NOTES ON TEXAS BEES

By H. B. Parks, San Antonio, Texas.

Lithurgus.

During the spring of 1928 Mr. Geo. P. Engelhardt, of the Brooklyn Museum, visited this Laboratory. While here he examined the local collection of Hymenoptera and suggested that he take a selection from the group to Dr. Herbert F. Schwarz, of the American Museum of Natural History, for identification. Under the date of Dec. 11, 1928, Dr. Schwarz writes, that among the bees sent is one male of *Lithurgus bruesi* Mitchell, a bee just described by Dr. Theo. B. Mitchell, and as the female is unknown it would be well to collect all of the species seen.

On the advice of Dr. Schwarz, the *Megachile* in the collection here were sent to Dr. Mitchell for determination. In giving the

names of the bees sent, he writes on February 5, 1930:

"The most interesting specimen in the lot is the male of Lithurgus. It so happens that there was also a single male of this same species in the lot which you sent me previously, and these two are the only specimens other than the type which I have seen. The type was collected at Austin by Professor Brues for whom I named it. This may possibly be the long-tongued Megachilid you mentioned seeing among your specimens, as the tongue of Lithurgus is extremely long."

Accompanying Dr. Mitchell's original description of L. bruesi

M. is this note.

"Type: Male (Type No. 15710, Mus. Com. Zool.); Austin, Texas (C. T. Brues collector)."

According to this information there were on February 5, 1930, the followwing known specimens of *L. bruesi*:

I. The type.

- 2. A specimen collected at the Laboratory, but in the collection of Dr. Mitchell.
- 3. Two specimens, one identified by Schwarz and one by Mitchell in the collection here. The type and the two specimens here bear no date, the one in the Mitchell collection has a date of April 27, 1929. All specimens are males.

This information was the incentive for an intensive, prolonged, but successful search. The local collections of insects as well as

those at the University of Texas and A. & M. College furnished no other specimens. Dr. Mitchell suggested that as Lithurgus gibbosus was found on cactus flowers, L. bruesi also might be found there. A review of the literature showed that Cresson reported three species, Lithurgus gibbosus Sm., L. compressus Sm., and L. apicalis Cress. from Texas, yet no species of Lithurgus is listed in "Hymenoptera Texana," the bulk of whose mentioned species were collected in this part of Texas. It was therefore apparent that there were two species to look for.

The first of the cacti comes into bloom here about March 1st, and a succession of species furnish continued bloom until June 15th. Preparation was made to collect specimens of all insects visiting the cacti blooms during the spring of 1930. The spring was backward. The weather report shows that the temperature was much below normal and the number of cloudy days as well as the number on which rain fell much above normal. Nevertheless, the rainfall was far below normal. All these conditions were adverse to bees and cacti, both of which like hot sunshine and lots of it.

Although many bees were captured *Lithurgus* was not found until May 12th, when *Opuntia lindheimeri*, our most numerous cactus, was at the end of its bloom. The final count of its insect visitors was being made to get the per cent. of *Apis mellifica* L., when late in the afternoon a number of specimens of *Lithurgus* were captured. The next day no *O. lindheimeri* flowers opened, but *Lithurgus* was discovered collecting pollen on *Opuntia ellisiana*, the cultivated spineless cactus. The afternoon of the same day this bee was caught sucking nectar from *Monarda* and *Vitex*. All species of plants in bloom were watched and all-day observations made. No new food plants were found. The last *Lithurgus* was seen on June 4th, the same day *O. ellisiana* dropped its last bloom.

Table I gives the list of plants observed, their blooming dates, and number of species of insect visitors.

Table 2 the dates of capture of *Lithurgus* and the numbers of each sex.

Table 3 the dates of blooming and capture of Lithurgus.

As soon as a series of *Lithurgus* was collected it was easily seen that there were at least two and perhaps more species or forms

present. A selection sent to Dr. Mitchell confirmed this belief, as L. gibbosus composed about half the material and L. bruesi the remainder. L. compressus was thought to be present and was, as Dr. Mitchell writes that this is a synonym for the male of gibbosus. Thus in this intensive search two species, one not taken before, at this Laboratory, and the unknown female of bruesi have been found.

The general appearance of the two species is so close that it is impossible to tell them apart on the flowers. The female on alighting in a cactus flower rests on the stamens for an interval then pushes her head against the pistil column and goes down to the nectary at the base. While in this position the legs and plates of the abdomen are worked combing out the pollen. While thus occupied the bees are easily captured by placing the killing bottle over the flower. In the series secured this spring the net was never used on cactus flowers. The male will alight on the cactus flowers, go around the pistil looking for a female, and if none is present will clasp the pistil column, head up and remain motionless for several minutes. They too are most easily taken by placing the bottle over them. The pollen of cactus is mostly gone by noon and the flowers close early. None or very few *Lithurgus* were taken on cactus after 12:00 noon.

In the afternoon both males and females collected nectar on *Monarda* and *Vitex*. On these plants they were very wild and hard to catch. From the large amount of pollen collected, nests must have occurred in the vicinity but a detailed search failed to find them. These bees fly very rapidly and could not be "coursed" like honey bees.

Owing to the fact that seven years of collecting here produced only four males of this genus and that no specimens could be found in nearby Texas collections, and that this year, in three weeks' time, one hundred and twenty-nine specimens representing two species including the undescribed female of *L. bruesi* Mitchell were taken, leads to the conclusion, supported by other evidence, that certain insects are governed in their life activities by peculiar optimum weather conditions, so that when this condition exists they are present. When the condition does not exist they continue in the pupal stage until the reoccurrence of this condition, be it one or many years.

TABLE I.

LIST OF PLANTS OBSERVED, BLOOMING DATES AND INSECT VISITORS.

Plant	In Bloom— Out of Bloom	No. Species of Insect Visitors
Mamillaria heyderi Muhleuff Echinocactus texensis Hoepf Echinocactus caespitosus Eng and Gray Opuntia macrorhiza Engelm. Opuntia lindheimeri Engelm. Opuntia leptocaulis D. C. Opuntia ellisiana Griff. Echinocactus setispinus Engelm Monarda punctata L. Monarda citriodora Cerv. Vitex negundo incisa	April 10–April 17 gl April 10–April 16 April 25–May 10 April 15–May 12 May 14–June 15 May 13–June 4 1. June 1–June 4 April 16–May 23 April 16–May 23	5 6 2 8 8 including Lithurgus 5 7 including Lithurgus 4 " " 10 " " 10 " " 18 " "

TABLE II.

DISTRIBUTION, PERCENT OF SEX, AND WEATHER RELATION.

Lithurgus g	Lithurgus gibbosus Sm.			Lithu	rgus bru	esi Mitchell
Date	\$	8	9	8	Total	Weather
May 12	I	7	2	3	13	Cloudy
13	2	2 5 5	I		5 9	Cloudy
14	2	5	2			Cloudy
15	6	5	I	I	13	Part Cloudy
16	I		4		5	Cloudy
17						Cloudy, Rain
18						Part Cloudy, Rain
19	I	4	3 9		8	Clear
20	4		9		13	Clear
21						Part Cloudy
22	5	I	12	2	20	Clear
23	2			J	2	Part Cloudy
24	2		I		3	Part Cloudy
25	I				I	Clear
26	II	I	I		13	Clear
27	6		4		10	Part Cloudy
28						Rain, Cloudy
29	I		I	1	2	Cloudy
30	2				2	Part Cloudy
31	4		3		7	Cloudy
June 1	I	I			2	Clear
2						Part Cloudy
3						Clear
4	I				I	Part Cloudy
					129	
					129	

TABLE III

May 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 2 Total	00									JAME !		
tia heimeri —	10 20	21 22 23	3 24	22	26	27 28	3 29	30	31	1 2	2 3	4
tia heimeri — — — — — — — No. Lithurgus 13	,											
imeri elm. o. Lithurgus	8 13	20	2 3	Ι	13	10	(1	77	1	7		H
Opuntia												
ellisiana Griff. No. Lithurgus 3 5 5 3 4	4 13	20 2	2 3	П	13	10	6	71	7	0		П
* Opuntia												
D. C. No. Lithurgus												
Monarda												
punctata L. No. Lithurgus I 2 3 I	I											
	1			-								
citriodora Cerv.												
I 3 I 2	7						-					
Vitex												
negundo incisa No Tithussus	F											
						17: 1 3 - 7 1: U 1:	17: I J			1		1 50

*Opuntia leptocaules D. C. is carried in this table because it was in bloom during the flight of Lithurgus, but no specimens were seen on this plant.



Parks, H B. 1930. "Notes on Texas bees." *Bulletin of the Brooklyn Entomological Society* 25, 263–267.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/204905

Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/177845

Holding Institution

Smithsonian Libraries and Archives

Sponsored by

Biodiversity Heritage Library

Copyright & Reuse

Copyright Status: In Copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder

Rights Holder: New York Entomological Society

License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/ Rights: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions/

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.