SURVEILLANCE FOR AEDES AEGYPTI IN TOBAGO, WEST INDIES (1980–82)¹

DAVE D. CHADEE², NEVILLE K. CONNELL³, ASHTON LE MAITRE AND SYDNEY B. FERREIRA Ministry of Health and Environment, Insect Vector Control Division, #3 Queen Street, St. Joseph, Trinidad, W.I.

ABSTRACT. Larval surveys were conducted during 1980–82 to determine the presence of Aedes aegypti in Tobago, W.I. Larvae were found once in an abandoned tire in November 1982. Fourteen other species of mosquitoes were collected from artificial containers. Ovitraps were used to monitor the presence of artificial container breeding mosquitoes at the seaport, airport and all major townships. Eggs recovered from the traps included Haemagogus equinus, Hg. celeste, Aedes taeniorhynchus and Ae. berlini.

INTRODUCTION

By 1960, Aedes aegypti (Linn.) appeared to be eradicated from Trinidad and Tobago, but as early as 1961 Trinidad had become reinfested (Ministry of Health 1962). Tobago presumably remained free of Aedes aegypti and maintained that status through active surveillance from 1961 to 1982. However, in November 1976, a focus of Ae. aegypti larvae was found near the seaport of Scarborough, the capital of Tobago (Ministry of Health 1977). The Insect Vector Control Division (IVCD) immediately reinstituted an island-wide survey but Ae. aegypti larvae were found in an abandoned tire at the Works Department at Scarborough Wharf, and an island-wide survey found three other foci in Mason Hall and in Crown Point, 6 km and 3 km respectively, from Scarborough. An extensive control program was initiated using malathion and temephos, and by the end of 1977, Ae. aegypti again was considered eradicated from Tobago (Ministry of Health 1978). In 1978, the Caribbean Epidemiology Centre established an ovitrapping program in Tobago, to monitor the airport and surrounding areas for Ae. aegypti mosquitoes. However, Ae. aegypti eggs were not collected during that study (Tikasingh and Laurent 1981.)

This paper reports on the Ae. aegypti surveillance program in Tobago from 1980 to 1982.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Larval surveys were conducted routinely from 1980 to 1982 by IVCD Tobago staff following PAHO (1968) guidelines. These surveys involved house-to-house inspection of all containers including tree holes and other natural containers which might harbor Ae. aegypti and

other mosquitoes. Mosquito specimens encountered were collected, placed in vials, labelled and sent to the IVCD—Trinidad Laboratory for identification. Twice each year, vigilance surveys were conducted in Tobago by IVCD—Trinidad staff as an independent evaluation program. Random inspections were made throughout the island.

In addition, 152 modified ovitraps (Fay and Eliason 1966) made of local material were used to detect the presence of Ae. aegypti in Tobago. These traps were set at ground level throughout the island and most were serviced weekly. Each exposed paddle when collected was placed into a plastic bag and sent to IVCD—Trinidad for microscopic examination for eggs. All eggs were hatched and the resultant larvae reared to the adult stage for identification.

RESULTS

IDENTITY OF LARVAE AND CONTAINERS. Routine larval surveys among the 12,000 premises in Tobago collected larvae of 14 mosquito species: Ae. aegypti, Ae. berlini Schick, Ae. taeniorhynchus (Wiedemann), Anopheles aquasalis Curry, Culex quinquefasciatus Say, Cx. nigripalpus Theobald, Cx. coronator Dyar and Knab, Cx. sp., Haemogogus equinus Theobald, Hg. celeste Dyar and Nunez Tovar, Limatus durhamii Theobald, Trichoprosopon digitatum (Rondani), Toxorhynchites sp. and Wyeomyia sp.

Foci found with larvae included discarded tires, drums, cans and other artificial containers. The commonest site was the 208 liter metal drum used for water storage (54%), while small miscellaneous containers were the second most common (14%). The only collection of Ae. aegypti came from an abandoned used tire which was the third most common artificial container supporting mosquito breeding in Tobago (8%). These tires were often found in shaded areas, around houses, behind buildings, in high grass, in cemeteries and in vacant lots.

Species associations and abundance. Table 1 presents larval associations of mosquitoes

¹ Published with approval from the Ministry of Health and Environment, Trinidad and Tobago, W.I.

Address reprint request to D.D. Chadee.
Present Minister of Health and Environment,
Government of Trinidad and Tobago, W.I.

collected during the 3-year survey. Limatus durhamii larvae were found in association with 10 other mosquito species. Culex quinquefasciatus and Lm. durhamii were by far the most common larvae in containers. The collection of Ae. taeniorhynchus and Ae. aquasalis in artificial containers is unusual but the foci were in close proximity to their natural habitats.

OVITRAP SURVEILLANCE. During 1980–82, 12,010 paddles were collected from ovitraps and all were negative for eggs of Ae. aegypti. In 1980 there were 168 positive paddles with 4,661 eggs of Hg. equinus and Hg. celeste. Haemagogus equinus eggs were the most common and were found on 139 of the 168 positive paddles (72%). Ovitraps in 1981 and 1982 produced respectively, 150 and 166 positive paddles with Hg. equinus, Hg. celeste, Ae. taeniorhynchus and Ae. berlini eggs. The ovitrap results are summarized in Table 2.

DISCUSSION

Tobago has maintained an Ae. aegypti surveillance program from 1960 to 1982. Its importance was demonstrated by the discovery of the Ae. aegypti larvae in tires and other containers. In 1977 Ae. aegypti larvae were found in a tire at the Works Department on the Scarborough Wharf and in 1982 at the Works Department Yard in Lambeau. Within recent years the importation of tires from Trinidad for the recapping industry has resulted in the possible transportation of Ae. aegypti into Tobago. Tinker (1964) has shown that tires are favored as a larval site by Ae. aegypti, and Haverfield and Hoffman (1966) reported that tires are the most effective means of shipping and dispersing Ae. aegypti. To avoid this situation recurring in Tobago, it has been recommended that all tires be treated prior to shipment to Tobago.

Table 1. Associated larval specimens collected in artificial containers in Tobago, W.I. (1980-82).

Associated species	No. of associations	Ae. taeniorhynchus	An. aquasalis	Hg. celeste	Hg. equinus	Cx. coronator	Cx. nigripalpus	Cx. quinquefasciatus	Cx. sp.	Lm. durhanii	Tr. digitatum	Tx. sp.	Wy. sp.
Ae. taeniorhynchus	2									x	x		
An. aquasalis	1									X	^		
Hg. celeste	3							x		x	x		
Hg. equinus	2							x		x	^		
Cx. coronator	3							x		X	x		
Cx. nigripalpus	2							X		X	Α.		
Cx. quinquefasciatus	7			x	x	x	x			x		x	x
Cx. sp.	3			••	.,					x	х	X	Α.
Lm. durhamii	11	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	^			
Tr. digitatum	5	x		x	28	x	Λ.	^.	X	x	x	x	х
Tx. sp.	4							x	x	x		x	
Wy. sp.	3							x		x		x	

¹ Two species, Aedes aegypti and Ae. berlini, were collected alone and were not associated with other species.

Table 2. Summary of collections in Tobago, W.I. using 152 ovitraps per week (1980-82).

Year (Total paddles collected)	Species	No. of eggs	No. of paddles with eggs		
1980	Hg. equinus	4,251	139		
(4,573)	Hg. celeste	410	29		
	Total	4,661	168		
1981	Hg. equinus	4,463	128		
(3,788)	Hg. celeste	300	18		
	Ae. taeniorhynchus	20			
	Ae. berlini	8	2 2		
	Total	4,791	150		
1982	Hg. equinus	5,230	139		
(3,649)	Hg. celeste	489	22		
	Ae. taeniorhynchus	40	2		
	Ae. berlini	32	$\overline{\overset{-}{2}}$		
	Ae. sp.	4	$\overline{1}$		
	Total	5,795	166		

The factors which influence habitat segregation among mosquito larvae are not well understood (Lounibos 1981). Several interspecific associations among mosquito larvae collected in our survey suggest different species preferences among artificial containers. Most of the mosquito larvae collected were found in drums except Haemagogus celeste, Aedes taeniorhynchus and Wyeomyia species which were found in tires and boats. Although Culex quinquefasciatus and Limatus durhamii were collected in most habitats, Anopheles aquasalis and Ae. taeniorhynchus were not collected with most of the common species.

The three most common species found in containers were Culex quinquefasciatus, Lm. durhamii and Hq. equinus, Haemagogus equinus is a proven laboratory and forest vector of sylvan yellow fever (Waddell and Taylor 1945, Waddell 1949, de Rodaniche et al. 1957), while Cx. quinquefasciatus is a known vector of filariasis in Trinidad (Nathan 1981).

A result of the discovery of the focus of Ae. aegypti in Lambeau in 1982, the area was treated immediately using house-to-house, focal, perifocal and residual applications of 1% temephos and fenthion (55% emulsifiable concentrate) insecticides. In addition, ultra low volume application of malathion (95% technical grade) was used. No larvae were found in a later survey conducted in January 1983 and Tobago is again considered free of Ae. aegypti.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Messrs. G. Graham, A. Paul, C. Gilkes, R. C. Persad and E. C. Peru for field and technical assistance. In addition, we thank Drs. L. Austin—County Medical Officer of Health, Tobago, R. Paul—Specialist Medical Officer, IVCD, and E. C. Laurent—Principal Medical Officer (EH) for assistance during this project.

Special thanks should go to Dr. R.J. Tonn— Pan American Health Organization (PAHO/ WHO), Washington, D.C. for reviewing the manuscript.

References Cited

Fay, R. W. and D. A. Eliason. 1966. A preferred oviposition site as a surveillance method for *Aedes* aerypti. Mosq. News 26:531–535.

Haverfield, L. E. and B. L. Hoffman. 1966. Used tires as a means of dispersal of *Aedes aegypti* in Texas.

Mosq. News 26:433-435.

Lounibos, L. P. 1981. Habitat segregation among African tree-hole mosquitoes. Ecol. Entomol, 6:129–154.

Ministry of Health, 1962. Annual Report of Insect Vector Control Division, Ministry of Health, Government of Trinidad and Tobago, Government Printery, p. 40.

Ministry of Health, 1978. Annual Report of Insect Vector Control Division, Ministry of Health, Government of Trinidad and Tobago, Government

Printery, p. 41.

Nathan, M. B. 1981. Bancroftian filariasis in coastal North Trinidad, West Indies: intensity of transmission by Culex quinquefasciatus. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 75:721–730.

PAHO, 1968. Aedes aegypti eradication policy guides for the planning of PAHO/WHO programs—Pan American Health Organization Washington D.C.

de Rodaniche, E., P. Galindo and C. M. Johnson. 1957. Isolation of yellow fever virus from Haemogogus lucifer, H. equinus, H. spegazzinii falco, Sabethes chloropterus and Anopheles neivai captured in Panama in the fall of 1956, Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 6:681–685.

Tikasingh, E. S. and E. Laurent. 1981. Use of ovitraps in monitoring *Haemogogus equinus* populations. Mosa. News 41:677-679.

Tinker, M. E. 1964, Larval habitat of Aedes aegypti (L.) in the United States. Mosq. News 24:426–432.

Waddell, M. B. 1949. Comparative efficacy of certain South American Aedes and Haemogogus mosquitoes as laboratory vectors of yellow fever. Am. J. Trop. Med. 29:567–575.

Waddell, M. B. and R. M. Taylor. 1945. Studies on cyclic passage of yellow fever virus in South American mammals and mosquitoes. Marmosets (Callithrix aurita) and Cebus monkeys (Cebus versutus) in combination with Aedes aegypti and Haemagogus equinus. Am. J. Trop. Med. 25:225–230.