Phytologia (February 1994) 76(2):85-95.

REPARTITION OF *MAIRIA* (ASTERACEAE: ASTEREAE)

Guy L. Nesom

Department of Botany, University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78713 U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

The genus Mairia Nees, as recently treated or discussed, includes three disparate elements, each essentially restricted to South Africa. The typical element of the genus comprises three species and is here hypothesized to be a member of the subtribe Hinterhuberinae. One of the remaining species is treated within the genus Gymnostephium as G. papposum Nesom, nom. nov. (= Mairia corymbosa Bolus, not G. corymbosum [Turcz.] Harvey). The other ten species, including both blue/white-rayed and yellow-rayed taxa, are treated as Zyrphelis Cass. (including Homochroma DC.). In addition to the nomenclature already available for Zyrphelis, four new combinations are proposed: Z. angolensis, Z. decumbens, Z. montana, and Z. perezioides. Zyrphelis is closely related to Gymnostephium and Polyarrhena, as well as to Felicia and other genera primarily centered in South Africa, but it is distantly related to the Hinterhuberinae. Taxonomic summaries are presented for Mairia, Zyrphelis, and Gymnostephium.

KEY WORDS: Mairia, Zyrphelis, Gymnostephium, Polyarrhena, Hinterhuberinae, Astereae, Asteraceae

The genus *Mairia* Nees has been recognized by Grau (1971, 1973) as a member of the group of primarily South African genera closely related to *Felicia* Cass. Zhang & Bremer (1993) did not comment on the definition of *Mairia* but accepted Grau's phylogenetic disposition of the genus, positioning it within the group of related genera, including *Felicia*, that they referred to as the "Amellus [L.] group." While it is apparent that most of the species currently treated as *Mairia* are part of the Amellus group, Harvey (1865, p. 64) noted that the genus comprises "plants associated by an artificial character, the feathery pappus," and followed earlier botanists, including Lessing and DeCandolle, in recognizing infrageneric groups. Grau (1971, 1973, 1977) also

observed that *Mairia* is artificial and composed of subgroups united by the production of plumose pappus bristles on the ray and disc achenes. Grau's opinion (1971) that these subgroups should be separated was unequivocal in a "flow-chart" (p. 278) showing the disposition of a small set of generic level taxa. In that chart he indicated that *Mairia* should be divided into two groups: *Mairia* and *Zyrphelis* Cass. These evidently correspond to "*Mairia* group II" of his Figure 3 (1971, p. 277). The present study confirms the polyphyletic nature of *Mairia*.

Nees (1832) originally recognized two species within Mairia (M. crenata and M. perezioides, see taxonomic summary below). DeCandolle (1836) recognized the segregate Homochroma DC. but consolidated a number of other species into Mairia. Homochroma was subsequently incorporated into Mairia by Harvey (1865). Kuntze (1891) recognized that Cassini's name Zyrphelis predates Mairia, and, apparently assuming that all of the species involved represent a single natural group, he made a number of nomenclatural transfers from the latter to the former. The two taxa, however, are heterotypic and their names apply to two of the species groups within Mairia that are here hypothesized to be of different phyletic affinity.

Mairia is here reduced to a genus of three species; other species heretofore treated as Mairia are distributed between Zyrphelis and Gymnostephium, which appear to be closely related between themselves but not to Mairia sensu stricto. Following is a synoptic account of the three species groups among those taxa generally recognized as Mairia (sensu lato), with an indication of their disposition proposed in the present study. A taxonomic summary of the species of each genus is presented at the end of the paper.

 Herbs with a vestiture of flexuous, thin-based hairs; stems monocephalous, scapose; leaves thick, broadly obovate (10-35 mm wide), in a basal rosette; heads 15-25 mm wide; phyllaries completely herbaceous, flat; ray flowers with broad (3-5 mm), weakly coiling ligules, commonly with staminodia; disc flowers with fertile ovaries; achenes narrowly oblong to oblong-oblanceolate, (2-3-)4-6-nerved, flattened but plump, the surface tan at maturity, glandular and often densely strigose-sericeous, the achenial "twin-hairs" with terminal cells highly uneven in length and strongly divergent; pappus persistent, of 1-2 series of plumose bristles, or with inner series of bristles and an outer series of long scales.

..... Mairia

 Subshrubs or basally lignescent herbs with vestiture of stout, thick-based, usually spreading hairs, sometimes also lightly tomentose; stems prominently branching with numerous heads, less commonly monocephalous; leaves linear, almost all cauline or less commonly mostly basally disposed; heads 3-12(-18) mm wide; phyllaries with broad, scarious margins,

- 2. Fertile achenes moderately to densely strigose-sericeous with filiform hairs; rays white to blue, or yellow; pappus of ray achenes similar to that of disc (sterile) achenes, of plumose bristles. Zyrphelis

The position of Zyrphelis and Gymnostephium

A diagram of relationships among genera of the Amellus group by Grau (1973, p. 251) indicates "Mairia pro parte" (certainly referring to Zyrphelis) most closely resembles the genus Gymnostephium, although the diagram implies that Mairia p.p. and Gymnostephium are each perhaps derived independently from species of Felicia. Indeed, Gymnostephium is similar to typical Zyrphelis in features of habit and vestiture as well as leaf, floral, and achenial morphology. The two genera are notably similar in the consistent ovarian sterility of their disc flowers (except for Z. perezioides), their minutely pebbled achene surfaces, and their production of plumose pappus bristles; both are genera mostly restricted to South Africa.

The features common to Zyrphelis and Gymnostephium that are divergent from Mairia are congruent with morphology characteristic of the Amellus group. Especially notable among these features are the caducous pappus elements, usually a single series of bristles (of scales and bristles in Amellus, Chrysocoma L., and Engleria O. Hoffm., but the bristles still basally caducous); some species of Felicia, however, have persistent bristles. Disc flowers with abortive ovaries occur in all species of Gymnostephium Less., all but one of Zyrphelis, and some species of Felicia, Polyarrhena Cass., and Nolletia Cass. Achenes in the Amellus group are mostly obovate and flat with two, thickened, lateral ribs; they are eglandular, except in Nolletia, where achene surfaces are "pocked" and glandular-appearing. Gymnostephium is distinctive in its reduced pappus, the bristles varying from barbellate to slightly or prominently plumose: the disc achenes (sterile) usually have 2-3 bristles, while the ray achenes are either epappose or produce 1-2 bristles. In Zyrphelis, the pappus of both ray and disc achenes is represented by a single series of 8-12 bristles.

One species of Felicia, which represents the monotypic genus Charieis Cass. (C. heterophylla Cass. = F. heterophylla [Cass.] Grau), also has plumose pappus bristles, although the plumose lateral extensions are produced mostly on the upper half of the bristles, compared to the entire length of the bristles in Zyrphelis and Gymnostephium. The ray achenes of Charieis are epappose and also are commonly sterile. Charieis has been transferred into a subgroup of Felicia (Grau 1973) where it appears to fit in chromosome number and other aspects of morphology. Outside of the African species, plumose pappus bristles occur in Monoptilon Torr. & Gray of the western United States and, to a reduced degree, in few species of Vittadinia A. Rich. of the Australasian region. Monoptilon is similar in many respects to the Amellus group and apparently closely related to it (Nesom in prep.); Vittadinia is not closely related to either the Amellus group or to Mairia sensu stricto and its putative relatives, as hypothesized here.

The faces of mature achenes of Gymnostephium and Zyrphelis become minutely pebbled, an easily visible feature with $10-20 \times$ magnification. Grau (1971) described these distinctive epidermal cells of Mairia achenes as "plasterlike." The most reliable distinction between Zyrphelis and Gymnostephium is in the nature of their achenial vestiture. The achenes of Zyrphelis are strigosesericeous with long, filiform twin-hairs; achenes of Gymnostephium (for the most part) are densely white-papillate with short twin-hairs with a roundedclavate apex. Achenes of G. gracile Less., G. fruticosum DC., and G. leve Bolus commonly are glabrous, but those of G. leve sometimes produce very short hairs with minutely divergent apices. Another aspect of achenial variation among Gymnostephium species is discussed below in connection with Polyarrhena.

The nature of achenial vestiture in Gymnostephium is more conservative than features of the pappus (number of bristles on ray and disc, degree of "plumosity"). Based on its achenial vestiture, Mairia corymbosa Harvey is transferred in the present paper to Gymnostephium, as the achenes of M. corymbosa are identical to those of most species of Gymnostephium (including the typical ones) in their densely white-papillate faces. Harvey (1865, p. 66) noted that M. corymbosa is "Almost identical in aspect and foliage with Gymnostephium corymbosum [Harvey], but with a very different involucre and copious feathery pappus." The latter species has hispidulous peduncles and phyllaries (vs. glabrous or glabrate in M. corymbosa) and pappus bristles with lateral (plumose) extensions much shorter than M. corymbosa. There is variability, however, in pappus "plumosity" among other species of Gymnos-

88

Nesom:

tephium, and there is variation in vegetative vestiture among species of both Gymnostephium and Zyrphelis. The epithet ("papposa") for the newly transferred species refers to the relatively greater number (within Gymnostephium) of pappus bristles on each achene.

The ray flowers of all species of Gymnostephium produce white to bluish ligules. Within Zyrphelis, the yellow-rayed Z. ecklonis (DC.) Kuntze, Z. lasiocarpa (DC.) Kuntze, and Z. angolensis (Chiov.) Nesom are distinct from the otherwise blue- or white-rayed species. Zyrphelis ecklonis was originally described as the monotypic genus Homochroma DC., but Harvey (1865) noted that there is essentially nothing except ray color to separate this species from its closest relatives (within Zyrphelis), and this synonymy has been followed in recent accounts of South African genera.

Resemblance of Zyrphelis and Gymnostephium to Polyarrhena

Zyrphelis and Gymnostephium are similar to the genus Polyarrhena (Grau 1970) in habit, vestiture (all have a tendency to produce stipitate-glandularity), leaf morphology, and particularly their tendency to produce disc flowers with sterile ovaries. These three genera appear to constitute a natural group apart from *Felicia*. Polyarrhena differs from Zyrphelis and Gymnostephium in its ligules with a purple, abaxial midstripe (vs. without a midstripe), mature achenes of tan color (vs. black) with smooth, glabrous surfaces (vs. minutely pebbled and strigose-sericeous, papillate, or glabrous) and with a conspicuous, apical collar of light-colored tissue, apparently an outgrowth of the top of the achene wall (vs. without a collar), and serrate pappus bristles (vs. bristles plumose to serrate).

The resemblance between Polyarrhena and Gymnostephium is emphasized by the observation of what appears to be an incipiently but distinctly formed apical collar on both ray and disc achenes of G. leve (e.g., Esterhuysen 33167- MO!). The plants of this collection produce glabrous, smooth-faced achenes, and while the apical outgrowth is not as thick as the collar found on achenes of typical Polyarrhena, they appear to be homologous. The resemblance is so strong, in fact, that G. leve may be better placed within Polyarrhena.

A hypothesis of close relationship between *Polyarrhena* and *Zyrphelis* is strengthened by the observation of naturally occurring hybrids between *P. reflexa* (L.) Cass. subsp. *reflexa* and *Z. taxifolia* (L.) Nees on the Cape Peninsula of South Africa. According to label notes on *Esterhuysen 31098* (MO!, distributed from BOL), "A number of plants of this hybrid were present at this locality."

PHYTOLOGIA

The position of Mairia sensu stricto

Grau (1973) was not specific regarding the composition or placement of the portion of Mairia not immediately related to Felicia and Gymnostephium (i.e., the species of Zyrphelis). Mairia sensu stricto, however, is a remarkably distinct genus that appears to be a member of the Hinterhuberinae (sensu Nesom 1993a, 1993b), showing particular similarities in its tomentose vestiture, broad, coriaceous leaves, multiseriate and persistent pappus, and glandular, multi-ribbed achenes. As such it becomes only the fifth genus of this large subtribe known from the Africa-Madagascar region, joining the African Pteronia L. and the Madagascan Rochonia DC., Madagaster Nesom, and Apodocephala J. Baker (the position of Apodocephala is discussed in detail in a separate paper, Nesom in prep.). Among these genera, Mairia most resembles Madagaster and Rochonia in leaf and achene morphology and Madagaster in ligule color. Mairia may be a herbaceous derivative of woody ancestors (i.e., Madagaster and Rochonia and most other members of the subtribe), as is also the case for several South American genera (e.g., Laestadia Kunth, Oritrophium [Kunth] Cuatr.). Even if Mairia sensu stricto should prove to be more closely related to genera among the Amellus group or some other, the argument still remains for the closer relation of Zyrphelis and Gymnostephium, leaving Mairia separate.

The group of species referred to by Grau (1971) as the "herbaceous Diplopappi" or in his "flow chart" of genera (1971, p. 278) as "Aster harveyanus O. Kuntze and related species" were treated by Lippert (1971, 1973) within the genus Aster L. Grau's observation (1971, p. 279) that "there is still lacking a valid generic name for the herbaceous 'Diplopappi' " implies that he would place these in some other genus, but as detailed in a separate discussion (Nesom in prep.), I have not been able to find justification for separating these from true Aster. In their flat achenes with glandular surfaces and persistent, biseriate pappus, these African plants resemble Mairia sensu stricto, but their similarity to Aster seems more immediate.

Taxonomic summaries

Mairia Nees, Gen. & Sp. Aster. 247. 1832. LECTOTYPE (designated here): Mairia crenata (Thunb.) Nees.

> Nees included two species in his original circumscription of the genus. Mairia crenata is the best known and longest established of these and is here formally designated as the lectotype, following the implicit suggestion by Pfeiffer (Nom. Bot. 2[1]:207. 1874.). The spelling of the genus has sometimes been modified to Mairea (e.g., Harvey 1865), as the name commemorates M. Maire, an early collector in South Africa, but the original spelling by Nees is justifiably regarded as correct.

Aster subg. Pteropappus Less., Synops. Gen. Comp. 166. 1832. Mairia sect. Pteropappus (Less.) DC., Prodr. 5:217. 1836. LECTOTYPE (designated here): Aster crenatus (Thunb.) Less. (= Mairia crenata [Thunb.] Nees).

> Lessing included two species (as Aster) in his original description of subg. Pteropappus: Mairia crenata and Zyrphelis perezioides. The decision here to lectotypify it as Mairia rather than Zyrphelis is somewhat arbitrary.

- 1. Mairia coriacea Bolus, Hook. Ic. Pl., ser. 4, 26:tt. 2541. 1899.
- Mairia crenata (Thunb.) Nees, Gen. & Sp. Aster. 248. 1832. BA-SIONYM: Arnica crenata Thunb., Prodr. Fl. Capens. 2:154. 1800. Aster crenatus (Thunb.) Less., Synops. Gen. Comp. 166. 1832. Gerbera crenata (Thunb.) Ker-Gawl., Bot. Reg. 10:t. 855. 1825. Zyrphelis crenata (Thunb.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1:374. 1891.
- Mairia hirsuta DC., Prodr. 5:217. 1836. Zyrphelis hirsuta (DC.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1:374. 1891.
- Zyrphelis Cass., Ann. Sci. Nat. (Paris) 17:420. 1829. Elphegea subg. Zyrphelis (Cass.) Less., Synops. Gen. Comp. 183. 1832. Mairia sect. Zyrphelis (Cass.) DC., Prodr. 5:218. 1836. TYPE: Zyrphelis amoena Cass. (= Zyrphelis taxifolia [L.] Nees).
 - Homochroma DC., Prodr. 5:324. 1836. Mairia sect. Homochroma (DC.)
 Harvey in Harvey & Sonder, Fl. Capens. 3:64. 1865. TYPE: Homochroma ecklonis DC. (= Zyrphelis ecklonis [DC.] Kuntze).
- Zyrphelis angolensis (Chiov.) Nesom, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Homochroma angolensis Chiov., Boll. Soc. Bot. Ital. 43. 1924.
- Zyrphelis burchellii (DC.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1:374. 1891. BA-SIONYM: Mairia burchellii DC., Prodr. 5:218. 1836.
- Zyrphelis decumbens (Schlechter) Nesom, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Mairia decumbens Schlechter, Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 27:199. 1900.
- Zyrphelis ecklonis (DC.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1:374. 1891. BASIONYM: Homochroma ecklonis DC., Prodr. 5:324. 1836. Mairia ecklonis (DC.) Sonder in Harvey & Sonder, Fl. Capens. 3:66. 1865.

92 PHYTOLOGIA

- Zyrphelis foliosa (Harvey) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1:374. 1891. BA-SIONYM: Mairia foliosa Harvey in Harvey & Sonder, Fl. Capens. 3:66. 1865.
- Zyrphelis lasiocarpa (DC.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1:374. 1891. BA-SIONYM: Mairia lasiocarpa DC., Prodr. 5:218. 1836. Felicia lasiocarpa (DC.) Compton, Trans. Roy. Soc. S. Africa 19:312. 1931.
- Zyrphelis microcephala (Less.) Nees, Gen. & Sp. Aster. 252. 1832. Mairia microcephala (Less.) DC., Prodr. 5:218. 1836. BASIONYM: Elphegea microcephala Less., Synops. Gen. Comp. 184. 1832.

Aster nanus E. Mey. ex DC. [in syn.], Prodr. 5:218. 1836.

The treatments by Nees and Lessing both appeared in 1832, but it is apparent that Nees had seen Lessing's manuscript and credited him with the original authorship of this name. The same is true for Zyrphelis perezioides (below).

- 8. Zyrphelis montana (Schlechter) Nesom, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Mairia montana Schlechter, Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 27:199. 1900.
- Zyrphelis perezioides (Less.) Nesom, comb. nov. Mairia perezioides (Less.) Nees, Gen. & Sp. Aster. 249. 1832. BASIONYM: Aster perezioides Less., Synops. gen. Comp. 167. 1832.
- Zyrphelis taxifolia (L.) Nees, Gen. & Sp. Aster. 250. 1832. BASIONYM: Aster taxifolius L., Pl. Rar. Afr. 22. 1761. Mairia taxifolia (L.) DC., Prodr. 5:218. 1836.

Leyssera ciliata Thunb., Prodr. Fl. Capens. 2:160. 1800. Elphegea ciliata (Thunb.) Less., Linnaea 6:126. 1831.

Zyrphelis amoena Cass., Ann. Sci. Nat. (Paris) 17:421. 1829.

Mairia pinifolia Sch.-Bip. ex Walp., Repert. Bot. 2:955. 1843.

Mairia lasiocarpa Drege ex Harvey & Sonder [in syn.], Fl. Capens. 3:65. 1865.

Gymnostephium Less., Syn. Gen. Comp. 185. 1832. LECTOTYPE (designated here): Gymnostephium hirsutum Less.

Nesom:

DeCandolle included two species in his original circumscription of this genus: Gymnostephium gracile and G. hirsutum Less. As noted above, G. gracile has a distinctive resemblance to the related genus Polyarrhena, and to avoid a potential problem (should they prove to be congeneric), Gymnostephium is here lectotypified with G. hirsutum. The latter species has black achenes with white-papillate surfaces and is similar in other features to the majority of other species included in Gymnostephium.

- Heteractis DC., Prodr. 6:468. 1838. TYPE: Heteractis falcata DC. (= Gymnostephium ciliare (DC.) Harvey in Harvey & Sonder).
- Gymnostephium angustifolium Harvey in Harvey & Sonder, Fl. Capens. 3:67. 1865.
- Gymnostephium ciliare (DC.) Harvey in Harvey & Sonder, Fl. Capens.
 3:68. 1865. BASIONYM: Felicia ciliaris DC., Prodr. 5:221. 1836.

Heteractis falcata DC., Prodr. 6:469. 1838. Osteospermum falcatum E. Mey. ex DC. [in syn.], Prodr. 6:469. 1838.

- Gymnostephium corymbosum (Turcz.) Harvey in Harvey & Sonder, Fl. Capens. 3:67. 1865. BASIONYM: Agathaea corymbosum Turcz., Bull. Soc. Imp. Naturalistes Moscou 24:60. 1851.
- 4. Gymnostephium fruticosum DC., Prodr. 5:300. 1836.
- 5. Gymnostephium gracile Less., Syn. Gen. Comp. 186. 1832.
- 6. Gymnostephium hirsutum Less., Syn. Gen. Comp. 185. 1832.
- 7. Gymnostephium leve Bolus, Trans. S. African Philos. Soc. 16:138. 1907.
- Gymnostephium papposum Nesom, nom. nov. Based on: Mairia corymbosa Harvey in Harvey & Sonder, Fl. Capens. 3:66. 1865; not Gymnostephium corymbosum (Turcz.) Harvey. Zyrphelis corymbosa (Harvey) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 1:374. 1891.

Taxa excluded from Mairia, Zyrphelis, and Gymnostephium or of uncertain position:

Mairia felicioides Hutch. & Corb., Kew Bull. 10:329. 1920. = Felicia ovata (DC.) Compton (Grau 1973).

Mairia pustulata Phillips, Ann. S. African Mus. 9:342. 1917.

This species was described as having obovate leaves in a basal rosette, monocephalous stems, bisexual disc flowers, and a plumose pappus. I have not seen specimens of Mairia pustulata but it seems likely that these plants may belong in Felicia sect. Dracontium Grau (sensu Grau 1973).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Billie Turner and Mark Mayfield for their review of the manuscript and the staffs of US and MO for their help during visits to those institutions.

LITERATURE CITED

DeCandolle, A.P. 1836. Compositae - Asteroideae. Prodr. 5:211-497.

Grau, J. 1970. Die Gattung Polyarrhena Cass. (Asteraceae - Astereae). Mitt. Bot. Staats. München 7:347-368.

Mitt. Bot. Staats. München 10:275-279.

... 1973. Revision der Gattung Felicia (Asteraceae). Mitt. Bot. Staats. München 4:195-705.

___. 1977. Astereae - systematic review. In Heywood, V.H., J.B. Harborne, & B.L. Turner (eds.). The Biology and Chemistry of the Compositae 1:539-565. Academic Press, London, Great Britain.

- Harvey, W.H. 1865. Compositae Asteroideae. In W.H. Harvey & O.W. Sonder, Fl. Capens. 3:59-127.
- Kuntze, C.E.O. 1891. Revisio Generum Plantarum, Part 1. Arthur Felix, Leipzig, Germany.

Nesom:

- Lessing, C.F. 1832. Synopsis Generum Compositarum. Dunckeri & Humblotii, Berlin, Germany.
- Lippert, W. 1971. Der Aster bakeranus komplex in Sudafrika. Mitt. Bot. Staats. München 9:109-134.
 - _____. 1973. Revision der Gattung Aster in Afrika. Mitt. Bot. Staats. München 11:153-258.
- Nees von Esenbeck, C.G. 1832. Genera et Species Asterearum. Nuremberg, Germany.
- Nesom, G.L. 1993a. Aztecaster (Asteraceae: Astereae), a new ditypic genus of dioecious shrubs from México with redefinitions of the subtribes Hinterhuberinae and Baccharidinae. Phytologia 75:55-73.

_____. 1993b. *Madagaster* (Asteraceae: Astereae), a new genus of subtribe Hinterhuberinae. Phytologia 75:94-99.

Zhang, X. & K. Bremer. 1993. A cladistic analysis of the tribe Astereae (Asteraceae) with notes on their evolution and subtribal classification. Pl. Syst. Evol. 184:259-283.



Nesom, Guy L. 1994. "Repartition of Mairia (Asteraceae: Astereae)." *Phytologia* 76, 85–95.

View This Item Online: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/47151 Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/175887

Holding Institution New York Botanical Garden, LuEsther T. Mertz Library

Sponsored by The LuEsther T Mertz Library, the New York Botanical Garden

Copyright & Reuse Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder. Rights Holder: Phytologia License: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/</u> Rights: <u>https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions</u>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.