1924. A synopsis of the adult mosquitoes of the Australasian region. Bull. Ent. Res. 14:351-401.

region. III. Culicine adults and pupae. London, British Museum (Natural History). 449 pp.

EVANS, A. M. 1938. Mosquitoes of the Ethiopian region. II. Anophelini adults and early stages. London, British Museum (Natural History). 402 pp.

HOPKINS, G. H. E. 1952. Mosquitoes of the Ethiopian region. I. Larval bionomics of mosquitoes and taxonomy of culicine larvae (2nd ed.). London, British Museum (Natural History). 355 pp.

Lane, J. 1953. Neotropical Culicidae, I, II. São Paulo, Univ. São Paulo Press. 1112 pp.

Marshall, J. F. 1938. The British mosqui-

toes. London, Wm. Clowes & Sons, Ltd. 341 pp.
Natvig, L. R. 1948. Contributions to the
knowledge of the Danish and Fennoscandian Mosquitoes. Norsk Ent. Tids., Suppl. I. 567 pp.

ROMER, A. S. 1959. The vertebrate story (4th ed.). Chicago, Univ. Chicago Press. 437 pp.

ROZEBOOM, L. E., and KOMP, W. H. W. 1950a. A review of the species of *Culex* of the subgenus *Melanoconion* (Diptera, Culicidae).

STONE, A., KNIGHT, K. L., and STARCKE, HELLE. 1959. A synoptic catalogue of the mosquitoes of the world (Diptera, Culicidae). Ent. Soc. Amer., Thomas Say Found., 6:1-358.

Thurman, Ernestine H. B. 1959. A contribution to a revision of the Culicidae of northern Thailand. Univ. Md. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bull. A-100: 1–182.

SUSCEPTIBILITY OF SEVENTEEN STRAINS OF AEDES AEGYPTI (L.) FROM PUERTO RICO AND THE VIRGIN ISLANDS TO DDT, DIELDRIN, AND MALATHION

A. D. FLYNN, H. F. SCHOOF, H. B. MORLAN, AND J. E. PORTER 3

INTRODUCTION. In 1963, the Communicable Disease Center established a program for the eradication of *Aedes aegypti* (L.) from the continental United States, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands. The development of operational procedures for this program requires consideration of baseline data on insecticide susceptibility in the numerous areas of infestation. In both Puerto Rico and Florida resistance to DDT, dieldrin, and other chlorinated hydrocarbons by this species has been reported (Evans *et al.*, 1960; Fox *et al.*, 1960;

Fox, 1961; Porter et al., 1961). The following report describes the results of susceptibility tests with DDT, dieldrin, and malathion against larvae and adults of 13 strains of A. aegypti from Puerto Rico and 4 strains from the Virgin Islands.

Methods. Temporary colonies for rearing and collection of F₁ eggs were established in San Juan from larvae collected during the period of May 28 to June 7, 1963, by personnel from the Public Health Service Quarantine Stations at San Juan and Miami. The eggs were shipped to Savannah, Georgia to provide larvae and adults of each of the 17 strains of A. aegypti. Test specimens were F₁ generation except for the St. John strain which was F₂. The standard procedures established by the World Health Organization were used to measure susceptibility to DDT, dieldrin, and malathion (Anon., 1960). Tests were replicated three or four times at each concentration of insecticide used.

¹ From the Biology/Chemistry Section, Technology Branch, Communicable Disease Center, Public Health Service, U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Savannah, Georgia.

² From the Operations Section, Aedes aegypti Eradication Branch, Communicable Disease Center, Public Health Service, U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Atlanta, Georgia.

³ From the Quarantine Station, Public Health Service, U. S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Miami, Florida.

Twenty to 25 larvae or female adults were exposed in each replication. In the majority of the tests, specimens of a susceptible strain (CD) were included as a check. Untreated checks of the Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands strains likewise were employed.

The code designations for the areas from which the mosquitoes were obtained are:

```
Puerto Rico:
    PR #1
PR #2
                Isla Verde, San Juan
                Hoare Section, Santurce
    PR #3
                Martin Peña Canal, Santurce
    PR #4
                Puerta Tierra, Old San Juan
    PR #5
                Cataño and Guaynabo (combined)
    PR #6
PR #7
               Rio Piedras Section, San Juan
                Aguadilla
    PR #8
                Arecibo
    PR #9
               Humacao
    PR #10
               Fajardo
    PR #11
               Mayagüez
    PR #12
               Ponce
    PR #13
               Caguas
```

Virgin Islands:

St. Croix:

St. C #1 Christiansted

St. C #2 Frederiksted

St. Thomas: St. T #1

St. T #1 Charlotte Amalie

St. John:

St. J #1 Cruz Bay

RESULTS. DDT. Against DDT (Table 1) the larvae of all strains had a lesser susceptibility than the susceptible CD strain. The PR-10 strain showed the highest level of susceptibility of the 17 strains tested. Resistance was greatest in Puerto Rico strains 2, 8, 11, 12, 13, and in the Virgin Islands strains. The greatest loss of susceptibility to DDT was exhibited by PR-12, St. C-1, and St. T-1.

In the adult tests against DDT (Table 1), a lowered susceptibility of similar magnitude was evidenced in all strains tested. However, as evident from the data, the mortalities obtained with the CD-susceptible strain were lower than anticipated and, except for the results at the 4 percent level, relatively little kill was apparent. With the exception of the PR-4 strain, significant differences in mortalities were obtained between the field strain and the

CD strain at the 4 percent level. The susceptible strain was not utilized in all of the adult tests at 4 percent, but the fact that the strains not so tested also exhibited a definite loss of susceptibility in the larval tests supports the conclusion that resistance is present in all strains.

Dieldrin. Larval tests with dieldrin (Table 2) indicate that all strains had a high level of resistance to that toxicant but the level of resistance varied among the different strains as indicated by the response to the concentration of 2.5 parts per million. Maximum resistance to dieldrin was evident in the St. T-1 strain.

In the adult tests with dieldrin (Table 2), all strains showed marked resistance to dieldrin, poor kills being recorded even at the highest concentration of dieldrin (4 percent).

Malathion. With malathion (Table 3), there were differences between the field strains and the susceptible strain in the response of the larvae to concentrations of 0.1 ppm. However, the high kills obtained with malathion at 0.5 and 2.5 ppm indicated that the field strains are susceptible to that compound.

Similar results were apparent in the adult tests (Table 3) where essentially complete kills were evident at the 3.2 percent concentration with the susceptible CD strain or with the field strains.

Discussion. In Puerto Rico, DDT had been used from 1945 to 1955 in *A. aegypti* control programs in the areas of PR-1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 12. Dieldrin was employed for control of *Culex* in the same areas from 1956 to 1958. Since 1960, malathion has been applied to indoor breeding sites at 6-week intervals in these areas.

In the Virgin Islands DDT has been, and still is, used for domestic mosquito larviciding. Dieldrin was applied as a house spray in St. Thomas in 1960, and chlordane is currently in use as an adulticide (fog).

With the Puerto Rico strains (PR-1 to 10, 13), DDT gave high kills of *A. aegypti* larvae at 2.5 ppm; therefore, it could be of control value as a larvicide if employed

TABLE 1.—Comparative susceptibility to DDT of 17 strains of Aedes aegypti (L.) from Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands.

	ercent Mortality of		Larvae at Concentration (p.p.m.) Show	p.p.m.) Shown		Percen	Percent Mortality of Adults at	Adults at Conc	centration (%)	Shown
Strain	0.004	0.02	0.1	0.5	2.5	0.25	0.5	I.0	2.0	0.4
PR-1	0(0)	0(64)	2(92)	(001)44	100	:	0(0)	1(0)	1(13)	(16)11
77	0(0)	1(40)	3(80)	42(100)	86	:	:	0(4)	0(8)0	0(80)
-3	0(4)	0(64)	16(92)	81(100)	100	1(o)	1(4)	(o)o	3(4)	I
4	(0)0	0(36)	4(84)	72(100)	IOO	:	(0)0	0(0)	1(16)	1(20)
<u>-</u> -	0	0	c 1	81	86	:	0(0)	0(4)	1(0)	1(67)
9-	(0)0	0(32)	18(84)	(001)84	100	:	0(0)	(†)0	0(4)	6(61)
7-	0(8)	0(28)	8(100)	87(100)	100	0	0(0)	(+)0	(o) o	3(88)
8-	1(0)	1(24)	6(84)	47(100)	46	(0)0	0(0)0	1(0)	(0)0	
6	:	0(36)	1(84)	(001)89	95	:	1(0)	o(o)	0(0)	7(83)
01-	0(8)	0(72)	31(74)	99(100)	100	0(0)	(0)0	(0)0	3(8)	17
-I I	0(4)	0(26)	(08)0	20(100)	$8_{ m I}$	6	(0)0	1(0)	0(12)	1(72)
-12	(o)o	2(20)	001)0	12(100)	77	(0)0	0(0)	(0)0	0(0)	н
-13	0(4)	0(28)	(6(62)	32(100)	66	0(0)	2(0)	(0)0	0(8)	33
St. C–1	0(4)	0(24)	7(88)	41(100)	70	:	:	0(0)	1(20)	1(71)
<u></u>	:	0(0)	3(96)	(001)61	52	:	(0)0	0(4)	1(12)	3(100)
St. T-1	(0)0	1(28)	0(88)	12(100)	22	(0)0	0(0)	(0)0	(0)0	н
St. J-ı *	0(8)	0(36)	5(92)	26(100)	87	:	0(0)	I(0)I	1(0)	(001)0

Figures in parentheses indicate comparative percent mortality of a susceptible (CD) strain. $^{\circ}$ F2 generation.

TABLE 2.—Comparative susceptibility to dieldrin of 17 strains of Aedes aegypti (L.) from Pucrto Rico and Virgin Islands.

						.	6	- ()	(=) tank tank and	Trico and	viigiii islai	·enr	
<u>α</u>	crcent Mortalit	ty of Larva	e at Concer	ıtration (p.p.m.) Shov	m.) Shown		Ū	Percent Mortality of	thity of Adu	ilts at Conc	entration (°	%) Shown	
Strain	0.0008	0.004	0.02	0.1	0.5	2.5	0.05	o.I	0.2	0.4	8.0	9.1	10.4
PR-1	(0)0	(0)0	0(48)	1(100)	2.4	19		0	1(0)	(00)0	(201)	(202).	
-13	(o)o	(0)0	3(84)	2(100)	56	7.3	,	0	(0)	2 (21)	2(100)	4(100)	ri -
-33	0(0)0	1(0)	12(72)	23(100)	000	90	(0)0	(0)	(e),	2(35)	2(100)	6(100)	,
1	(o)o	0(4)	(80)	(100)	+ 0	y o	(0)0	7(0)	(o) T	(20)	ro ,	01	IO
- 1 <u>.</u>	\;\'\ \	\F\ \C	(22)+	(001)6	5	70	0	-	(0)0	0(12)	12(100)	(001)9	01
'n	~ `	o`	I	*1*	34	64	0	н	1(0)	(9I)I	(001)9	(001)6	Ľ
ငှ	(0)0	(0)0	4(68)	2(100)	23	45	H	0	1(4)	0(21)	2(100)	2(100)	۲.۵
-7	(0)0	1(12)	2(29)	15(100)	42	06	I	0(4)	3(0)	(00)	12(00)	1 (100)	o
∞ 	0(4)	0(0)	0(40)	1(100)	61	96	0(0)	0(4)	(0)0	(8)0	106164	` `	0 0
6	:	(0)0	2(80)	7(100)	30	20,	` .) C	(0)		(00)	(, , , ,	٠ ;
01-	0(8)	0(16)	0(20)	(00 T)0	נו ה	2,0	(0)0	(0)0	(0)1	(+)0	13(03)	14(100)	22
1 1	(0)0	(0)0	()	(001)6	7.0	/0	(0)0	(0)0	(0)0	0(33)	9	×	₹1
11	(0)0	(a)	0(64)	2(100)	II	79	0	(0)0	0(4)	1(32)	0(100)	4	н
-12	(g)o	I(4)	0(100)	I(96)	14	73	(0)0	1(0)	0(0)	0(13)	. 61		c
I3	0(12)	I(40)	1(88)	1(100)	27	100	000	1(0)	0(0)	(2) x	c	- 21	
St. C-1	000	(0)0	8(80)	14(100)	23	99		,	(3)	(45)	(00)	16(200)	0
1			2(00)	2(100)) ;	1		:	(†/\)	(0.0)	(76)/	(001)01	13
F + 5	(0)0	(0)	(00)	(001)=	4.5	20	: `		0(0)	3(10)	4(80)	1(100)	ď
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(a)	(o)0	(00)0	001)0	0	12	000	(0)0	1(0)	0(75)	0	н	. 71
ot. J−I ~	1(0)	(0)0	15(80)	(001)41	28	79	0	0	0(8)	11(36)	23(100)	18(100)	1.1
į												,	-

Figures in parentheses indicate comparative percent mortality of a susceptible (CD) strain. $^{\oplus}$ Fg generation.

TABLE 3.—Comparative susceptibility to malathion of 17 strains of Aedes aegypti (L.) from Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands.

								,)		
	Percent Mo	ercent Mortality of Larvae at Concentration (p.p.m.) Show	vae at Conc	entration (p.	.p.m.) Shown		Per	cent Mortality	y of Adults	Percent Mortality of Adults at Concentration (%) Shown	n (%) Sho	wn
Strain	8000.0	0.004	0.02	0.1	5.0	2.5	0.4	8.0	1.6	3.2	6.4	12.8
PR-1	0	0(0)	0(0)	2(28)	88(100)	100	1(0)	5(0)	(98(26)	100(100)	100	100
15 17	0	(0)0	(0)0	0(52)	(001)26	100	1(0)	3(4)	57(88)	(001)66	100	100
2	(0)0	(0)0	(0)0	12(20)	66	100	(0)0	9(4)	(92)92	100(100)	100	100
7	0	(0)0	(0)0	0(26)	(001)88	. 001	(0)0	2(0)	24(79)	92(100)	100	100
5	0	0	0	0	95	100	(o)o	2(0)	64(80)	100(100)	100	100
9	щ	0(0)	(0)0	0(12)	(001)46	100	(0)0	5(13)	(96)88	(001)66	100	100
1	(0)0	0(0)	I (0)	I(40)	92	100	1(0)	4(4)	20(26)	100(100)	100	100
œ	(0)0	(o)o	(91)0	4(44)	26	100	0(4)	2(0)	77(88)	100(100)	100	100
9	:	:	(0)0	4(28)	(001)86	100	0(0)	(0)9	67(87)	100(88)	100	100
OI-	0)0	(o)o	(0)0	7(92)	86	100	1(0)	14(4)	(22)	100(100)	26	100
I I-	(0)0	0(0)	0(0)	8(80)	86	100	0(0)	8(24)	78(88)	100(100)	100	100
-12	С	(0)0	0(4)	0(40)	63(100)	100	(0)0	19(24)	(06)86	100(100)	100	100
-13	000	(0)0	0(12)	12(84)	66	100	0(4)	0(20)	53(84)	(001)26	100	100
St. C-1	0	I(0)	I(0)	11(60)	(001)66	100	:	(21)91	28(92)	(001)86	100	100
1,	:	:	(0)0	0(32)	(001)86	100	:	1(0)	36(57)	(96)26	100	100
St. T-1	0	0(0)	(†)0	0(40)	(001)96	100	1(0)	4(71)	58(100)	100(100)	100	100
St. J-1*	0	0(0)	0(0)	1(20)	(001)86	001	2(0)	0(24)	(96)69	100(100)	100	100
į												

Figures in parentheses indicate comparative percent mortality of a susceptible (CD) strain. $^{\circ}$ F_{2} generation.

at that strength. However, with the obvious potential for the development of DDT resistance to a magnitude shown by the St. Thomas strain, any persistent use of DDT in Puerto Rico could be expected to intensify the DDT resistance. Presumably, such treatment would be of short-term value and would require close evaluation on a field basis to determine its practical effectiveness. In the Virgin Islands the use of DDT appears unfeasible, particularly on St. Thomas.

The magnitude of dieldrin resistance indicates little promise for effective field treatment in Puerto Rico or the Virgin Islands.

Malathion gave highly effective kills of the larvae and adults of the 17 strains. Of the three toxicants it is the insecticide of choice regarding its lethal effect on the Whether malathion will persist species. sufficiently under outdoor conditions to give an extended period of effectiveness against larvae and adult A. aegypti can only be determined by field testing. As resistance to any chemical is associated with intensity and magnitude of its appliany extensive field treatments should include determination of the susceptibility of the A. aegypti population before and after treatment.

Acknowledgment. The authors are particularly indebted to Messrs, Michel J.

Guion, Division of Foreign Quarantine, San Juan, Puerto Rico, and George Kozuchi, Division of Foreign Quarantine, Miami, Florida, for their efficient work in accomplishing the field collection of larvae. Assistance in this work also was rendered by Mr. Manuel Perez Torres, Puerto Rico Health Department and Mr. Pedrito Francois, Virgin Islands Health Department. Appreciation also is expressed to Mrs. Ruby Williams of the Biology, Chemistry Section who conducted the laboratory tests.

References

Anon. 1960. Insecticide resistance and vector control. World Health Organization, Technical Report Series No. 191, 98 pp.

EVANS, BURTON R., PORTER, JOHN E., KOZUCHI, G., and FINK, E. J. 1960. Susceptibility levels of some *Aedes aegypti* (L.) larvae to DDT and dieldrin. Mosquito News, 20(2):116–118.

Fox, I. 1961. Resistance of Aedes aegypti to certain chlorinated hydrocarbon and organophosphorus insecticides in Puerto Rico. Bull. Wld. Hlth. Org., 24:489-494. (Previously published in Bol. Ofic. Sanit. Panamer., 48:375-382, 1960.)
Fox, I., Boike, A. H., Jr., and Garcia-Molli.

I. 1960. Notes on rock hole breeding and resistance of *Aedes aegypti* (L.) in Puerto Rico. Amer. J. Trop. Med. and Hyg., 9(4):425-429.

PORTER, JOHN E., EVANS, BURTON, R., and KOZUCHI, GEORGE. 1961. Further comments on the susceptibility of *Aedes aegypti* (L.) to DDT in the Miami, Florida area. Mosquito News, 21:4–5.

THOMAS SAY FOUNDATION PUBLICATIONS

A SYNOPTIC CATALOG OF THE MOSQUITOES OF THE WORLD, by Alan Stone,	
Kenneth L. Knight, and Helen Starcke. 358 pages. 1050	5
——, SUPPLEMENT I, by Alan Stone, 24 pages, paper cover. 1061 \$1.00	0
——, SUPPLEMENT II, by Alan Stone, 24 pages, paper cover, 1062 \$1.00	0
CATALOG plus Supplements I and II\$9.0	0

For Sale by

ENTOMOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA 4603 Calvert Road, College Park, Maryland 20740