age wet body weight of 6.2 mg. on the 60th day. The wet body weight of freshly born juveniles (150 individuals) averaged 3.8 mg and ranged between 3.0 and 4.5 mg. It may be seen that though very few individuals fed on mosquito larvae managed to survive until the 15th day (Culex-fed) and the 60th day (Aedes-fed), they did not grow at all. It is very likely that a restricted diet of mosquito larvae involved a dietary deficiency for the development and survival of Gambusia, this deficiency being more pronounced in Culex than in Aedes larvae.

Individuals fed Tubifex worms exhibited the normal growth characteristics of G. affinis. However, these individuals too tended to postpone their sex differentiation and parturition of the first batch of juveniles. While these occur on 25th and 82nd day, respectively in juveniles fed on the nauplii of Artemia salina, according to S. Katre (unpublished), sexual differentiation took place on the 45th day in juveniles fed Tubifex worms. In fact, we have observed that the juveniles receiving different combinations of Tubifex:Culex larvae exhibited better survival and growth, than those feeding purely on Tubifex worms. It is very likely that a mixed diet promotes best survival, growth and reproduction in G. affinis, and this aspect is being at present studied by us.

It is known that many insects are not capable of synthesizing the required vitamins and hence depend upon the food sources for these vitamins too (Prosser and Brown, 1962). At present, we are rearing the mosquito larvae in water containing specific protozoans and algae with a view to test whether such mosquito larvae will promote the survival, growth and reproduction of Gambusia.

Menon and Chacko (1955) analysed the stomach contents of *G. affinis* collected from different freshwater habitats of South India and reported that only 51 percent of its food consisted of insects including mosquito larvae and the rest was formed by crustacea, worms and algae. From our studies on the stomach contents of *G. affinis* collected from the Bangalore acuatic units, it was also evident that the food of *G. affinis* included, in addition to mosquito larvae, a considerable amount of copepods, cladocerans and worms. These ecological observations indicate that *G. affinis* is a mixed feeder and a mixed diet may therefore be expected to promote its normal survival, growth and reproduction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We are thankful to Prof. K. Pampapathi Rao (Bangalore) and Dr. A. W. A. Brown, WHO (Geneva) for valuable suggestions and to the World Health Organization for financial support.

Literature Cited

Menon, M. D. and Chacko, P. I. 1955. Food and feeding habits of freshwater fishes of Madras State, India. Proceedings of Indo-Pacific Fisheries Council, Section II. Prosser, C. L. and Brown, Jr., F. A. 1962. Comparative animal physiology. Second edition, W. B. Saunders Company.

Tabibzadeh, I., et al. 1970. Use of Gambusia fish in the Malaria eradication programme in Iran. Bull, Wld Hlth Org. 43:623–626.

MOSQUITO COLLECTIONS IN SUBURBAN CHICAGO FROM 1928 TO 1971

C. G. ALVAREZ 1

The Desplaines Valley Mosquito Abatement District encompasses a 76 square mile area of the Des Plaines River and Salt Creek valley in the western suburbs of Chicago. The district was established in 1928 when the area was primarily lowland plains and marshes which annually produced large numbers of floodwater mosquitoes, principally Aedes vexans (Meigen). Since its inception, the Desplaines Valley MAD has attempted to control these mosquitoes and was undoubtedly influential in the growth of the area to its present 85 percent residential and business status. a monitor of the district's control procedures and to ascertain the species of mosquitoes present, an annual mosquito survey was initiated in 1928. From 1928 to 1941 this survey consisted of samples taken in biting catches. Ten men visited 33 sites once each week and for a 15-minute period captured all biting mosquitoes with an ether killing vial. The collection sites were located in and around the district.

In 1941 ten New Jersey light traps were set up throughout the district. The following year the light trap collections became the standard and biting collections were eliminated. The number of light traps employed varied from 10 to 17 with 12 being the average and the standard number used since 1966. The averages of the annual catches of the light traps and biting tests are presented in Table 1.

During 1971 two men visited 10 different sites in the district for a period of 3 hours each, where they collected all biting mosquitoes. These are included for comparison in Table 1.

The results show that A. vexans is the principal pest of this area comprising 90 percent of the annual biting surveys from 1928 to 1941 and 90.1 percent in the 1971 biting survey. The light trap collection of A. vexans averaged 63.5 percent from 1941 to 1971 and yearly variations were not significant during this period. Culex pipiens Linnaeus comprised 27.2 percent of the annual light trap collections, but less than one percent of the biting survey from 1928–1941 and 1.1 percent during the 1971 biting test.

¹ Desplaines Valley Mosquito Abatement District, Lyons, Illinois.

Table 1.—Average percent of adult female mosquitoes caught per year in and around the Desplaines Valley Mosquito Abatement District.¹

Species	Biting 1928–41	Light Trap 1941–71	Biting 1971
canadensis (Theobald)	0.026	<0.001	
cinereus Meigen	.194	.004	
dorsalis (Meigen)	.126	.040	
excrucians (Walker)	.052		
fitchii (Felt and Young)	.011	.003	
flavescens (Muller)	.016	<.001	
mitchellae (Dyar)	.021	.007	
nigromaculis (Ludlow)		.008	
punctor (Kirby)	.052		
sollicitans (Walker)	.005	.002	
spencerii (Theobald)		<.001	
sticticus (Meigen)		.050	
stitutus (Walker)	.584	.060	
stimulans (Walker) triseriatus (Say)	.084	.082	
	3.250	.432	3.1
trivittatus (Coquillett)	90.000	63.501	90.1
vexans (Meigen)	90.000	-3-3	
Anopheles			
barberi Coquillett		0.004	
punctipennis (Say)	.211	• 549	.2
quadrimaculatus Say	. 069	.770	, 2
walkeri Theobald		.008	
a Haridia (Mancania)			
Coquillettidia (Mansonia) perturbans (Walker)	.158	.199	. 2
perturbans (Walkel)	,.		
Culex			
erraticus (Dyar and Knab)	••••	.072	1.1
pipiens Linnaeus	.870	27.210	1.1
restuans Theobald		.340	
salinarius Coquillett	3.450	.638	4.0
tarsalis (Coquillett)	.021	.110	. 2
territans (Walker)	.037	2.950	-7
Culiseta	.084	.313	-
inornata (Williston)	.004	<.001	
minnesotae (Barr)	• • • •	≥001	
morsitans (Theobald)	••••	-	
Orthopodomyia			
signifera (Coquillett)	••••	.022	
D			
Psorophora	.040	.029	
ciliata (Fabricius)		.013	. 2
confinnis (Lynch Arribálzaga)		<.001	
discolor (Coquillett)	.005	.017	
ferox (Humboldt)	.005	<.001	
horrida (Dyar and Knab)		<.001	
varipes (Coquillett)		******	
Uranotaenia			
sapphirina (Osten Sacken)		2.290	

¹ The collection of these data was the result of an effort by a large portion of the dedicated staff of the DVMAD from 1928 to 1971. The author can assume responsibility only for the 1971 data.