CLADONIA PIEDMONTENSIS f. LEPIDIFERA (Vainio) Robbins (p. 491). Essex (1931), Granby (*Musch & Evans*, 1930), Madison (1931), North Branford (1931), and Old Saybrook (1931).

\*Cladonia piedmontensis f. squamosissima Robbins, Rhodora 31:

104. pl. 187, f. 13. 1929.

Among mosses in an old field, Old Saybrook (1931).

The podetia of this form are densely squamulose, with sterile or sparsely fruited tips.

Collections of Cladoniae have now been made in 99 of the Connecticut towns, leaving a residue of 70 towns still to be heard from. At the close of 1928 collections had been made in 95 towns, so that only 4 new towns have been added to the list. Most of the progress made during the past three years is, in consequence, based on the more intensive exploration of certain towns from which Cladoniae had already been recorded.

In the 1930 report (p. 498) 18 towns were listed in each of which 16 or more species had been collected; this number is now increased to 23. The town standing at the head of the list was North Canaan, with 27 species to its credit; this position is now occupied by the town of North Branford, with 35 species. The other towns, with over 20 species each to their credit, are the following: Madison, 33 species; Old Saybrook, 32; North Canaan and North Haven, 27 each; Branford, 26; East Hampton 25; Bethany and Stamford, 23 each; Beacon Falls, Essex, and Wallingford, 22 each; and Lyme, 21.

YALE UNIVERSITY.

# BARTONIA; A COMEDY OF ERRORS

### M. L. FERNALD and C. A. WEATHERBY

The little gentianaceous genus *Bartonia* was clearly and very fully described by Muhlenberg<sup>1</sup> in 1801, with a single species, *B. tenella* Willd. In 1803, Michaux<sup>2</sup> independently described the genus as *Centaurella* with two species, *C. verna* and *C. paniculata*, both clearly illustrated. It was subsequently shown that *B. tenella* Willd.<sup>3</sup> (1801)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Muhl. in Willd. Ges. Naturf. Freunde Berlin, Neue Schrift. iii. 444 (1801).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 97, 98, t. 12, figs. 1 and 2 (1803).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The binomial *B. tenella* is often ascribed to Muhlenberg but, when he described it Willdenow, who credited the generic name to Muhlenberg, said nothing of Muhlenberg's having given the specific name as well. Willdenow said in introducing the specific diagnosis: "Es ist mir nur eine Art bekannt nemlich: BARTONIA *tenella*." It would seem that Willdenow should stand as author of the binomial.

was Sagina virginica L. Sp. Pl. 128 (1753) and the resulting combination B. virginica (L.) BSP. Prel. Cat. N. Y. 36 (1888) replaced B. Besides B. virginica two other species occur in the eastern United States: B. verna and B. paniculata. In the first volume of Index Kewensis the former, by some inexplicable interpretation, appears as B. "verna, Muhl. ex A. Gray, in Chapm. Fl. S. U. St. 357"; while B. paniculata is not cited at all. Search in Chapman's first edition (1860) fails to reveal any connection of Gray with the work, except in a general advisory capacity acknowledged in the Preface; and B. verna, correctly ascribed to Muhlenberg, had been in Gray's Manual, ed. 2: 347 (1857).

In 1903, Small pointed out that two quite distinct species were confused under the name Bartonia virginica and he separated upon characters which have proved very constant, B. lanceolata Small, Fl. Se. U. S. 932 (1903). Subsequently, finding that Centaurella paniculata Michx. (1803) is Small's plant, Robinson renamed it B. paniculata (Michx.) Robinson, Rhodora, x. 35 (1908). As already stated, the name B. paniculata is not found in the first volume of Index Kewensis, consequently the faith in that work, usually so thoroughly justified, has led to the perpetuation of the combination B. paniculata (Michx.) Robinson. Singularly enough, however, Muhlenberg made the proper combination on the same page with B. verna (Michx.) Muhl. Ordinarily the names in Muhlenberg's Catalogus are ignored as nomina nuda or nomina subnuda, but in the case of Bartonia there is no question as to what was meant. Bartonia had already been published by Muhlenberg with full description; and in his Catalogus (1813), p. 16, Muhlenberg gave it the generic synonym Centaurella Michx. and cited two species, verna and paniculata. These, of course, were Centaurella verna and paniculata of Michaux, properly transferred by Muhlenberg. Consequently, the binomials and their proper citations are

Bartonia verna (Michx.) Muhl. Cat. 16 (1813). Centaurella verna Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 98, t. 12, fig. 2 (1803).

B. PANICULATA (Michx.) Muhl. l. c. (1813). Centaurella paniculata Michx. l. c. fig. 1 (1803).

The gentianaceous genus Bartonia Muhl. (1801), dedicated to Benjamin Smith Barton, ran into opposition. As already noted, Linnaeus had included it under Sagina! Michaux, apparently unfamiliar with Muhlenberg's publication, had independently published the genus as Centaurella (1803); and Persoon, Syn. i. 137 (1805) renamed it Centaurium (not Centaurium Hill). In 1812, Sims, however, described an entirely different *Bartonia* (now merged with *Mentzelia*) and Nuttall (1817) took this up and retained *Centaurella* for *Bartonia* Muhl. Such treatment justly roused the ire of Rafinesque and of Amos Eaton.

In 1818 Rafinesque,<sup>4</sup> in his criticism of Pursh's Flora, discussing no. 20 of "some of the most glaring errors adopted or introduced therein," said: "He adopts the erroneous generic name of Centaurella, Mx. instead of Bartonia, Wil[l]d. while Bartonia is an anterior name. . . . while Messrs. Pursh, Nuttall and Sims have given the name Bartonia to another new genus. The best means of correcting those blunders, is to leave the name of Bartonia to the genus to which it was first applied, annulling altogether the erroneous names of Centaurella and Centaurium, and giving to the new Bartonia the name of Nuttalloe [evidently a compositor's rendering of Nuttallia]."<sup>5</sup>

In 1822, discussing Bartonia paniculata (Michx.) Muhl., "Screwstem," Eaton said "It is thought best to retain this name, until the fancies of our verbifacient botanists shall become so nearly stationary, that one or two changes more may settle upon this little plant a permanent name." In 1829 Eaton went a step further and renamed Bartonia "Nuttall" (i. e. Sims) Torreya,7 the fourth use of this ill-fated name. In 1833 Eaton was still adamant: "I follow Muhlenberg still in the name of this elegant little plant; because it is his discovery and his name. No convention among botanists is of sufficient authority to change an established name. This plant is common where Barton and Muhlenberg earned their reputation, and it is not to the advantage of either, to drive this plant to the genus Sagina, Centaurella, or Mr. Nuttall consented to my applying Torreya to his Bartonia, ornata and nuda, which privilege I asked at his botanical garden in Cambridge, in May, 1820, on account of the Bartonia paniculata being immoveably established. I shall make no changes at present."8 But, in spite of his brave fight through many years, Eaton weakened in his old age and in his seventh edition (1836)

<sup>4</sup> Raf. Am. Mo. Mag. ii. 175 (Jan. 1818).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Further to complicate matters, Nuttallia Raf. (1818), a substitute name for Bartonia Sims (not Muhl.) of the Loasaceae, appears in Index Kewensis (as Nuttalla) and in Dalla Torre & Harms in the generic synonymy of Bartonia Muhl. of the Gentianaceae! Incidentally, B. pubescens Raf., appearing in Index Kewensis as probably equivalent to the gentianaceous B. verna, is a Mentzelia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Eaton, Man. Bot. ed. 3: 202 (1822).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Eaton, Man. Bot. ed. 5: 420 (1829). The genus *Torreya* Eaton has not been entered in *Index Kewensis* nor Pfeiffer's *Nomenclator*; and its original place of publication is erroneously stated in Wats. Bibl. Index i. 391 and, following him, by Dalla Torre & Harms.

<sup>8</sup> Eaton, Man. Bot. ed. 6: 51 (1833).

1952]

abandoned Bartonia Muhl. in favor of Centaurella and took up Bartonia Sims.

As if the gentianaceous genus had not names enough already, Sprengel, Syst. i. 368 and 428 (1825), substituted for *Bartonia Muhl.* and *Centaurella Michx*. the new name *Andrewsia*, the fourth name for the genus and the second use of *Andrewsia*.

The status of the generic names here discussed is briefly summarized as follows:

Bartonia Muhl. in Willd. Ges. Naturf. Freunde Berlin, Neue Schrift. iii. 444 (1801). Centaurella Michx. Fl. Bor.-Am. i. 97, 98, t. 12, figs. 1 and 2 (1803). Centaurium Pers. Syn. i. 137 (1805), not Hill (1756). Andrewsia Spreng. Syst. i. 368 and 428 (1825), not Andreusia

Vent. (1804). GENTIANACEAE.

Bartonia Sims, Bot. Mag. xxxvi. t. 1487 (1812); Pursh, Fl. Am. Sept. i. 327 (1814); Nutt. Gen. i. 297 (1817); not Muhl. (1801). Nuttallia (misprinted Nuttallæ) Raf. Am. Mo. Mag. ii. 175 (Jan., 1818)). Torreya<sup>9</sup> Eaton, Man. Bot. ed. 5: 420 (1829), not Raf. (1818), nor Raf. (1819), nor Spreng. (1821). Generally merged with Mentzelia L. of the LOASACEAE.

Notes on the Flora of Boothbay, Maine—III.—Pogonia ophioglossoides (L.) Ker, f. albiflora Rand & Redfield. In Sphagnum, Ocean Point.

Rubus idaeus L., var. Heterolasius Fernald, Rhodora xxi. 97 (1919). This seems to be the common raspberry just above the line of bare rock along the coast in the Boothbay region. I have collected it on an exposed bank near the sea-margin, Ocean Point, on a wind-swept hilltop on Fisherman Island, in a thicket near the sea at Cape Newagen, Southport, and on sea-cliffs at Small Point in Sagadahoc County. Also in rather open dry ground, half a mile from the sea, at

The name Nuttallia Torr. & Gray (1840), often maintained for a rosaceous genus, is antedated by Nuttallia Raf. (1818), Nuttallia DC. (1821), Nuttallia Spreng. (1821), and Nuttallia Barton (1822). Nuttallia Torr. & Gray has become Osmaronia Greene

(1891).

The name Torreya Arn. (1838) for an important taxaceous genus of great pale-ontological interest is upset by the recent adoption of the homonym rule. If Torreya Arn. is to be retained against Torreya Raf. (1818), Torreya Raf. (1819), Torreya Spreng. (1821) and Torreya Eaton (1829), it will be necessary to conserve it. For Torreya Arn. many authors have taken up Tumion Raf. Amen. Nat. 63 (1840), which was a direct renaming of Arnott's Torreya. Other substitutes for different genera called Torreya which have failed of admission to standard bibliographies, are: for Torreya Barton (Malvaceae) Aigosplen Raf. Amen. Nat. 62 (1840), Rafinesque, obviously meaning this as a substitute for Torreya Barton, although he said "of Eaton"; for Torreya Spreng. (referred to Clerodendron) Patulix Raf. l. c. (1840).



Fernald, Merritt Lyndon and Weatherby, Charles Alfred. 1932. "BARTONIA; A COMEDY OF ERRORS." *Rhodora* 34, 164–167.

View This Item Online: <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/14504">https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/14504</a>

Permalink: <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/188529">https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/188529</a>

### **Holding Institution**

Missouri Botanical Garden, Peter H. Raven Library

## Sponsored by

Missouri Botanical Garden

#### **Copyright & Reuse**

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder.

License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Rights: <a href="https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions">https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions</a>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.