$  male specific sex chromosome and an autosome of pair 14 in *dama*, which would result in forming the long submetacentric  $Y_1$  gonosome, leaving the other autosome of pair 14 ( $X_2$ ) free, in subspecies *mhorr* and reducing the diploid set to 2n = 38. This rearrangement likewise determines the evolution of male 2n = 40 karyotypes in *dama* towards the 2n = 39 in *mhorr*, which presents structural heteromorphism in both subspecies (due to Robertsonian translocation) in pair 1 (fig. 3). One single evolutionary novelty, the submetacentric apomorphy of the  $Y_1$  gonosome, differentiates the karyotypes of both subspecies.

The comparison of the karyotypes of *mhorr* with *G. soemmeringi* Cretzschmar, 1826, (BENIRSCHKE et al. 1984) permits to establish the following relationships:

197

- 1. Both gonosomes have the same morphology and banding pattern in both members of the *Nanger* subgenus (long submetacentric male gonosome with autosomal translocation Y/X<sub>2</sub> in *mhorr*, X/17 in *soemmeringi*), and the maximum length submetacentric female chromosome X/Y<sub>2</sub> in *mhorr*, X/14 in *soemmeringi*.
- 2. The meta-submetacentric autosomes (1-10) in *mhorr* are identical to the meta-submetacentric ones in *soemmeringi* (16/11; 1-9).
- 3. The acrocentric pairs (11–18) in *mhorr* correspond to the acrocentric pairs (10–20) in *soemmeringi* (the numbering is influenced by the inclusion of the Y<sub>2</sub> and X<sub>2</sub> autosomes), although some individuals of these species are affected by Robertsonian translocations, 13/12 and 18/10.

With regard to the sexual chromosomes of both species, we agree with BENIRSCHKE et al. (1984), in assigning the autosomal region of the female X gonosome to the terminal half of the q-arm. We are, however, in disagreement with these authors regarding the identification of the autosomal region of the male  $Y_1$  gonosome. Whereas they assign it to the short arm in *soemmeringi*, we identify it with the long q-arm in *mborr*.

Finally comparison between the karyotypes of *mhorr* and *G. granti* (EFFRON et al. 1976) allows the establishment of the following relationships:

- 1. The meta-submetacentric pairs in *mhorr* (1–10) are identical to pairs (3, 5, 4, 8, 10, 6, 9, 12, 13 and 14) in *granti*.
- 2. The acrocentric pairs (11-18) of *mhorr*, by means of four Robertsonian translocations, are incorporated in the submetacentric chromosomes 1, 2, 3 and 11 of *granti*.
- 3. The female sex chromosomes are identical in both species; the male gonosome, however, is small and acrocentric and hence identical to *dama*, both being different from the long submetacentric  $Y_1$  in *mborr*.

Table 1 provides a synthetic view of the homoeologies that are assumed to exist among the chromosomes of the karyotypes of *G. dama dama*, *G. granti*, *G. dama mhorr* and *G. soemmeringi*, preserving the nomenclature and numbering of the respective authors.

Based on the hypothesized homoeologies outlined in Table 1, assuming the ancestral nature of the acrocentric morphologies and selecting a minimum number of evolutionary steps (CAMIN and SOKAL 1965), it is possible to establish a hypothetical evolutionary scheme or cladogram (fig. 4) which would relate the four *Nanger* members cytogenetically by means of Robertsonian translocations, (centric fusion). Assuming these criteria as a working hypothesis, the *G. dama* karyotype would then correspond to the ancestral type among the members of the *Nanger* subgenus. Two different branches could have derived from the *dama* karyotype: one which would preserve the morphology of the *dama* sex chromosomes and giving rise to granti, whereas the other, by means of morphological modification of the male gonosome could have developed to *mhorr* and *soemmeringi*.

In one of the branches *dama* and *granti* share the morphology of the sex chromosomes and the meta-submetacentric autosomes of *dama*. Four Robertsonian translocations of the acrocentric pairs 13-12, 17-11, 15-14 and 18-16 in *dama*, would yield four submetacentric apomorphies (1, 2, 8 and 11) in *granti*. The diploid set is thus reduced to 2n = 31 and all chromosomes are meta-submetacentric.

For the other branch, Robertsonian translocation between the Y (small acrocentric) and the autosome of pair 14 in *dama* would generate the submetacentric male  $Y_1$  gonosome in *mhorr*, (the only evolutionary novelty which differentiates both subspecies) whereas they share the morphology and structure of the other chromosomes, and the diploid set in *mhorr* is reduced to 2n = 38. Finally and starting from the *mhorr* karyotype, two Robertsonian translocations involving pairs 13-12 and 17-11 would give rise to the *G. soemmeringi* karyotypes 2n = 34-39. With respect to *dama, mhorr* and *soemmeringi* as a common synapomorphy share the submetacentric neomorphism of the male gonosome.

In the light of the cytogenetic relationships described above and ignoring the possibilities of hybridization among the different members of subgenus Nanger it is legitimate

198



Fig. 4. Hypothetical evolutionary scheme

Table 1.	Presumptive	chromosome	homoeologies	between	<i>G</i> .	dama	mhorr,	G.	dama,	G.	granti
			and G. soen	nmeringi							

G. granti (Effron et al. 1976) 3 2n=31 (Y2 X Y1)	G. dama (Effron et al. 1976) & 2n=39 (Y2 X Y1)	G. dama mhorr (Arroyo et al. 1988) & 2n=38	G. soemmeringi (BENIRSCHKE et al. 1984) 3 2n=37 (14 X Y 17)
(-2 1)	(12111)	(121111112)	(1.1.1.1.)
3-sm	1-sm	1-sm	16/11-sm
5-sm	2-sm	2-sm	1-sm
4-sm	3-sm	3-sm	4-sm
8-sm	4-sm	4-sm	5-sm
10-sm	5-sm	5-sm	3-sm
6-sm	6-sm	6-sm	2-sm
9-m	7-m	7-m	7-m
12-sm	8-sm	8-sm	6-sm
13-sm	9-sm	9-sm	8-sm
14-sm	10-sm	10-sm	9-sm
2q-sm	11-ac	11-ac	10-ac
lq-m	12-ac	12-ac	12-ac 13/12-sm
1p-m	13-ac	13-ac	13-ac 13-12-a
7g-m	14-ac	$X_2$ -ac(14 $\mathcal{Q}$ )	17-ac
7p-m	15-ac	15-ac	19-ac
11g-m	16-ac	16-ac	15-ac
2p-sm	17-ac	17-ac	18-ac
11p-m	18-ac	18-ac	20-ac
Y <sub>2</sub> -ac	Y <sub>2</sub> -ac	Y <sub>2</sub> -ac	14
$X(X/Y_2)$ -sm	$X(X/Y_2)$ -sm	$X_1(X/Y_2)$ -sm	X(X/14)-sm
Y-ac	Y-ac	$Y_1(Y/X_2)$ -sm	Y(Y/17)-sm

m = metacentric, sm = submetacentric, ac = acrocentric, p = short arm, q = long arm, Homoeology = partial or residual homology

to raise the question whether its four members actually are differentiated species or simply four karyotypic races of G. dama.

On the other hand, it would be interesting to know, whether the cytogenetically most closely related Nanger members occupy adjacent geographical areas. The lack of data about the geographical origin of the experimental animals, however, makes it impossible to correlate both variables.

The anomalies encountered in the sexual chromosomes of G. dama mhorr, especially in male specimens, with two free autosomes in different pairs homoeologous with the autosomal regions of both sex chromosomes,  $X_1Y_1$ , allow the assumption that a quadrivalent complex is generated during gametogenesis, consisting of four morphologically differentiated elements: the two sexual chromosomes and the autosomes X2 and Y2. This quadrivalent is likely to cause segregational problems during the first meiotic division with the consequential effects on fertility and the viability of descendants. As a matter of fact, the populations under study present reproduction problems together with considerable perinatal mortality. Hence a meiotic study would constitute a compulsory task for the future.

Mating has been performed randomly to date. Yet, since the karyotypes of the 55 experimental animals are now known, future matings will be guided, in order to match 38×38, 38×39 and 39×39. We are especially interested in inbreeding between hybrids with 2n = 39, i.e. with a heteromorphous pair 1, consisting of a submetacentric chromosome and two acrocentrics. The descendants thus inbred in theory could yield individuals with 2n = 40, e.i. specimens in which the submetacentric pair 1 of 2n = 38 individuals would be represented by two acrocentric pairs.

#### Zusammenfassung

#### GTG-Banden-Karyotyp von Gazella dama mhorr Bennett, 1833. Zytogenetische Beziehungen zu anderen Vertretern der Untergattung Nanger

Der GTG-Banden-Karyotyp von G. dama mhorr wird beschrieben. Er zeigt einen ungewöhnlichen geschlechtsbestimmenden Mechanismus ( $\delta \delta = X_1 X_2 Y_1 Y_2$  und  $\Im = X_1 X_1 X_2 X_2$ ) und zwei Varianten des Parameters 2n (42 Exemplare haben 2n = 38 und die übrigen 13 Tiere zeigen Heteromorphismus im Paar 1, also 2n = 39). Aus der vergleichenden Gegenüberstellung dieser Karyotypen mit denen von *G. dama dama, G. granti* und *G. soemmeringi* wird das zytogenetische Verhältnis abgeleitet, das für diese vier Vertreter der Untergattung Nanger besteht.

#### References

ARROYO NOMBELA, J. J.; RODRIGUEZ MURCIA, C. (1977): Spontaneous double Robertsonian translocations Rb (2.3) and Rb (X.3) in the mouse. Cytogenet. Cell Genét. 19, 227-230.

BENIRSCHKE, K.; KUMAMOTO, A. T.; OLSEN, J. H.; WILLIAMS, M. M.; OOSTERHUIS, J. (1984): On the chromosomes of *Gazella soemmeringi* Cretzschmar 1826. Z. Säugetierkunde 49, 368–373. CABRERA, A. (1932): Mamíferos de Marruecos. Madrid. Trab. Mus. Nac. Cien. Natur. 350–352.

CAMIN, J. H.; SOKAL, R. R. (1965): A method for deducing branching sequencies in phylogeny. Evolution 19, 311-326.

CANO PEREZ, M. (1984): Revision der Systematik von Gazella (Nanger) dama. Z. Kölner Zoo 27, 103-107.

EFFRON, M.; BOGART, M. H.; KUMAMOTO, A. T.; BENIRSCHKE, K. (1976): Chromosome studies in the mammalian subfamily Antilopinae. Genetica 46, 419-444.

EIGENER, W.; SCHLIEMANN, H. (1983): Anmerkungen zu der irrtümlicherweise als Mhorr-Gazelle bezeichneten Gazella dama aus der spanischen Sahara. Zool. Garten 53, 313-316.

FREGDA, K. (1970): Unusual sex chromosomes inheritance in mammals. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. 259, 15-36.

FURLEY, CH. W.; TICHY, H.; UERPMANN, H. P. (1988): Systematics and chromosomes of the Indian Gazelle, Gazella bennetti (Sykes 1831). Z. Säugetierkunde 53, 48-54.

GROVES, C. P. (1969): On the smaller gazelles of the genus Gazella de Blainville, 1816. Z. Säugetierkunde 34, 38-60.

- Hsu, T. C. (1979): Anomalous sex chromosomes system. In: Human and Mammalian Cytogenetics. Ed. by T. C. Hsu. New York, Heidelberg, Berlin: Springer Verlag, 77–82
- MORALES AGACINO, E. (1949): Datos y observaciones sobre ciertos mamíferos del Sahara Occidental e Ifni. Bol. Real Soc. Esp. Hist. Nat. 47, 13–44.
- NELSON-REES, W. A.; KNIAZEFF, A. J.; DARBY, N. B.; MALLEY, R. I. (1967): Chromosome of a male gazelle, *Gazella thomsoni* and a female tapir, *Tapirus terrestris columbianus*. Mammal. Chrom. Newsletter 8, 229–230.
- SEABRIGHT, M. (1972): The use of proteolytic enzymes for the mapping of structural rearrangements in the chromosomes of man. Chromosoma 36, 204–210.
- WAHRMAN, J.; RICHLER, C.; GOITIEN, R.; HOROWITZ, A.; MENDELSSOHN, H. (1973): Multiple sex chromosome evolution hybridization and differential X-chromosome inactivation in gazelles. Chromosomes Today 42, 434–435.
- WURSTER, D. H. (1972): Sex chromosome translocations and karyotypes in bovid tribes. Cytogenetics 11, 197–207.
- Authors' addresses: Dr. J. J. ARROYO NOMBELA and Dr. C. RODRIGUEZ MURCIA, Laboratorio de Citogenética Animal, C.S.I.C. c/ Serrano, 113, Madrid 28006; Dr. T. ABAIGAR and Dr. J. VERICAD, Estación Experimental de Zonas Aridas de Almería C.S.I.C. c/ General Segura, 1 Almería, España



Nombela, J J Arroyo et al. 1990. "GTG-banded karyotype of Gazella dama mhorr Bennett, 1833 Cytogenetic relationship with other members of the subgenus Nanger." *Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde : im Auftrage der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Säugetierkunde e.V* 55, 194–201.

View This Item Online: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/163746</u> Permalink: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/191950</u>

**Holding Institution** Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

**Sponsored by** Biodiversity Heritage Library

**Copyright & Reuse** Copyright Status: In Copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder. Rights Holder: Deutsche Gesellschaft für Säugetierkunde License: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/</u> Rights: <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions/</u>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.