THE CANADIAN ENTOMOLOGIST

A CLASSIFICATION OF OUR LIMNEPHILID CADDICE FLIES.

BY NATHAN BANKS, EAST FALLS CHURCH, VA.

The Limnephilidæ are the most prominent family of caddiceflies in temperate regions. Their classification has been largely based on the spur formula; this is undoubtedly valuable, but as it broke down in places, I have tried many times to find other characters. I divided the group into two on the presence or absenceof spines on the under side of the last joint of the hind tarsi. Dr. Ulmer has brought up a few exceptions. Some I believe are dueto the fact that the species is wrongly placed, but in certain Chatoptervx it does not hold, but when used in connection with the armature of the front tibiæ, it is decisive. The venation in this family is most distressingly uniform, and I have found little not already utilized. The large bristles back or inward of the ocelli I haveused as of generic value; and the development of the strips of bristles on the mesothorax I also consider important. I had hoped to find more characters in the face, and palpi; and think that the vestiture of face may yet be used with success. However, I present this preliminary table in the hope that its use may discover the weak points and suggest new characters. I am loath to make so many new genera; but I believe that all are distinct groups, and future study may show some of them to be better placed as subgenera.

KEY TO THE GENERA OF LIMNEPHILIDÆ.

 Last joint of hind tarsus with one or more distinct (usually black) spines beneath; tibia I always spined to

base (Limnephilinæ) 2[°] Last joint of hind tarsus without a distinct spine beneath; if one is occasionally present, then the tibia I is not spined to base (Drusinæ) 18-

- - At least one prominent macrochæta behind or inward from each ocellus, about equal in size to the macrochætæ of the posterior warts; tips of fore wings often obliquely

3.	Bristles on the veins no longer than those on the membrane, or barely so; membrane not granulate; median part of mesonotum with some bristle bearing granulas; the prope
	mesonotum with some bristle-bearing granules; the prono- tum rather large; hind wings much excised on outer margin4
	Bristles on the veins noticeably longer than those on the mem- brane; median part of the mesonotum without bristle- bearing granules; hind wings scarcely excised on outer margin
4.	Outer margin of fore wings sinuately emarginate; vertex without distinct posterior warts
-5.	In hind wings a cross-vein between the subcosta and radius
	near tip; vertex convex, smooth, posterior warts reduced Astenophylax.
	No such cross-vein in the hind wings, vertex flat; posterior warts well developed
6.	Vertex, part of thorax, and fore wings with dense appressed hair; basal cross-veins very weak; fore wings not granulate,
-	with a median silvery stripe
	(Platyphylax occidentalis Bks.)
7	Vertex not with dense appressed hair
	the median fork; fore wing roughened. Allegophylax, n. gen.
	(Platyphylax subfasciata Say).
-	Spurs 1, 3, 3, or 1, 3, 4
.8.	Spurs 1, 3, 4
-0	Spurs 1, 3, 3
5.	forking of the median vein
	In the hind wings the discal cell not before the forking of
	median vein; membrane of fore wings
	roughened
10	(Stenophylax limbatus McL.)
10.	Large species; wings very broad; in fore wings the front side of discal cell is slightly concave
	Smaller species: elongate wings; in fore wings the front side
	of discal cell is nearly straight Rhadicoleptus.

11.	In the hind wings the discal cell but little if any before the forking of the median vein; second apical cell of fore wings wide at base, membrane granulate
. 846	
	In the hind wings the discal cell plainly before the forking of median vein 12
12	Large full winged species; membrane not
	granulate
	(Halesus magnus Bks.)
	Small, female short-winged; hairs on the membrane of fore
	wing as long as those on the veins
	(Psilopteryx brevipennis Bks.)
19	
15.	Anal cell not divided at base; spurs 1, 3, 3; fourth apical cell
	in hind wings broad Platycentropus.
	Anal cell divided as usual at base; spurs usually 1, 3, 414
14.	Fifth joint of tarsus I with spines beneath; bristles on veins
	barely longer than on the membrane Grammotaulius.
	Fifth joint of tarsus I without spines beneath; bristles on veins
	much longer than those on membrane
15.	Hind wings strongly emarginate on outer margin near end of
	the cubitus; pronotum large and prominent; discoidal cell
	very long
	Hind wings scarcely emarginate at end of cubitus; pronotum
	less prominent
16.	Fore wings long and slender, tips rounded
	Fore wings shorter, tips more acute; pronotum
	longer Colpotaulius.
17.	Fourth apical cell in hind wings narrowed at base; mesothoracic
	strips long; outer margin of fore wings
	oblique Limnephilus.
	Fourth apical cell in hind wings not narrowed at base; meso-
	thoracic strips short; outer margin of fore wings more
	rounded Anabolia.
18.	Bristle-bearing granules scattered over the mesonotum without
	leaving a median smooth area; pronotum large and promi-
	nent; fork 3 in both wings pedicellate;
	spurs 1, 3, 4
	smooth median area

THE CANADIAN ENTOMOLOGIST.

19.	Posterior and anterior anastomoses of fore wings not separated;
	no macrochætæ behind ocelli; forks 1 and 3 in hind wings
	pedicellate; spurs 1, 3, 4
	Posterior anastomosis at least width of a cell before the anterior
	anastomosis
20.	Outer margin of fore wings sinuately excised; macrochætæ
	behind ocelli; spurs 1, 2, 2
	Outer margin of fore wings entire
21.	Fork 3 absent in hind wings
	Fork 3 present in hind wings
22.	Spurs 1, 3, 3; no wart between ocelli and the posterior
	warts
	Spurs 1, 2, 2; a distinct wart between the ocelli and the posterior
	warts
23.	Stigma with a cross-vein at its base, or at least strongly
	coriaceous
	Stigma without cross-vein, and not especially prominent27
24.	First apical cell narrowed at base; stigma not very prominent,
	but with cross-veins at base
	First apical cell broad at base; stigma coriaceous
25.	Spurs 1, 2, 4; discal cell of hind wings openApatania.
	Spurs 1, 3, 4; discal cell of hind wings
	closed
	(Apatania tripunctata Bks.)
26.	Spurs 1, 3, 3; membrane not granulate nor
	roughened Halesechila.
	Spurs 1, 2, 2; membrane more or less granulate; hairs on mem-
97	brane as long as those on the veins Chilostigma.
21.	Each cheek with a prominent spine beneath; first apical cell
	extending a long way back on the discal
	cell Allophylax No such spine on the cheek
28	A large tuft of long hairs at anal base of fore wings; outer
20	fringe on coxa I. longer than width of coxa; antennæ strongly
	crenulate beneath; ocelli large; tibia I densely spined to
	base; bristles of veins not prominent; in hind wings discal
	cell reaches long before forking of median vein; large
	species Dicosmæcus.
	cpecies

120

THE CANADIAN ENTOMOLOGIST

	Hair at anal base shorter, less dense, and that on anterior coxæ short; smaller species; bristles of veins usually distinct
29.	Spurs 1, 3, 3
	Spurs 1, 2, 2, or 1, 2, 4, or 1, 3, 4
30.	Anal cell not divided at base; basal veins obsolete; radius bent
	at stigma; an ocellar macrochæta
	(Halesus indistinctus Walk.)
	Anal cell divided as usual, most of basal cross-veins
	distinct
31.	Radius bent at stigma, which is very distinct; membrane not
	granulate
	Radius scarcely bent at stigma, which is not especially distinct;
	no ocellar macrochætæ
32.	Spurs 1, 3, 4; no distinct ocellar macrochætæ
	Spurs 1, 2, 2, or 1, 2, 4
33.	Fork 3 in fore wings acute at base, sometimes
	pedicellate
	(Parachiona parvula Bks).
	Fork 3 in fore wings not acute, but reaching before the
	anastomosis
34.	Anastomosis is placed before end of the subcosta; the apical
	cells very long Anisogamus.
	Anastomosis beyond end of the subcosta, apical cells
	normal Apolopsyche, n. gen.
	(Stenophylax minusculus Bks.)
35.	Ocellar macrochætæ present; spurs 1, 2, 4; wings rather narrow,
	first fork reaches a long distance back on discal
	cell Ecclisomyia.
	Ocellar macrochætæ absent, spurs 1, 2, 2, or 0, 2, 2; membrane
00	granulate 36
36.	Discal cell shorter than the pedicel or barely
	longer Potamorites.
97	Discal cell much longer than its pedicel
51.	Radial vein scarcely bent at the stigma, wings less
	broad Ironoquia. (Chætopterygopsis parvula Bks.).
	Radial vein strongly bent at the stigma; wings broad
	broad Chilostigma.

THE CANADIAN ENTOMOLOGIST

NOTES ON THE GENERA

Arctæcia—Includes A consocia Walk. The genus Philarctus is very close and perhaps identical.

Hesperophylax and Allegophylax — These were formerly included in Platyphylax, but, as already noted by McLachlan and Ulmer, not congeneric. Allegophylax also includes P. lepida Hag.

Eustenace-Includes also the Stenophylax gentilis of McLachlan.

Rhadicoleptus—Our Asynarchus fumosus and A. flavicollis will go in Wallengren's genus, and are quite different in appearance from the typical broad winged Stenophylax.

Asynarchus—The type species, A. fusorius, will run to Anabolia, and I see little reason for separating it; various other species, iteratus, amurensis, etc., will aslo go to Anabolia, but A. cænosus runs to Stenophylax; it should form another genus.

Clistoronia and *Psychoronia* include each only a single species. *Allomyia* includes but one species.

Drusus-In this I include Halesus sparsus Bks. from New-foundland.

Halesus—I do not find any true representatives of this in our fauna; in the above table it would run out near *Platycentropus*, having ocellar macrochætæ, and 1, 3, 3 spurs; but the anal area is normally divided.

Ecclisomyia—The European *Ecclisopteryx* has spurs 1, 2, 3; first fork not so far back on discal cell, and no ocellar macrochætæ.

Algonquina, type Parachiona parvula Bks., I propose for several species which I formerly kept in Parachiona, but the latter is quite different.

Ironoquia—Includes only the one species I have previously placed in *Chætopterygopsis*. In this latter genus there are ocellar macrochætæ. The genera *Heliconius* and *Anisitella* are really *Chætopterygopsis* with a variation in spur formula; they have the same peculiar fore wings, and also ocellar macrochætæ. *Catadice* has no ocellar macrochætæ.

Limnephilus—This genus contains by far a larger number of species than any other genus in the family, and several are rather aberrant and show affinity to Anabolia. Goniotaulius should be maintained, but I have not been able to find characters, except that the ocellar macrochætæ are nearer to each other than in the true Limnephilus.



1916. "A classification of our limnephilid caddice flies." *The Canadian entomologist* 48, 117–122. <u>https://doi.org/10.4039/Ent48117-4</u>.

View This Item Online: https://doi.org/10.4039/Ent48117-4 Permalink: https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/1020

Holding Institution MBLWHOI Library

Sponsored by MBLWHOI Library

Copyright & Reuse Copyright Status: NOT_IN_COPYRIGHT

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.